

October 30, 2017

CERTIFIED: 7013 2250 0001 1902 6052

Department of Environmental Quality Office of Environmental Compliance **Enforcement Division** P.O. Box 4312 Baton Rouge, LA 70821-4312

NSPS Excess Emissions & CEM Performance Report – 3rd Quarter 2017 Re:

Valero Refining - Meraux LLC, Agency Interest # 1238 2500 East St. Bernard Hwy., St. Bernard Parish, Meraux, LA

Title V Permit Numbers: 2500-00001-V16

Gentlemen,

Valero Refining, Meraux LLC hereby submits this Excess Emissions and Monitoring Systems Reports, per LAC 33:III, Chapter 30, 40 CFR 60.7(c), 40 CFR 60.108a(d) and 40 CFR 63.1575 for the Third Quarter 2017.

For this reporting period, no CEMS had excess emissions greater than 1 % of the total operating time and no CEMS had downtime greater than 5 % of the total operating time.

Valero installed new SO₂/O₂ CEMS on #3 Sulfur Recovery Unit Incinerator (EPN 5-00, EQT 0079). A temporary SO₂/O₂ CEMS was installed to prevent monitoring downtime during the transition. The new SO₂/O₂ CEMS began operation on 7/18/17 and was certified on 8/17/17. There were no changes to the any of the other CEMS covered by this report in the 3rd Quarter 2017.

Enclosed are the Data Assessment Reports for the appropriate CEMs and information required by NSPS Subpart Ja, 40 CFR 60.108a(d). Subpart Ja root cause and corrective action analysis reports are included with this submittal. Updates to previously submitted Subpart Ja root cause and corrective action analysis reports are also included if corrective actions were completed in this reporting period.

Should you have any questions regarding this submission, please contact Mr. Justin Stubbe at (504) 271-4141.

I certify, based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in this document are true, accurate, and complete.

Regards,

Jack E. Merrill

Vice President & General Manager

Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Enclosures

Mr. Brian Tusa, LDEQ SE Regional Office, New Orleans, LA

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: SO_2

Applicable NSPS Subpart: ___Ja__

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: <u>SO₂ corrected to 0% O₂ shall not exceed 250 ppm on a 12-hour rolling average</u>

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: <u>Brimstone SGX-231(SO₂)/Rosemount Oxymitter 4000(O₂)</u>

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 7/10/17

Process Unit(s) Description: #2 SRU Incinerator (EPN 1-93, EQT 0019)

Emissions Data Summary ¹		
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Startup/shutdown	0	
b. Control equipment problems	0	
c. Process problems	0	
d. Other known causes	0	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total duration of excess emission	0	
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %	

CMS Performance Summary ¹		
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
c. Quality assurance calibration	28	
d. Other known causes	2	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total CMS Downtime	30	
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	1.4 %	

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: SO_2

Applicable NSPS Subpart: ___Ja__

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: SO₂ corrected to 0% O₂ shall not exceed 250 ppm on a 12-hour rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Brimstone 991-CEM-X(SO₂)/ Rosemount Oxymitter 4000(O₂) 7/1/17, 00:00-7/10/17, 10:00

Temporary ABB AO2000 Uras 26(SO₂)/ Magnos 206 (O₂) 7/10/17, 10:00-7/18/17, 14:00

Permanent ABB AO2000 Uras 26(SO₂)/ Magnos 206 (O₂) 7/18/17, 14:00-10/1/17, 00:00

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: RATA on 8/17/17

Process Unit(s) Description: #3 SRU Incinerator (EPN 5-00, EQT 0079)

Emissions Data Summary ¹		
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Startup/shutdown	0	
b. Control equipment problems	0	
c. Process problems	0	
d. Other known causes	0	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total duration of excess emission	0	
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %	

CMS Performance Summary ¹		
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
c. Quality assurance calibration	4	
d. Other known causes	11	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total CMS Downtime	15	
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.7 %	

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d))

Polluant: H2S

Applicable NSPS Subpart: __J_

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: <u>Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average</u>

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek, #4661

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 7/14/17

Process Unit(s) Description: Area 1 Fuel Drum for Boiler TB-01 (EPN 1-06, EQT 0010), Boiler B-7 (EPN 1-07, EQT 0011), MDH

Product and Fractionator Heaters (EPN 2-92, EQT 0033)

Total source operating time in reporting period: <u>EQT 0010- 2,208 hours</u>, <u>EQT 0011- 2,208 hours</u>, <u>EQT 0033-2,198 hours</u>

Emissions Data Summary ¹			
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	EQT 0010 (hours)	EQT 0011 (hours)	EQT 0033 (hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0	0	0
b. Control equipment problems	0	0	0
c. Process problems	0	0	0
d. Other known causes	0	0	0
e. Unknown causes	0	0	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	0	0	0
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %

CMS Performance Summary ¹			
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	EQT 0010 (hours)	EQT 0011 (hours)	EQT 0033 (hours)
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	0	0
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	0	0
c. Quality assurance calibration	11	11	2
d. Other known causes	0	0	0
e. Unknown causes	0	0	0
2. Total CMS Downtime	11	11	2
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.5 %	0.5 %	0.1 %

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted. (Percentage based on the lowest operating time.)

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d))

Pollutant:	H_2S
------------	--------

Applicable NSPS Subpart: ____J

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Subpart J: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 7/21/17

Process Unit(s) Description: Area 2 Fuel Drum for: Vacuum Heater (EPN 1-76, EQT 0013); No.1 Crude Heater (EPN 12-72A, EQT 0022); NHT Charge Heater (EPN 14-72, EQT 0023); NHT Debut Reboiler (EPN 15-72, EQT 0024); NHT Depent Reboiler (EPN 16-72 EQT 0027); Platformer Charge Heater (EPN 17-72 a,b,c, EQT 0028); Platformer Debut Reboiler (EPN 19-72, EQT 0029); DHT Charge Heater (EPN 5-73, EQT 0058); ROSE Heater (EPN 1-80, EQT 0014)

Total source operating time in reporting period: <u>EQT 0013-2,201 hours; EQT 0022-2,203 hours; EQT 0023-2,202 hours; EQT 0024-2,189 hours; EQT 0027-2,194 hours; EQT 0028-2,205 hours; EQT 0029-2,182 hours; EQT 0058 – 2,187 hours; EQT 0014 - 2,208 hours</u>

Emissions Data Summary ¹			
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	EQT 0014 and 0028 (hours)	All Other EQT's (hours)	
a. Startup/shutdown	0	0	
b. Control equipment problems	0	0	
c. Process problems	3	0	
d. Other known causes	0	0	
e. Unknown causes	0	0	
2. Total duration of excess emission	3	0	
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.1 %	0.0 %	

CMS Performance Summary ¹		
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	All EQT's (hours)	
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
c. Quality assurance calibration	2	
d. Other known causes	4	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total CMS Downtime	6	
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.3 %	

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: H₂S

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Subpart Ja: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average and 60 ppm on a 365 day

rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: <u>CGA on 7/21/17</u>

Process Unit(s) Description: Area 2 Fuel Drum for Benzene Recovery Unit Reboiler (EPN 1-09, EQT 0127)

Emissions Data Summary ¹		
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Startup/shutdown	0	
b. Control equipment problems	0	
c. Process problems	0	
d. Other known causes	0	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total duration of excess emission	0	
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0%	

CMS Performance Summary ¹		
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
c. Quality assurance calibration	2	
d. Other known causes	4	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total CMS Downtime	6	
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.3 %	

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d))

Polluant: H2S

Applicable NSPS Subpart: __J__

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 7/21/17

Process Unit(s) Description: Area 4 Fuel Drum for Merox Disulfide Separator to Platformer Charge Heater

Emissions Data Summary ¹		
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Startup/shutdown	0	
b. Control equipment problems	0	
c. Process problems	0	
d. Other known causes	0	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total duration of excess emission	0	
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %	

CMS Performance Summary ¹		
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
c. Quality assurance calibration	1	
d. Other known causes	2	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total CMS Downtime	3	
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.1 %	

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d))

Pol	luant:	H_2S
-----	--------	--------

Applicable NSPS Subpart: __J_

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 7/14/17

Process Unit(s) Description: Area 6 Fuel Drum for Hydrocracker & Hydrotreater Charge Heaters (EPN 1-00, EQT 0009)

Emissions Data Summary ¹		
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Startup/shutdown	0	
b. Control equipment problems	0	
c. Process problems	0	
d. Other known causes	0	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total duration of excess emission	0	
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %	

CMS Performance Summary ¹		
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
c. Quality assurance calibration	1	
d. Other known causes	3	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total CMS Downtime	4	
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.2 %	

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d))

Polluant: H₂S

Applicable NSPS Subpart: __J_

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: <u>Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.</u>

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 7/18/17

Process Unit(s) Description: Area 6 Fuel Drum for Boiler B-5 (EPN 2-00, EQT 0030) and Boiler B-6 (EPN 3-00, EQT 0048)

Total source operating time in reporting period: <u>EQT 0030-1,678 hours; EQT 0048-216 hours</u>

Emissions Data Summary ¹		
Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	EQT 0030 (hours)	EQT 0048 (hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0	0
b. Control equipment problems	0	0
c. Process problems	3	0
d. Other known causes	0	0
e. Unknown causes	0	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	3	0
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.1 %	0.0 %

CMS Performance Summary ¹		
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	EQT 0030 (hours)	EQT 0048 (hours)
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	0
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	0
c. Quality assurance calibration	1	0
d. Other known causes	0	0
e. Unknown causes	0	0
2. Total CMS Downtime	1	0
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.1 %	0.0 %

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d))

Polluant: NO_x

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Nitrogen Oxide shall not exceed 0.1 pound/MMBtu on a 30-day rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: ABB Limas11(NOx), Magnos27 (O2)

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 7/19/17

Process Unit(s) Description: Boiler B-5 (EPN 2-00, EQT 0030)

Emissions Data Summary ¹		
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Startup/shutdown	0	
b. Control equipment problems	0	
c. Process problems	0	
d. Other known causes	0	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total duration of excess emission	0	
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %	

CMS Performance Summary ¹		
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
c. Quality assurance calibration	1	
d. Other known causes	0	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total CMS Downtime	1	
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.1 %	

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d))

Polluant: NO_x

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Nitrogen Oxide shall not exceed 0.1 pound/MMBtu on a 30-day rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: ABB Limas11(NOx), Magnos27 (O2)

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 7/20/17

Process Unit(s) Description: Boiler B-6 (EPN 3-00, EQT 0048)

Emissions Data Summary ¹		
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Startup/shutdown	0	
b. Control equipment problems	0	
c. Process problems	0	
d. Other known causes	0	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total duration of excess emission	0	
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %	

CMS Performance Summary ¹		
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
c. Quality assurance calibration	1	
d. Other known causes	1	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total CMS Downtime	2	
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.1%	

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d))

Polluant: NO_x

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Nitrogen Oxide shall not exceed 0.1 pound/MMBtu on a 30-day rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Environmental 42i (NOx)/(O2)

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: <u>CGA on 7/17/17</u>

Process Unit(s) Description: Boiler TB-01 (EPN 1-06, EQT 0010)

Emissions Data Summary ¹		
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Startup/shutdown	0	
b. Control equipment problems	0	
c. Process problems	0	
d. Other known causes	0	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total duration of excess emission	0	
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %	

CMS Performance Summary ¹		
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
c. Quality assurance calibration	4	
d. Other known causes	0	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total CMS Downtime	4	
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.2 %	

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Polluant: NO_x

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Nitrogen Oxide corrected to 0% O₂ shall not exceed 40 ppm on a 30-day rolling average

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Environmental 42i (NOx)/(O₂)

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 7/13/17

Process Unit(s) Description: Benzene Recovery Unit Reboiler (EPN 1-09, EQT 0127)

Emissions Data Summary ¹	
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0
b. Control equipment problems	0
c. Process problems	0
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	0
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %

CMS Performance Summary ¹	
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
c. Quality assurance calibration	1
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total CMS Downtime	1
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: NO_x

Applicable NSPS Subpart: N/A (Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 36.a)

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: ABB Limas11(NOx), Magnos27 (O2)

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: <u>CGA on 7/21/17</u>

Process Unit(s) Description: No.1 Crude Heater (EPN 12-72A, EQT 0022)

Emissions Data Summary ¹	
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0
b. Control equipment problems	0
c. Process problems	0
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	0
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %

CMS Performance Summary ¹	
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
c. Quality assurance calibration	27
d. Other known causes	4
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total CMS Downtime	31
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	1.4 %

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: H_2S

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 5100

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: <u>CGA on 7/12/17</u>

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), North Flare Header

Emissions Data Summary ¹	
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0
b. Control equipment problems	0
c. Process problems	0
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	0
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %

CMS Performance Summary ¹	
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
c. Quality assurance calibration	1
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total CMS Downtime	1
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant:	H_2S
------------	--------

Applicable NSPS Subpart: ____Ja___

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 5100

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 7/10/17

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), Hydrocracker Flare Header

Emissions Data Summary ¹	
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0
b. Control equipment problems	0
c. Process problems	0
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	0
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %

CMS Performance Summary ¹	
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
c. Quality assurance calibration	1
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total CMS Downtime	1
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: H₂S

Applicable NSPS Subpart: ____Ja___

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: <u>Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.</u>

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 5100

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: <u>CGA on 7/12/17</u>

Process Unit(s) Description: South Flare Stack (EPN 3-77, EQT 0049)

Emissions Data Summary ¹	
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0
b. Control equipment problems	0
c. Process problems	0
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	0
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %

CMS Performance Summary ¹	
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
c. Quality assurance calibration	1
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total CMS Downtime	1
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Total Sulfur

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Scientific SOLA II

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: <u>CGA on 7/12/17</u>

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), North Flare Header

Emissions Data Summary ¹	
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0
b. Control equipment problems	0
c. Process problems	0
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	0
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %

CMS Performance Summary ¹				
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)			
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0			
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0			
c. Quality assurance calibration	3			
d. Other known causes	29			
e. Unknown causes	0			
2. Total CMS Downtime	32			
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ² 1.				

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Total Sulfur

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Scientific SOLA II

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: <u>CGA on 7/12/17</u>

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), Hydrocracker Flare Header

Emissions Data Summary ¹				
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)			
a. Startup/shutdown	0			
b. Control equipment problems	0			
c. Process problems	0			
d. Other known causes	0			
e. Unknown causes	0			
2. Total duration of excess emission	0			
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %			

CMS Performance Summary ¹				
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)			
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0			
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0			
c. Quality assurance calibration	3			
d. Other known causes	5			
e. Unknown causes				
2. Total CMS Downtime	8			
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ² 0				

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Total Sulfur

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Scientific SOLA II

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: <u>CGA on 7/12/17</u>

Process Unit(s) Description: South Flare Stack (EPN 3-77, EQT 0049)

Emissions Data Summary ¹				
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)			
a. Startup/shutdown	0			
b. Control equipment problems	0			
c. Process problems	0			
d. Other known causes	0			
e. Unknown causes	0			
2. Total duration of excess emission	0			
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %			

CMS Performance Summary ¹				
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)			
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0			
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0			
c. Quality assurance calibration	11			
d. Other known causes	15			
e. Unknown causes	0			
2. Total CMS Downtime	26			
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	1.2 %			

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Flow

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: GE Panametrics GF 868

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: N/A

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), North Flare Header

Emissions Data Summary ¹				
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)			
a. Startup/shutdown	0			
b. Control equipment problems	0			
c. Process problems	0			
d. Other known causes	0			
e. Unknown causes	0			
2. Total duration of excess emission	0			
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %			

CMS Performance Summary ¹				
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)			
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0			
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0			
c. Quality assurance calibration	0			
d. Other known causes	0			
e. Unknown causes	0			
2. Total CMS Downtime	0			
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ² 0.0				

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Flow

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: GE Panametrics GF 868

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: N/A

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), Hydrocracker Flare Header

Emissions Data Summary ¹				
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)			
a. Startup/shutdown	0			
b. Control equipment problems	0			
c. Process problems	0			
d. Other known causes	0			
e. Unknown causes	0			
2. Total duration of excess emission	0			
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %			

CMS Performance Summary ¹				
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)			
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0			
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0			
c. Quality assurance calibration	0			
d. Other known causes	0			
e. Unknown causes	0			
2. Total CMS Downtime	0			
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ² 0.				

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Flow

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: GE Panametrics GF 868

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: N/A

Process Unit(s) Description: South Flare Stack (EPN 3-77, EQT 0049)

Emissions Data Summary ¹				
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)			
a. Startup/shutdown	0			
b. Control equipment problems	0			
c. Process problems	0			
d. Other known causes	0			
e. Unknown causes	0			
2. Total duration of excess emission	0			
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] ²	0.0 %			

CMS Performance Summary ¹				
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)			
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0			
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0			
c. Quality assurance calibration	0			
d. Other known causes	0			
e. Unknown causes				
2. Total CMS Downtime	0			
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] ² 0.0				

¹ For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

² For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: SO₂

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: SO₂ corrected to 0% O₂ shall not exceed 250 ppm on a 12-hour rolling average

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Brimstone SGX-231(SO₂)/Rosemount Oxymitter 4000(O₂)

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 7/10/17

Process Unit(s) Description: #2 SRU Incinerator (EPN 1-93, EQT 0019)

Total source operating time in reporting period: 2,208 hours

	Ja EXCESS EMISSIONS					
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Max 12- HRA (ppm)	Cause	Corrective Action
None						
TOTAL			0			

	Ja CMS PERFORMANCE ¹									
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action					
7/10/17	13:00	15:00	2	SO ₂ and O ₂ Cylinder Gas Audits.	N/A					
7/24/17	07:00		26	Out of Control.	See Data Assessment Report for the #2					
7/25/17		09:00	20	Out of Collifor.	SRU SO ₂ /O ₂ (Page 36)					
7/25/17	10:00	12:00	2	Offline to replace SO ₂ Lamp.	Calibrated and returned to service.					
TOTAL			28							

¹In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: SO₂

Applicable NSPS Subpart: __Ja__

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: SO₂ corrected to 0% O₂ shall not exceed 250 ppm on a 12-hour rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Brimstone 991-CEM-X(SO₂)/ Rosemount Oxymitter 4000(O₂) 7/01/17, 00:00-7/10/17, 10:00

Temporary ABB AO2000 Uras 26(SO₂)/ Magnos 206 (O₂) 7/10/17, 10:00-7/18/17, 14:00

Permanent ABB AO2000 Uras 26(SO₂)/ Magnos 206 (O₂) 7/18/17, 14:00-10/1/17, 00:00

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: RATA on 8/17/17

Process Unit(s) Description: #3 SRU Incinerator (EPN 5-00, EQT 0079)

	Ja EXCESS EMISSIONS									
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Max 12- HRA (ppm)	Cause	Corrective Action				
None										
TOTAL			0							

	Ja CMS PERFORMANCE ¹									
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action					
7/3/17	07:00	08:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.					
7/5/17	08:00	09:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.					
7/19/17	09:00	10:00	1	SO ₂ and O2 Cylinder Gas Audit.	N/A					
8/8/17	07:00	08:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.					
8/13/17	07:00	11:00	4	Analyzer shutdown due to water in instrument air lines.	Blew down instrument air lines and changed filters. Calibrated and returned to service.					
8/27/17	07:00	14:00	7	Analyzer failed to return to sample after satisfactory daily calibration check due to water in instrument air lines.	Blew down instrument air lines, changed filters, and adjusted operation of refinery instrument air dryers. Calibrated and returned to service.					
TOTAL			15							

¹In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: H₂S

Applicable NSPS Subpart: __Ja__

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average and 60 ppm on a 365 day rolling

average

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 7/21/17

Process Unit(s) Description: Area 2 Fuel Drum for Benzene Recovery Unit Reboiler (EPN 1-09, EQT 0127)

	Ja EXCESS EMISSIONS									
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Max 3- HRA (ppm)	Cause	Corrective Action				
None										
TOTAL	_		0							

	Ja CMS PERFORMANCE ¹									
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action					
7/5/17	08:00	09:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.					
7/21/17	10:00	11:00	1	Cylinder Gas Audit.	N/A					
9/27/17	13:00	17:00	4	Analyzer offline for annual inspection and preventative maintenance.	Calibrated and returned to service.					
TOTAL			6							

¹In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Polluant: NOx

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Nitrogen Oxide corrected to 0% O₂ shall not exceed 40 ppm on a 30-day rolling average

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Environmental 42i (NOx)/(O₂)

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 7/13/17

Process Unit(s) Description: Benzene Recovery Unit Reboiler (EPN 1-09, EQT 0127)

	Ja EXCESS EMISSIONS									
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Max 30- DRA (ppm)	Cause	Corrective Action				
None										
TOTAL			0							

	Ja CMS PERFORMANCE ¹										
Date Start End Duration (hours) Cause Corrective Action											
7/13/17	08:00	09:00	1	NOx and O ₂ Cylinder Gas Audit	N/A						
TOTAL			1								

¹ In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: H₂S

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>

Reporting period dates: From <u>7/1/17</u> to <u>9/30/17</u>

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 5100

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: <u>CGA on 7/12/17</u>

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), North Flare Header

	Ja EXCESS EMISSIONS									
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Max 3- HRA (ppm)	Cause	Corrective Action				
None										
TOTAL			0							

	Ja CMS PERFORMANCE ²									
Date Start End Duration (hours) Cause Corrective Action										
7/12/17	08:00	09:00	N/A							
TOTAL			1							

¹Due to the physical arrangement of the headers supplying the North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), two analyzers are required to measure H₂S concentration of the gas combusted in the North Flare. Conservatively, excess emission on either of these analyzers will be considered excess emissions at the North Flare. However, the CEMS performance will be tracked separately.

²In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: H₂S

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>

Reporting period dates: From <u>7/1/17</u> to <u>9/30/17</u>

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: <u>Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.</u>

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 5100

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 7/10/17

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), Hydrocracker Flare Header

	Ja EXCESS EMISSIONS									
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Max 3- HRA (ppm)	Cause	Corrective Action				
None										
TOTAL			0							

	Ja CMS PERFORMANCE ²									
Date Start End Duration (hours) Cause Corrective Action										
7/10/17	10:00	11:00	1	Cylinder Gas Audit	N/A					
TOTAL			1							

¹Due to the physical arrangement of the headers supplying the North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), two analyzers are required to measure H₂S concentration of the gas combusted in the North Flare. Conservatively, excess emission on either of these analyzers will be considered excess emissions at the North Flare. However, the CEMS performance will be tracked separately.

² In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: H_2S

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 5100

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 7/12/17

Process Unit(s) Description: South Flare Stack (EPN 3-77, EQT 0049)

	Ja EXCESS EMISSIONS								
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Max 3- HRA (ppm)	Cause	Corrective Action			
None									
TOTAL			0						

	Ja CMS PERFORMANCE ¹							
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action			
7/10/17	10:00	11:00	1	Cylinder Gas Audit	N/A			
TOTAL			1					

¹ In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Total Sulfur

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Scientific SOLA II

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 7/12/17

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), North Flare Header

	Ja CMS PERFORMANCE ¹							
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action			
7/3/17	10:00	11:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.			
7/12/17	13:00	14:00	1	Relative Accuracy Test Assessment.	N/A			
8/5/17	13:00	17:00	4	Analyzer taken off sample and placed on zero air while replacing the 10 port valve rotor on the South Flare Header analyzer.	Calibrated and returned to service.			
8/9/17	05:00	09:00	4	Analyzer shutdown during a brief power failure	Calibrated and returned to service.			
8/12/17	17:00		21	Analyzer shutdown due to water in	Blew down instrument air lines and changed filters. Calibrated and returned			
8/13/17		14:00	21	instrument air lines.	to service.			
9/25/17	08:00	09:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.			
TOTAL			32					

¹ In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Total Sulfur

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Scientific SOLA II

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 7/12/17

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), Hydrocracker Flare Header

	Ja CMS PERFORMANCE ¹						
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action		
7/3/17	10:00	11:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.		
7/12/17	13:00	14:00	1	Cylinder Gas Audit	N/A		
8/5/17	13:00	17:00	4	Analyzer taken off sample and placed on zero air while replacing the 10 port valve rotor on the South Flare Header analyzer.	Calibrated and returned to service.		
8/12/17	15:00	16:00	1	Analyzer offline to remove water from the instrument air lines.	Blew down instrument air lines and changed filters. Calibrated and returned to service.		
9/25/17	08:00	09:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.		
TOTAL			8				

¹ In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Total Sulfur

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Scientific SOLA II

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 7/12/17

Process Unit(s) Description: South Flare Stack (EPN 3-77, EQT 0049)

	Ja CMS PERFORMANCE ¹							
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action			
7/3/17	10:00	11:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.			
7/12/17	13:00	14:00	1	Cylinder Gas Audit	N/A			
8/1/17	08:00	09:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.			
8/2/17	08:00	11:00	3	Analyzer offline to clean out sample system.	Blew out sample lines, adjusted pressure regulators. Calibrated and returned to service.			
8/5/17	13:00	17:00	4	Analyzer offline while replacing the 10 port valve rotor.	Calibrated and returned to service.			
8/12/17	11:00	12:00	1	Analyzer offline to remove water from the instrument air lines.	Blew down instrument air lines and changed filters. Calibrated and returned to service.			
8/13/17	13:00	14:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.			
8/15/17	08:00	09:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.			
8/25/17	08:00	09:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.			
8/27/17	07:00	15:00	8	Analyzer shutdown to disassemble and clean SO ₂ detection section.	Calibrated and returned to service.			
9/2/17	13:00	14:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.			
9/4/17	08:00	09:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.			
9/4/17	13:00	14:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.			
9/29/17	10:00	11:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.			
TOTAL			26					

¹ In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Flow

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: GE Panametrics GF 868

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: N/A

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), North Flare Header

	Ja CMS PERFORMANCE ¹							
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action			
None								
TOTAL			0					

¹ In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Flow

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: GE Panametrics GF 868

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: N/A

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), Hydrocracker Flare Header

	Ja CMS PERFORMANCE ¹							
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action			
None								
TOTAL			0					

¹ In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Flow

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: GE Panametrics GF 868

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: N/A

Process Unit(s) Description: South Flare Stack (EPN 3-77, EQT 0049)

	Ja CMS PERFORMANCE ¹							
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action			
None								
TOTAL			0					

¹ In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

DATA ASSESSMENT REPORT

(per 40 CFR 60, Appendix F, Section 7)

Pollutant: SO_2

Applicable NSPS Subpart: __Ja_

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: SO₂ corrected to 0% O₂ shall not exceed 250 ppm on a 12-hour rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Brimstone SGX-231(SO₂)/Rosemount Oxymitter 4000(O₂)

Source unit: #2 SRU Incinerator (EPN 1-93, EQT 0019)

CEM Sampling Location: #2 SRU Incinerator (#1-93)

CEM Span Value: Sulfur Dioxide 500 ppm; Oxygen 25%

I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):

	SO ₂ #1	SO ₂ #2	O ₂ #1	O ₂ #2
	(low scale)	(high scale)	(low scale)	(high scale)
Date of Audit	7/10/17	7/10/17	7/10/17	7/10/17
Audit Gas Cylinder No.	SG9150051BAL	CC125741	CC483689	SG9152263BAL
Date of Audit Gas Cert.	5/27/16	5/27/16	5/23/16	5/23/16
Type of Certification	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1
Certified Audit Value	124.9 ppmv	274.5 ppmv	5.99 vol %	10.05 vol %
CEM Response Value	123.0 ppmv	273.3 ppmv	6.17 vol %	10.10 vol %
Accuracy	1.5%	0.4%	3.0%	0.5%
Standard	<15%	<15%	<15%	<15%

II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT

A. Out of Control Periods:

1. Dates: $\frac{7/24/17, 07:00 - 7/25/17, 09:00}{}$

2. Number of Days <u>1.1 (26 hours)</u>

B. Corrective Actions: On 7/25/17, the SO₂ span was > 4 times the allowable limit below the reference gas value and the O₂ zero was >4 times above the reference gas value due to plugging of the sample system. Valero cleaned and unplugged the sample line, filter, and probe and cleaned the sample cell. The SO₂ lamp also showed signs of nearing end of life and was replaced later the same day.

Pollutant: SO ₂
Applicable NSPS Subpart:Ja
Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17
Date submitted: 10/30/17
Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC
Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075
Emission Limitation: SO ₂ corrected to 0% O ₂ shall not exceed 250 ppm on a 12-hour rolling average.
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Source unit: #3 SRU Incinerator (EPN 5-00, EQT 0079)
CEM Sampling Location: _#3 SRU Incinerator (#5-00)_
CEM Span Value: Sulfur Dioxide 500 ppm; Oxygen 25%
I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (RATA): SO ₂ corrected to 0% O ₂ Date of Audit 8/17/17 Reference Method EPA Method 6C/ EPA Method 3A Average RM Value (ppmv) 110.90 Average CEM Value (ppmv) 103.61 Accuracy 3.44 % Limit < 100%
II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT
A. Out of Control Periods:
1. Dates: <u>N/A</u>
2. Number of Days <u>N/A</u>
B. Corrective Actions: N/A

Pollutant: H_2S

Applicable NSPS Subpart:J_			
Reporting period dates: From 7	<u>/1/17</u> to <u>9/30/17</u>		
Date submitted: 10/30/17			
Company: Valero Refining - Me	eraux LLC		
Address: 2500 East St. Bernard	Highway, Meraux, LA 70075		
Emission Limitation: <u>Hydroger</u>	n Sulfide shall not exceed 162 pp	om on a 3-hour roll	ing average.
Monitor Manufacturer and Mode	el No.: Ametek 4661		
Source Unit: Area 1 Fuel Drum	for Boiler TB-01 (EPN 1-06, EQ	QT 0010)	
CEM Sampling Location: Area	1 Fuel Drum		
CEM Span Value: <u>Hydrogen Su</u>	ılfide, 300 ppm		
I. ACCURACY ASSESSMEN	NT RESULTS (CGA): Date of Audit	H ₂ S #1 (low scale) 7/14/17	H ₂ S #2 (high scale) 7/14/17
	Audit Gas Cylinder No.	XC034939B	CC26703
	Date of Audit Gas Cert. Type of Certification	5/23/16 EPA Protocol 1	5/27/16 EPA Protocol 1
	Certified Audit Value (ppmv)	76.0	175.3
	CEM Response Value (ppmv) Accuracy	75.7 0.4%	177.3 1.1%
	Standard	<15%	<15%
II. CALIBRATION DRIFT AS	SESSMENT		
A. Out of Control Peri	ods:		
1. Dates:	N/A		
2. Number of Day	ys <u>N/A</u>		
B. Corrective Actions:	N/A		

DATA ASSESSMENT REPORT

(per 40 CFR 60, Appendix F, Section 7)

Tollutant. 1125		
Applicable NSPS Subpart:	J and Ja	(Benzene Recovery Unit Reboiler Subject to Ja)
Reporting period dates: From	7/1/17 to	9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Dollutont: U.C

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: <u>Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average(J and Ja) and 60 ppm on a 365 day</u> rolling average (Ja only)

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661

Source Unit: Area 2 Fuel Drum for: MDH Product and Fractionator Heaters (EPN 2-92, EQT 0033); No.1 Crude Heater (EPN 12-72A, EQT 022); ROSE Heater (EPN 1-80, EQT 0014); Vacuum Heater (EPN 1-76, EQT 0013); Platformer Charge Heater (EPN 17-72 a,b,c, EQT 0028); Platformer Debut Reboiler (EPN 19-72, EQT 0029); NHT Charge Heater (EPN 14-72, EQT 0023); NHT Debut Reboiler (EPA 15-72, EQT 0024); NHT Depent Reboiler (EPA 16-72, EQT 0027); DHT Charge Heater (EPN 5-73, EQT 0058); Benzene Recovery Unit Reboiler (EPN 1-09, EQT 0127)

CEM Sampling Location: Area 2 Fuel Drum

CEM Span Value: <u>Hydrogen Sulfide</u>, 300 ppm

I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):

	H_2S #1	H ₂ S #2
	(low scale)	(high scale)
Date of Audit	7/21/17	7/21/17
Audit Gas Cylinder No.	EB0062585	CC41503
Date of Audit Gas Cert.	5/23/16	5/27/16
Type of Certification	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1
Certified Audit Value (ppmv)	76.7	176.4
CEM Response Value (ppmv)	80.7	184.0
Accuracy	5.3%	4.3%
Standard	<15%	<15%

II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT

A. Out of Control Periods:

B. Corrective Actions: N/A

1.	Dates: N/A
2.	Number of Days N/A

Polluant: H ₂	S			
Applicable N	ISPS Subpart:J_			
Reporting pe	riod dates: From 7/	<u>/1/17</u> to <u>9/30/17</u>		
Date submitt	ed: 10/30/17			
Company: V	Valero Refining - Me	eraux LLC		
Address: 25	500 East St. Bernard	Highway, Meraux, LA 70075		
Emission Lir	mitation: <u>Hydroger</u>	n Sulfide shall not exceed 162 pp	m on a 3-hour roll	ing average.
Monitor Mar	nufacturer and Mode	el No.: <u>Ametek 4661</u>		
Process Unit	(s) Description: Are	ea 4 Fuel Drum for Merox Disult	fide Separator to P	latformer Charge Heater
CEM Sampli	ing Location: Area 4	4 Fuel Drum		
CEM Span V	alue: <u>Hydrogen Su</u>	ılfide, 300 ppm		
I. ACCUR	ACY ASSESSMEN	TT RESULTS (CGA):		
		Date of Audit Audit Gas Cylinder No. Date of Audit Gas Cert. Type of Certification Certified Audit Value (ppmv) CEM Response Value (ppmv) Accuracy Standard	H ₂ S #1 (low scale) 7/21/17 CC467104 5/23/16 EPA Protocol 1 78.0 77.0 1.3% <15%	H ₂ S #2 (high scale) 7/21/17 CC91595 5/27/16 EPA Protocol 1 169.9 167.0 1.7% <15%
II. CALIBI	RATION DRIFT AS	SESSMENT		
A.	Out of Control Peri	ods:		
	1. Dates:	N/A		
	2. Number of Day	ys <u>N/A</u>		
В.	Corrective Actions:	N/A		

Polluant: H ₂ S			
Applicable NSPS Subpart:J			
Reporting period dates: From <u>7/1/17</u> to <u>9/3</u>	30/17_		
Date submitted: 10/30/17			
Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC			
Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, M	Meraux, LA 70075		
Emission Limitation: <u>Hydrogen Sulfide sha</u>	all not exceed 162 pp	m on a 3-hour rolli	ing average.
Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Am	etek 4661		
Process Unit(s) Description: <u>Area 6 Fuel Dr</u>	rum for Hydrocracker	r & Hydrotreater C	Charge Heaters (EPN 1-00, EQT 0009)
CEM Sampling Location: <u>Area 6 Fuel Drun</u>	<u>n</u>		
CEM Span Value: <u>Hydrogen Sulfide</u> , 300 p	<u>pm</u>		
I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULT	'S (CGA):		
Date of Au Type of Ce Certified A	Cylinder No. Idit Gas Cert.	H ₂ S #1 (<u>low scale</u>) 7/14/17 CC182529 5/23/16 EPA Protocol 1 78.4 78.5 0.1% <15%	H ₂ S #2 (high scale) 7/14/17 CC52088 5/27/16 EPA Protocol 1 166.7 167.7 0.6% <15%
II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT	Γ		
A. Out of Control Periods:			
1. Dates: <u>N/A</u>	_		
2. Number of Days <u>N/A</u>	_		
B. Corrective Actions: N/A			

Polluant: H ₂ S	
Applicable NSPS Subpart:J	
Reporting period dates: From <u>7/1/17</u> to <u>9/30/17</u>	
Date submitted: 10/30/17	
Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC	
Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075	
Emission Limitation: <u>Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.</u>	
Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661	
Process Unit(s) Description: Area 6 Fuel Drum for Boilers B-5 (EPN 2-00, EQT 0030) and B-6 (EPN 3-00, EQT 0048)	
CEM Sampling Location: Area 6 Fuel Drum	
CEM Span Value: <u>Hydrogen Sulfide</u> , 300 ppm	
I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT	
A. Out of Control Periods:	
1. Dates: <u>N/A</u>	
2. Number of Days <u>N/A</u>	
B. Corrective Actions: N/A	

Polluant: NO_x					
Applicable NSPS Su	ıbpart: <u>Db</u>				
Reporting period da	tes: From <u>7/1/17</u> to <u>9/30</u>	<u>/17</u>			
Date submitted: 10/	30/17				
Company: Valero R	Refining - Meraux LLC				
Address: 2500 East	St. Bernard Highway, Me	raux, LA 70075			
Emission Limitation	: Nitrogen Oxide shall ne	ot exceed 0.1 pound	d/MMBtu on a 30-c	lay rolling average.	_
Monitor Manufactur	er and Model No.: ABB	Limas11(NOx), M	$agnos27 (O_2)$		
Process Unit(s) Desc	cription: Boiler B-5 (EPN	N 2-00, EQT 0030)	<u> </u>		
CEM Sampling Loc	ation: Boiler B-5				
CEM Span Value:	Nitrogen Oxide 100 ppm,	Oxygen 25 %			
I. ACCURACY A	ASSESSMENT RESULTS	(CGA):			
Audit Date o Type o Certifi CEM l Accura	rd	NOx #1 (low scale) 7/19/17 LL165998 2/4/15 EPA Protocol 1 24.7 ppmv 23.9 ppmv 3.2% <15%	NOx #2 (high scale) 7/19/17 LL64747 5/3/16 EPA Protocol 1 54.5 ppmv 52.5 ppmv 3.6% <15%	O ₂ #1 (low scale) 7/19/17 LL53418 1/28/14 EPA Protocol 1 6.01 vol % 5.86 vol % 2.5% <15%	O ₂ #2 (high scale) 7/19/17 LL167062 1/28/14 EPA Protocol 1 10.01 vol % 9.87 vol % 1.4% <15%
II. CALIBRATION	N DRIFT ASSESSMENT				
A. Out of	Control Periods:				
1. Da	ates: N/A				
2. No	umber of Days N/A				
B. Correc	tive Actions: N/A				

DATA ASSESSMENT REPORT

(per 40 CFR 60, Appendix F, Section 7)

Polluant: NO_x

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Nitrogen Oxide shall not exceed 0.1 pound/MMBtu on a 30-day rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: ABB Limas11(NOx), Magnos27 (O2)

Process Unit(s) Description: Boiler B-6 (EPN 3-00, EQT 0048)

CEM Sampling Location: Boiler B-6

CEM Span Value: Nitrogen Oxide 100 ppm, Oxygen 25 %

I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):

	NOx #1	NOx #2	O ₂ #1	$O_2 \# 2$
	(low scale)	(high scale)	(low scale)	(high scale)
Date of Audit	7/20/17	7/20/17	7/20/17	7/20/17
Audit Gas Cylinder No.	LL165998	LL64747	LL53418	LL167062
Date of Audit Gas Cert.	2/4/15	5/3/16	1/28/14	1/28/14
Type of Certification	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1
Certified Audit Value	24.7 ppmv	54.5 ppmv	6.01 vol %	10.01 vol %
CEM Response Value	25.6 ppmv	54.8 ppmv	5.91 vol %	9.93 vol %
Accuracy	3.7%	0.6%	1.7%	0.8%
Standard	<15%	<15%	<15%	<15%

II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT

A. Out of Control Periods

- 1. Dates: <u>N/A</u>
- 2. Number of Days N/A
- B. Corrective Actions: N/A

DATA ASSESSMENT REPORT

(per 40 CFR 60, Appendix F, Section 7,

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Nitrogen Oxide shall not exceed 0.1 pound/MMBtu on a 30-day rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Environmental Model 42i (NOx)/(O2)

Process Unit(s) Description: Boiler TB-01 (EPN 1-06, EQT 0010)

CEM Sampling Location: Boiler TB-01

CEM Span Value: Nitrogen Oxide 500 ppm, Oxygen 25 %

I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):

	NOx #1	NOx #2	$O_2 #1$	$O_2 \# 2$
	(low scale)	(high scale)	(low scale)	(high scale)
Date of Audit	7/17/17	7/17/17	7/17/17	7/17/17
Audit Gas Cylinder No.	SG9167966	CC89303	LL269	LL168197
Date of Audit Gas Cert.	5/31/16	2/11/14	4/26/16	4/25/16
Type of Certification	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1
Certified Audit Value	126.9 ppmv	270.5 ppmv	6.03 vol %	10.10 vol %
CEM Response Value	124.6 ppmv	261.0 ppmv	5.60 vol %	9.50 vol %
Accuracy	1.8%	3.5%	7.1%	5.9%
Standard	<15%	<15%	<15%	<15%

II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT

	_	_	~	
Α.	Out	at i	('ontro	l Periods:
л.	Out	UI '	Conno	i r ciioas.

- 1. Dates: <u>N/A</u>
- 2. Number of Days N/A
- B. Corrective Actions: N/A

Polluant: NO_x

Applicable l	NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>				
Reporting p	eriod dates: From <u>7/1/17</u> to <u>9/30</u>	0/17			
Date submit	ted: 10/30/17				
Company:	Valero Refining - Meraux LLC				
Address: 25	500 East St. Bernard Highway, Me	eraux, LA 70075			
Emission Li	mitation: Nitrogen Oxide correc	eted to 0% O ₂ shall	not exceed 40 ppm	on a 30-day rolling	average
	nufacturer and Model No.: Theri	_		-	
	t(s) Description: Benzene Recove				
	ling Location: Benzene Recovery	-	311,1 02, 241 012	,	
-	Value: Nitrogen Oxide 100 ppm,	_			
CEM Span	value. Nitrogen Oxide 100 ppin,	Oxygen 25 %			
I. ACCUI	RACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS	S (CGA):			
		NOx #1	NOx #2	O ₂ #1	O ₂ #2
	<u>CGA</u>	(low scale)	(high scale)	(low scale)	(high scale)
	Date of Audit	7/13/17 CC430476	7/13/17 CC307733	7/13/17 CC483658	7/13/17 CC87078
	Audit Gas Cylinder No. Date of Audit Gas Cert.	6/2/16	6/2/16	5/23/16	5/23/16
	Type of Certification	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1
	Certified Audit Value	25.0 ppmv	55.8 ppmv	5.96 vol %	9.94 vol %
	CEM Response Value	25.2 ppmv	55.4 ppmv	5.67 vol %	9.60 vol %
	Accuracy	0.9%	0.7%	4.9%	3.4%
	Standard	<15%	<15%	<15%	<15%
II. CALIB	RATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT				
A.	Out of Control Periods:				
	1. Dates: N/A	-			
	2. Number of Days N/A				

B. Corrective Actions: N/A

DATA ASSESSMENT REPORT

(per 40 CFR 60, Appendix F, Section 7)

Pollutant: NO_x

Applicable NSPS Subpart: N/A (Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 36.a)

Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17

Date submitted: 10/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Nitrogen Oxide corrected to 0% O₂ shall not exceed 40 ppm on a 30-day rolling average

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Environmental Model 42i (NOx)/(O2)

Process Unit(s) Description: No.1 Crude Heater (EPN 12-72A, EQT 0022)

CEM Sampling Location: No.1 Crude Heater

CEM Span Value: Nitrogen Oxide 100 ppm, Oxygen 25 %

I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):

	NOx #1	NOx #2	$O_2 #1$	$O_2 \# 2$
<u>CGA</u>	(low scale)	(high scale)	(low scale)	(high scale)
Date of Audit	7/21/17	7/21/17	7/21/17	7/21/17
Audit Gas Cylinder No.	LL178685	CC319153	CC483658	CC222165
Date of Audit Gas Cert.	5/2/16	6/2/16	5/23/16	5/23/16
Type of Certification	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1
Certified Audit Value	24.7 ppmv	55.4 ppmv	5.99 vol %	9.96 vol %
CEM Response Value	26.0 ppmv	58.6 ppmv	6.02 vol %	10.03 vol %
Accuracy	5.3%	5.7%	0.4%	0.7%
Standard	<15%	<15%	<15%	<15%

II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT

A. Out of Control Periods:

1. Dates: $\frac{7/10/17}{10/17}$, $\frac{07:00-7/11/17}{10/17}$, $\frac{09:00}{10/17}$

2. Number of Days 1.1 (26 hours)

B. Corrective Actions: On 7/11/17, the NOx span was > 4 times the allowable limit below the reference gas value. Valero inspected the analyzer and no cause could be determined other than calibration drift over time. Valero recalibrated the analyzer and returned it to service.

Pollutant: H ₂ S						
Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>	_					
Reporting period dates: From	1/17 to 9/30/17					
Date submitted: 10/30/17						
Company: Valero Refining - Mer	raux LLC_					
Address: 2500 East St. Bernard I	Highway, Meraux, LA 70075					
Emission Limitation: <u>Hydrogen</u>	Sulfide shall not exceed 162 pp	m on a 3-hour rolli	ing average.			
Monitor Manufacturer and Model	l No.: Ametek 5100					
Process Unit(s) Description: Nort	h Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT	0035), North Flar	re Header_			
CEM Sampling Location: North	Flare Stack, North Flare Header	(Y-AT-801)				
CEM Span Value: <u>Hydrogen Su</u>	lfide, 300 ppm					
	Date of Audit Audit Gas Cylinder No. Date of Audit Gas Cert. Type of Certification Certified Audit Value CEM Response Value Accuracy Standard	H ₂ S #1 (<u>low scale</u>) 7/12/17 CC441826 10/3/16 EPA Protocol 1 79.1 ppmv 79.7 ppmv 0.8% <15%	H ₂ S #2 (high scale) 7/12/17 CC288207 10/4/16 EPA Protocol 1 177.3 ppmv 178.0 ppmv 0.4% <15%			
II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASS	SESSMENT					
A. Out of Control Perio	A. Out of Control Periods:					
1. Dates:	N/A					
2. Number of Day	rs <u>N/A</u>					
B. Corrective Actions:	N/A					

Pollutant: H_2S

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>	<u> </u>				
Reporting period dates: From <u>7</u>	/1/17_to_9/30/17_				
Date submitted: 10/30/17					
Company: Valero Refining - Mo	eraux LLC				
Address: 2500 East St. Bernard	Highway, Meraux, LA 70075				
Emission Limitation: <u>Hydroge</u>	n Sulfide shall not exceed 162 pp	m on a 3-hour roll	ing average.		
Monitor Manufacturer and Mode	el No.: Ametek 5100				
Process Unit(s) Description: Non	th Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT	0035), Hydrocrac	ker Flare Header		
CEM Sampling Location: North	Flare Stack, Hydrocracker Flare	e Header (Y-AT-8	00)		
CEM Span Value: <u>Hydrogen S</u>	ulfide, 300 ppm				
I. ACCURACY ASSESSMEN	NT RESULTS (CGA):				
	Date of Audit Audit Gas Cylinder No. Date of Audit Gas Cert. Type of Certification Certified Audit Value (ppmv) CEM Response Value (ppmv) Accuracy Standard	H ₂ S #1 (<u>low scale</u>) 7/12/17 CC416820 10/3/16 EPA Protocol 1 81.5 ppmv 76.0 ppmv 6.8% <15%	H ₂ S #2 (high scale) 7/12/17 CC407913 10/4/16 EPA Protocol 1 175.6 ppmv 172.0 ppmv 2.1% <15%		
II. CALIBRATION DRIFT AS	SSESSMENT				
A. Out of Control Periods:					
1. Dates:	<u>N/A</u>				
2. Number of Da	ys <u>N/A</u>				
B. Corrective Actions	: <u>N/A</u>				

Pollutant: H_2S

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>							
Reporting period dates: From <u>7/1/2</u>	deporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17						
Date submitted: 10/30/17							
Company: Valero Refining - Merau	ux LLC						
Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Hig	ghway, Meraux, LA 70075	<u>: </u>					
Emission Limitation: <u>Hydrogen S</u>	ulfide shall not exceed 162	2 ppm on a 3-hour	rolling average.				
Monitor Manufacturer and Model N	No.: Ametek 5100						
Process Unit(s) Description: South	Flare Stack (EPN 3-77, E	QT 0049)					
CEM Sampling Location: South Fl	are Stack (Y-AT-802)						
CEM Span Value: <u>Hydrogen Sulfi</u>	de, 300 ppm						
I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT	Date of Audit Audit Gas Cylinder No. Date of Audit Gas Cert. Type of Certification Certified Audit Value CEM Response Value	H ₂ S #1 (<u>low scale</u>) 7/12/17 CC416820 10/3/16 EPA Protocol 1 81.5 ppmv 77.3 ppmv	H ₂ S #2 (high scale) 7/12/17 CC407913 10/4/16 EPA Protocol 1 175.6 ppmv 172.0 ppmv				
	Accuracy Standard	5.2% <15%	2.1% <15%				
II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSE A. Out of Control Periods 1. Dates: 2. Number of Days	s: <u>N/A</u>						
B. Corrective Actions:	N/A						

Pollutant: Total Sulfur		
Applicable NSPS Subpart:(Required by Consent Decree	:: 3:10-cv-00563-bb	oc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)
Reporting period dates: From <u>7/1/17</u> to <u>9/30/17</u>		
Date submitted: <u>10/30/17</u>		
Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC		
Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075		
Emission Limitation: None		
Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Scientific SOLA	<u>v II</u>	
Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQ	<u>T 0035), North Flar</u>	re Header
CEM Sampling Location: North Flare Stack, North Flare Heade	<u>r (Y-AT-303)</u>	
CEM Span Value: Total Sulfur, Dual Range: 0-10,000 ppm, 10	,000-1,000,000 ppn	<u>n</u>
Date of Audit Audit Gas Cylinder No. Date of Audit Gas Cert. Type of Certification Certified Audit Value (ppmv) CEM Response Value (ppmv) Accuracy Standard 1 Valero unable to obtain EPA Protocol 1 certified	H ₂ S #1 (low scale) 3/20/17 CC441826 10/3/16 EPA Protocol 1 79.1 ppmv 77.3 ppmv 2.3% <15%	H ₂ S #2 (high scale) 3/20/17 CC288207 10/4/16 EPA Protocol 1 177.3 ppmv 177.7 ppmv 0.2% <15% an 1000 ppm.
II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT		
A. Out of Control Periods:		
1. Dates: <u>N/A</u>		
2. Number of Days <u>N/A</u>		
B. Corrective Actions: N/A		

Pollutant: Total Sulfur						
Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)						
Reporting period dates: From 7/1/17 to 9/30/17						
Date submitted: <u>10/30/17</u>						
Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC						
Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075						
Emission Limitation: None						
Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Scientific SOLA	<u>II</u>					
Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT	0035), Hydrocrac	ker Flare Header				
CEM Sampling Location: North Flare Stack, Hydrocracker Flare	Header (Y-AT-3	02)				
CEM Span Value: Total Sulfur, Dual Range: 0-10,000 ppm, 10,0	000-1,000,000 ppn	1				
I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):	II C #1	II G #2				
Date of Audit Audit Gas Cylinder No. Date of Audit Gas Cert. Type of Certification Certified Audit Value (ppmv) CEM Response Value (ppmv) Accuracy Standard	H ₂ S #1 (low scale) 3/20/17 CC441826 10/3/16 EPA Protocol 1 79.1 ppmv 77.3 ppmv 2.3% <15%	H ₂ S #2 (high scale) 3/20/17 CC288207 10/4/16 EPA Protocol 1 177.3 ppmv 177.7 ppmv 0.2% <15%				
¹ Valero unable to obtain EPA Protocol 1 certific	ed gases greater th	an 1000 ppm.				
II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT						
A. Out of Control Periods:						
1. Dates: N/A						
2. Number of Days N/A						
B. Corrective Actions: <u>N/A</u>						

Pollutant: To					
Applicable NS	SPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>	(Required by Consent Deci	ree: 3:10-cv-00563	-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)	
Reporting per	iod dates: From 7/1/	17_to_9/30/17_			
Date submitte	d: 10/30/17				
Company: Va	alero Refining - Merai	ux LLC_			
Address: 250	0 East St. Bernard Hig	ghway, Meraux, LA 70075	<u> </u>		
Emission Lim	itation: None				
Monitor Manu	ufacturer and Model N	No.: Thermo Scientific SO	LA II		
Process Unit(s	s) Description: South	Flare Stack (EPN 3-77, E	QT 0049)		
CEM Samplin	ng Location: South Fl	are Stack (Y-AT-304)			
CEM Span Va	alue: Total Sulfur, D	ual Range: 0-10,000 ppm,	10,000-1,000,000 1	opm_	
	¹ Valaro unabla to	Date of Audit Audit Gas Cylinder No. Date of Audit Gas Cert. Type of Certification Certified Audit Value CEM Response Value Accuracy Standard	H ₂ S #1 (<u>low scale</u>) 3/20/17 CC441826 10/3/16 EPA Protocol 1 79.1 ppmv 71.0 ppmv 10.2% <15%	H ₂ S #2 (high scale) 3/20/17 CC288207 10/4/16 EPA Protocol 1 177.3 ppmv 171.0 ppmv 3.6% <15%	
			runed gases greate	г шан 1000 ррш.	
II. CALIBR.	ATION DRIFT ASSE	SSMENT			
Α. (Out of Control Periods	s:			
-	1. Dates:	N/A			
2	2. Number of Days	N/A			
R (Corrective Actions:	N/A			

Appendix A Ja Root Cause and Corrective Action Analysis

Subpart Ja Root Cause / Corrective	ve Action Analysis	lı	mpact Incident Number:	172271 / 172270
The information contained below satisfies	s the requirements of the NSPS	Subpart Ja 60.108a(c)(6).	
Report: Refinery: Incident Type: Emissions Source(s):	Final Valero (Meraux) Flaring (Flow and SO2), #3 SR North Flare (EPN 20-72, EQT 0		Date of Event: te Analysis Completed:	8/27/16 9/22/16
	#3 SRU Incinerator (EPN 5-00)		ce i iliaiyala eeliipieteel	
(1.) A description of the Discharge:				(60.108a(c)(6)(i))
At approximately 15:00 on 8/27/16, the Disof the #3 SRU. Excess emissions occurred a TGT from the resulting process swing, but e SO2 emissions >500 lbs/24 hours while rest the #2 Amine Unit. The heaters and boilers Ja heater had SO2 emissions >500 lbs/24 hours while rest the #2 Amine Unit. The heaters and boilers Ja heater had SO2 emissions >500 lbs/24 hours volume of the North Flare. This resulted in SO2 above baseline in a 24 hour period. Valero personnel were working in the rear installation of a new DCS system.	at the #2 SRU, the #3 SRU, the Note that the #2 SRU were that the the that the the that the the that the the the that the the that the the the the the the the the the th	forth Flare, and multiple e less than 500 lbs/24 ho wed due to fouled inlet so n elevated levels of H2S o ine Flash Drum on the H wed 500 lbs in a 24 hour p	heaters and boilers. The urs above the allowable in creen on a plate and fram due to the loss of the #3 S ydrocracker Unit over-pr period and flow greater to	#2 SRU lost the #2 limit. The #3 SRU had he heat exchanger in SRU complex, but no essured and lifted the han 500,000 scf
(2.)				and (60.108a(c)(6)(ix))
Date/Time	charge was first identified	North Flare 8/27/16 15:06 8/28/16 12:10	#3 SRU 8/27/16 18:11 8/28/16 8:15	- -
Duration	of Discharge (Calculated)	21.1	14.1	hrs
(3.) The steps taken to limit the emissions dur Valero initiated it's refinery sulfur shedding volume of this discharge. Once Valero iden isolated.	procedure and followed its Fla		•	
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine and stat Note: If the discharge was a result of a plan was followed.			uired if the flare manage.	(60.108a(c)(6)(xi)) ment plan
Did the discharge result from a planned st	tartup or shutdown?		No	(Yes/No)
Was the flare management plan followed Is the event exempt from a RC/CCA based - If yes, skip section 5-7.			Yes No	_(Yes/No/N/A) _(Yes/No)
(5.) Root Cause Analysis: Describe in detail th Did this discharge result from root causes	• •	•	inable: No	(60.108a(c)(6)(ix)) _(Yes/No)
Improper installation of the original DCS of the DCS power cabinet. (The equipment Contributing Factors	involved in this incident will be	replaced with the new l	DCS system.)	-
The level control valve between the Recyleak out of the Recycle Gas Scrubber and or The recovery of the #3 SRU was delayed	verpressure the Rich Amine Flas	sh Drum.		

(6.) (60.108a(c)(6)(ix))

Corrective Action Analysis: Include a description of the recommended corrective action(s) or an explanation of why corrective action is not Is corrective action required?

Yes (Yes/No)

- 1) Verify that the redundant power supply has been properly installed on the remaining original DCS equipment.
- 2) Develop a Hydrocracker Unit Loss of Amine procedure to include manually isolating the Rich Amine Flash Drum from Recycle Gas Scrubber.
- 3) Evaluate installing differential pressure monitoring to indicate fouling of the inlet screen to the plate exchanger.

(7.) (60.108a(c)(6)(x))

Corrective Action Schedule: Include corrective actions already completed within the first 45 days following the discharge. For those not completed, provide a schedule for implementation, including proposed commencement and completion dates.

1) Verify that the redundant power supply has been properly installed on the remaining original DCS equipment.

Commencement Date: 9/22/16

Completed: 10/24/16

2) Develop a Hydrocracker Unit Loss of Amine procedure to include manually isolating the Rich Amine Flash Drum from Recycle Gas Scrubber.

Commencement Date: 9/22/16

Completed: 11/1/16

3) Evaluate installing differential pressure monitoring to indicate fouling of the inlet screen to the plate exchanger.

Commencement Date: 9/22/16

Completed: 3/16/17

Valero determined that installation of differential pressure monitoring to indicate fouling was required. A new action item was created for the installation of this differential pressure monitoring.

4) Complete installation of differential pressure monitoring of the inlet screens to both plate exchangers.

Commencement Date: 3/16/17

Completed: 9/14/17

(8.) North Flare

The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(iv))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
First hour of 24-hr Period	Last hour of 24-hr Period	24-hr cumulative volume of flared gas above Baseline	TRS or H2S ppm (24-hr average, flow- weighted)	24-hr cumulative SO2	24-hr cumulative reduced sulfur
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
8/26/2016 15:00	8/27/2016 14:00	0	0	0	0
8/26/2016 16:00	8/27/2016 15:00	828,035	639	2090.4	11.2
8/26/2016 17:00	8/27/2016 16:00	1,758,230	1559	5473.0	29.4
8/26/2016 18:00	8/27/2016 17:00	2,778,600	2389	8820.4	47.4
8/26/2016 19:00	8/27/2016 18:00	3,751,297	3180	11864.7	63.8
8/26/2016 20:00	8/27/2016 19:00	4,680,576	3971	14768.6	79.4
8/26/2016 21:00	8/27/2016 20:00	4,910,444	5043	15742.3	84.6
8/26/2016 22:00	8/27/2016 21:00	4,910,444	5043	15742.3	84.6
8/26/2016 23:00	8/27/2016 22:00	4,946,570	5466	15802.8	84.9
8/27/2016 0:00	8/27/2016 23:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 1:00	8/28/2016 0:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 2:00	8/28/2016 1:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 3:00	8/28/2016 2:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 4:00	8/28/2016 3:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 5:00	8/28/2016 4:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 6:00	8/28/2016 5:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 7:00	8/28/2016 6:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 8:00	8/28/2016 7:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 9:00	8/28/2016 8:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4

(8.) North Flare

The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

Note: Measured sulfur concentrations are shown as flow-weighted averages if multiple measurement devices were used.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(iv))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
First hour of 24-hr Period	Last hour of 24-hr Period	24-hr cumulative volume of flared gas above Baseline	TRS or H2S ppm (24-hr average, flow- weighted)	24-hr cumulative SO2	24-hr cumulative reduced sulfur
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
8/27/2016 10:00	8/28/2016 9:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 11:00	8/28/2016 10:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 12:00	8/28/2016 11:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 13:00	8/28/2016 12:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 14:00	8/28/2016 13:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 15:00	8/28/2016 14:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 16:00	8/28/2016 15:00	4,173,851	6918	14169.6	76.1
8/27/2016 17:00	8/28/2016 16:00	3,243,656	5998	10787.0	58.0
8/27/2016 18:00	8/28/2016 17:00	2,223,286	5168	7439.6	40.0
8/27/2016 19:00	8/28/2016 18:00	1,250,589	4377	4395.3	23.6
8/27/2016 20:00	8/28/2016 19:00	321,311	3586	1491.3	8.0
8/27/2016 21:00	8/28/2016 20:00	91,442	2514	517.6	2.8
8/27/2016 22:00	8/28/2016 21:00	91,442	2514	517.6	2.8
8/27/2016 23:00	8/28/2016 22:00	55,316	2091	457.1	2.5
8/28/2016 0:00	8/28/2016 23:00	0	0	0.0	0.0

(9.) #3 SRU

The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vi))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
First hour of 24-hr Period	Last hour of 24-hr Period	24-hr cumulative volume	SO ₂ ppm (24-hr average, flow- weighted) ¹	24-hr cumulative SO ₂ ²	24-hr cumulative reduced sulfur
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
8/26/2016 18:00	8/27/2016 17:00	27,255,334	29	113.4	0.6
8/26/2016 19:00	8/27/2016 18:00	27,260,948	58	235.1	1.3
8/26/2016 20:00	8/27/2016 19:00	26,468,252	104	290.9	1.6
8/26/2016 21:00	8/27/2016 20:00	25,576,964	149	320.7	1.7
8/26/2016 22:00	8/27/2016 21:00	24,682,472	195	348.1	1.9
8/26/2016 23:00	8/27/2016 22:00	23,889,098	218	365.6	2.0
8/27/2016 0:00	8/27/2016 23:00	23,146,658	223	368.3	2.0
8/27/2016 1:00	8/28/2016 0:00	22,321,852	227	368.5	2.0
8/27/2016 2:00	8/28/2016 1:00	21,497,238	231	368.1	2.0
8/27/2016 3:00	8/28/2016 2:00	20,635,496	234	366.4	2.0
8/27/2016 4:00	8/28/2016 3:00	19,874,234	238	366.6	2.0
8/27/2016 5:00	8/28/2016 4:00	19,145,942	241	367.6	2.0
8/27/2016 6:00	8/28/2016 5:00	18,874,473	277	461.5	2.5
8/27/2016 7:00	8/28/2016 6:00	18,945,257	315	621.5	3.3
8/27/2016 8:00	8/28/2016 7:00	18,792,136	345	729.1	3.9
8/27/2016 9:00	8/28/2016 8:00	18,463,081	352	747.4	4.0
8/27/2016 10:00	8/28/2016 9:00	18,096,094	355	753.3	4.0
8/27/2016 11:00	8/28/2016 10:00	17,775,016	358	759.7	4.1
8/27/2016 12:00	8/28/2016 11:00	17,518,615	359	760.5	4.1
8/27/2016 13:00	8/28/2016 12:00	17,345,541	360	762.1	4.1
8/27/2016 14:00	8/28/2016 13:00	17,247,716	361	765.1	4.1
8/27/2016 15:00	8/28/2016 14:00	17,210,286	362	769.1	4.1
8/27/2016 16:00	8/28/2016 15:00	17,014,029	364	778.2	4.2
8/27/2016 17:00	8/28/2016 16:00	16,457,597	366	787.1	4.2
8/27/2016 18:00	8/28/2016 17:00	15,702,164	369	795.5	4.3
8/27/2016 19:00	8/28/2016 18:00	15,646,501	340	676.3	3.6

¹ SRU SO2 CEMS are spanned to 500 ppm. For emissions calculations, Valero assumes 2 times the span, 1000 ppm, for CEMS readings >= 500 ppm.

² Tail Gas Treater bypass emissions are calculated using a mass balance method, not using the flow and concentration values listed here.

Subpart Ja Root Cause /	Corrective Action Analysis		Impact Incident Number:	175687
The information contained belo	ow satisfies the requirements of the NSPS	S Subpart Ja 60.1086	a(c)(6).	
Report: Refinery:	Update Valero (Meraux)			
, Incident Type:	Flaring (Flow and SO2)		- Date of Event:	11/17/17
Emissions Source(s):	North Flare (EPN 20-72, EQT 0	0035)	Date Analysis Completed:	12/14/16
(1.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(i))
A description of the Discharge: On 11/17/16, at approximately	02:36, the Hydrocracker (HC) Recycle Gas HC and a high rate depressurization to the			ssure. This initiated
(2.)			(60.108a(c)(6)(ii))	and (60.108a(c)(6)(ix))
Date and Tin	ne the discharge was first identified	11/17/16 2:50	_	
	Pate/Time the discharge had ceased	11/17/16 4:17	_	
	Duration of Discharge (Calculated)	1.5	hrs	
(3.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(viii))
The steps taken to limit the em	nissions during the discharge: ization Plan and Operations Procedures to	o minimize the volun	ne of this discharge.	(66.1664(6)(0)(4111))
(4.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(xi))
was followed. Did the discharge result from a Was the flare management pla	ult of a planned startup or shutdown, a Re planned startup or shutdown? in followed? /CCA based on the answers above?	L/CAA analysis is no	No Yes No	_(Yes/No) _(Yes/No/N/A) _(Yes/No)
(5.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(ix))
	in detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incide	nt, to the extent det	erminable:	
	oot causes identified in a previous analy		Yes	(Yes/No)
Compressors supply H2 to the R sets of filters with drains before on all 3 sets of filters. For this in	ystem caused the high pressure that tripp ecycle Gas Compressor seal gas system. (it reaches the Recycle Gas Compressor. F ncident, the drains on the filters were not alarm on high oil levels, but did not funct	Oil is entrained in thi Prior to this incident, open. A newly insta	s gas and is normally remov Valero normally operated w	ed by a series of 3 with the drains open
Is corrective action required?	clude a description of the recommended Yes (Yes/	'No)		
2) Update Recycle Gas Compres	sor start-up procedure to include opening	the drain valves on	these filters.	
3) Evaluate supplying dry gas fr	om the discharge of the Recycle Gas Comp	oressor rather than t	the Make Up Gas Compresso	r.
4) Install low point drain on the	Make Up Gas Compressors 3rd stage disc	charge bottles to allo	ow them to be drained routin	nely.
5) Evaluate installing cyclone fil	ter ahead of the 1st set of filters.			

(7.) (60.108a(c)(6)(x))

Corrective Action Schedule: Include corrective actions already completed within the first 45 days following the discharge. For those not completed, provide a schedule for implementation, including proposed commencement and completion dates.

1) Add to the shift operator rounds to verify that the drains are open on all three sets of filters that supply gas to the Recycle Gas Compressor dry seal.

Commencement Date: 12/14/16

Completed: 12/16/16

2) Update Recycle Gas Compressor start-up procedure to include opening the drain valves on these filters.

Commencement Date: 12/14/16

Completed: 12/19/16

3) Evaluate supplying dry gas from the discharge of the Recycle Gas Compressor rather than the Make Up Gas Compressor.

Commencement Date: 12/14/16

Completed: 9/12/17

Valero determined that detailed engineering design is required to further evaluate this Action Item. Once this is complete and if Valero decides to implement this project, a new Action Item will be created.

4) Install low point drain on the Make Up Gas Compressors 3rd stage discharge bottles to allow them to be drained routinely.

Commencement Date: 12/14/16
Estimated Completion Date: 12/31/18

5) Evaluate installing cyclone filter ahead of the 1st set of filters.

Commencement Date: 12/14/16

Completed: 9/12/17

This Action Item is not necessary if the dry gas is supplied from the discharge of the Recycle Gas Compressor.

(8.)
The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.
Note: Measured sulfur concentrations are shown as flow-weighted averages if multiple measurement devices were used.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(iv))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
		24-hr cumulative	TRS or H2S ppm		24-hr cumulative
First hour of 24-hr	Last hour of 24-hr	volume of flared gas	(24-hr average, flow-	24-hr cumulative SO2	reduced sulfur
Period	Period	above Baseline	weighted)		reduced Sullui
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
11/16/2016 2:00	11/17/2016 1:00	0	0	0.0	0.0
11/16/2016 3:00	11/17/2016 2:00	182,185	215	155.2	0.8
11/16/2016 4:00	11/17/2016 3:00	1,633,661	565	2159.3	11.6
11/16/2016 5:00	11/17/2016 4:00	1,705,235	653	2184.1	11.7
11/16/2016 6:00	11/17/2016 5:00	1,741,310	677	2187.6	11.8
11/16/2016 7:00	11/17/2016 6:00	1,776,367	698	2190.5	11.8
11/16/2016 8:00	11/17/2016 7:00	1,795,718	728	2192.8	11.8
11/16/2016 9:00	11/17/2016 8:00	1,816,746	765	2195.9	11.8
11/16/2016 10:00	11/17/2016 9:00	1,914,534	886	2242.6	12.1
11/16/2016 11:00	11/17/2016 10:00	2,008,282	908	2250.9	12.1
11/16/2016 12:00	11/17/2016 11:00	2,105,191	918	2254.4	12.1
11/16/2016 13:00	11/17/2016 12:00	2,160,052	919	2254.7	12.1
11/16/2016 14:00	11/17/2016 13:00	2,211,098	920	2254.9	12.1
11/16/2016 15:00	11/17/2016 14:00	2,250,238	921	2255.0	12.1
11/16/2016 16:00	11/17/2016 15:00	2,280,939	921	2255.1	12.1
11/16/2016 17:00	11/17/2016 16:00	2,290,276	922	2255.1	12.1
11/16/2016 18:00	11/17/2016 17:00	2,290,276	922	2255.1	12.1
11/16/2016 19:00	11/17/2016 18:00	2,290,276	922	2255.1	12.1
11/16/2016 20:00	11/17/2016 19:00	2,290,276	922	2255.1	12.1
11/16/2016 21:00	11/17/2016 20:00	2,290,276	922	2255.1	12.1
11/16/2016 22:00	11/17/2016 21:00	2,290,276	922	2255.1	12.1
11/16/2016 23:00	11/17/2016 22:00	2,290,276	922	2255.1	12.1
11/17/2016 0:00	11/17/2016 23:00	2,292,291	932	2255.2	12.1
11/17/2016 1:00	11/18/2016 0:00	2,292,291	932	2255.2	12.1
11/17/2016 2:00	11/18/2016 1:00	2,292,291	932	2255.2	12.1
11/17/2016 3:00	11/18/2016 2:00	2,110,106	717	2100.0	11.3
11/17/2016 4:00	11/18/2016 3:00	658,629	367	95.9	0.5
11/17/2016 5:00	11/18/2016 4:00	587,056	279	71.0	0.4
11/17/2016 6:00	11/18/2016 5:00	550,981	255	67.6	0.4
11/17/2016 7:00	11/18/2016 6:00	532,810	240	65.1	0.3
11/17/2016 8:00	11/18/2016 7:00	604,203	218	65.5	0.4
11/17/2016 9:00	11/18/2016 8:00	676,414	185	64.0	0.3
11/17/2016 10:00	11/18/2016 9:00	609,687	68	17.8	0.1
11/17/2016 11:00	11/18/2016 10:00	563,519	48	9.9	0.1
11/17/2016 12:00	11/18/2016 11:00	515,450	40	6.8	0.0
11/17/2016 13:00	11/18/2016 12:00	462,444	41	6.5	0.0

	Cause / Cori				pc	ict Incident Numbe	1700	
The information cont	ained below sa	tisfies the requirement	ts of the NSF	PS Subpart Ja 60.	108a(c)(6).			
Report:		Update						
Refinery:		Valero (Meraux)						
Incident Type:		Flaring (Flow and				Date of Ever	nt:	11/29/1
Emissions Source(s):		North Flare (EPN		0035)	Date A	Analysis Completed	l:	1/5/17
(1.)								(60.108a
A description of the D	ischarge:							
caused the high presso pressure in the strippe	ure of the interior system cause seded 500,000	, a leak developed in th mediate separator vapo d all PSVs in this circuit SCF/24 hours and 500 l	or circuit to d (Stripper, St	dump into the lov ripper Off-gas So	wer pressure crubber and	e stripper system. T Rich Amine Flash L	The resu Drum) to	lting high o relieve to
(0.)						/50.400./.\/5\/::		50.100. ()
(2.)	Ti		±:£:l	11/20/16 1	4.07	(60.108a(c)(6)(ii)) and ((60.108a(c)
Da		e discharge was first ide		11/29/16 1				
		ime the discharge had		12/1/16 1	7:00 50.9 hrs			
	Dura	ition of Discharge (Calc	.uiateu)		1115			
		ns during the discharge In Plan and Operations		o minimize the v	olume of th	is discharge.	(6)	0.108a(c)(
The steps taken to lin Valero followed its Fla (4.)	re Minimizatio	n Plan and Operations	Procedures t		olume of th	is discharge.		0.108a(c)(60.108a(c)
The steps taken to lin Valero followed its Fla (4.) Necessity of RC/CAA:	re Minimizatio Determine an		Procedures t	ssary:			(1	60.108a(c
The steps taken to lin Valero followed its Fla (4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Note: If the discharge was followed.	re Minimizatio Determine an was a result of	n Plan and Operations d state whether a RC/	Procedures t CAA is neces hutdown, a R	ssary:			(I agemen	60.108a(c
The steps taken to lin Valero followed its Fla (4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Note: If the discharge was followed.	re Minimizatio Determine an was a result of	d state whether a RC/a planned startup or sh	Procedures t CAA is neces hutdown, a R	ssary:		ed if the flare man	(I agemen	60.108a(c) nt plan
The steps taken to lin Valero followed its Fla (4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Note: If the discharge was followed. Did the discharge ress Was the flare manage	Determine an was a result of ult from a plan ement plan foll	d state whether a RC/a planned startup or sh	Procedures t CAA is neces hutdown, a R	ssary:		ed if the flare mand	(I agemen	60.108a(c nt plan /No) /No/N/A)
The steps taken to lin Valero followed its Fla (4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Note: If the discharge was followed. Did the discharge ress Was the flare manage	Determine an was a result of ult from a plan ement plan folloom a RC/CCA	d state whether a RC/o a planned startup or sh ned startup or shutdor owed?	Procedures t CAA is neces hutdown, a R	ssary:		ed if the flare mand No Yes	(I agemen (Yes (Yes	60.108a(c nt plan /No) /No/N/A)
The steps taken to lin Valero followed its Fla (4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Note: If the discharge was followed. Did the discharge resi Was the flare manage Is the event exempt f	Determine an was a result of ult from a plan ement plan folloom a RC/CCA	d state whether a RC/o a planned startup or sh ned startup or shutdor owed?	Procedures t CAA is neces hutdown, a R	ssary:		ed if the flare mand No Yes	(Yes (Yes	60.108a(c) nt plan /No) /No/N/A) /No)
The steps taken to lin Valero followed its Fla (4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Note: If the discharge was followed. Did the discharge res Was the flare manage Is the event exempt f - If yes, skip section (5.)	Determine an was a result of ult from a plan ement plan foll rom a RC/CCA n 5-7.	d state whether a RC/o a planned startup or sh ned startup or shutdor owed?	CAA is neces that down, a Factorial was a subove?	is ary: BC/CAA analysis i	is not requir	ed if the flare mand No Yes No	(Yes (Yes	60.108a(c nt plan /No) /No/N/A)
The steps taken to lin Valero followed its Fla (4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Note: If the discharge was followed. Did the discharge res Was the flare manage Is the event exempt f - If yes, skip section (5.) Root Cause Analysis:	Determine an was a result of all from a plan rement plan folloom a RC/CCA n 5-7.	d state whether a RC/a planned startup or shaded shaded?	CAA is neces that down, a Factorial way. above?	ssary: CC/CAA analysis i	is not requir	ed if the flare mand No Yes No	(Yes (Yes (Yes	60.108a(c) nt plan /No) /No/N/A) /No)
The steps taken to lin Valero followed its Fla (4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Note: If the discharge was followed. Did the discharge res Was the flare manage Is the event exempt f - If yes, skip section (5.) Root Cause Analysis: Did this discharge res	Determine an was a result of ult from a plan rement plan foll rom a RC/CCA n 5-7. Describe in de ult from root c	d state whether a RC/a planned startup or shadowed? based on the answers	CAA is neces that down, a Rawn? above? of the Inciderevious anal	ent, to the exten	s not requir	No Yes No No No	(Yes (Yes (Yes (Yes	60.108a(c) nt plan /No) /No/N/A) /No) 60.108a(c) /No)
The steps taken to lin Valero followed its Fla (4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Note: If the discharge was followed. Did the discharge res Was the flare manage Is the event exempt f - If yes, skip section (5.) Root Cause Analysis: Did this discharge res Inspection of the heat	Determine an was a result of alt from a plan rement plan folloom a RC/CCA 15-7. Describe in de alt from root cexchanger reve	d state whether a RC/c a planned startup or sh ned startup or shutdor owed? based on the answers tail the Root Cause(s) auses identified in a pi	CAA is neces that down, a Rawn? above? of the Inciderevious analerrosion on the	ent, to the exten ysis? e outside diamet	t determina	No Yes No Able: No bes, concentrated is	(Yes (Yes (Yes (Yes	60.108a(c) nt plan /No) /No/N/A) /No) 60.108a(c) /No) n the last t
The steps taken to lin Valero followed its Fla (4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Note: If the discharge was followed. Did the discharge resi Was the flare manage Is the event exempt f - If yes, skip section (5.) Root Cause Analysis: Did this discharge resi Inspection of the heat baffles. This was the in chlorides are expected.	Determine an was a result of ult from a plan rement plan foll rom a RC/CCA n 5-7. Describe in de ult from root cexchanger reversult of the present in this stream,	d state whether a RC/a planned startup or shaded startup or shutdowed? based on the answers tail the Root Cause(s) auses identified in a proposed control of the same of Ammonium of water is not. Water controls	CAA is neces that down, a Factor of the Incider revious analytics and while red and white the control of the Incider revious analytics and white red red red red red red red red red re	ent, to the exten ysis? e outside diamet	t determinater of the turn of turn of turn of the turn of turn	No Yes No Able: No bes, concentrated is er feed) of the exch	(Yes (Yes between	60.108a(c) nt plan /No) /No/N/A) /No) 60.108a(c) /No) n the last to
The steps taken to lin Valero followed its Fla (4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Note: If the discharge was followed. Did the discharge resi Was the flare manage Is the event exempt f - If yes, skip section (5.) Root Cause Analysis: Did this discharge resi Inspection of the heat baffles. This was the in chlorides are expected Cold Flash Drum due to	Determine an was a result of ult from a plan ement plan foll rom a RC/CCA n 5-7. Describe in de ult from root of exchanger reversesult of the prediction of the following of the following of the following prediction of the fol	d state whether a RC/a planned startup or shaded startup or shutdowed? based on the answers tail the Root Cause(s) auses identified in a proposed control of the same of Ammonium of water is not. Water controls	CAA is neces that down, a Factor of the Incider revious analytics and while red and white the control of the Incider revious analytics and white red red red red red red red red red re	ent, to the exten ysis? e outside diamet	t determinater of the turn of turn of turn of the turn of turn	No Yes No Able: No bes, concentrated is er feed) of the exch	(Yes (Yes between	60.108a(c) nt plan /No) /No/N/A) /No) 60.108a(c) /No) n the last to
The steps taken to lin Valero followed its Fla (4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Note: If the discharge was followed. Did the discharge resi Was the flare manage Is the event exempt f - If yes, skip section (5.) Root Cause Analysis: Did this discharge resi Inspection of the heat baffles. This was the in chlorides are expected Cold Flash Drum due to 1) An undersized water	Determine an was a result of ult from a plan ement plan foll rom a RC/CCA n 5-7. Describe in de ult from root coexchanger reverseult of the prediction of the prediction of the following r boot.	d state whether a RC/a planned startup or shadowed? based on the answers tail the Root Cause(s) a auses identified in a planed rapid Chloride consence of Ammonium clawater is not. Water corpossibilities:	CAA is neces that down, a Factorial and the Incide revious anal prosion on the Incide and varryover into	ent, to the exten ysis? e outside diamen vater in the shell this exchanger i	t determinates of the turn of turn	No Yes No Able: No bes, concentrated is er feed) of the exch	(Yes (Yes between	60.108a(c) nt plan /No) /No/N/A) /No) 60.108a(c) /No) n the last to
The steps taken to lin Valero followed its Fla (4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Note: If the discharge was followed. Did the discharge resi Was the flare manage Is the event exempt f - If yes, skip section (5.) Root Cause Analysis: Did this discharge resi Inspection of the heat baffles. This was the in chlorides are expected Cold Flash Drum due to 1) An undersized water	Determine an was a result of ult from a plan tement plan foll rom a RC/CCA in 5-7. Describe in de ult from root coexchanger revoiresult of the predict in this stream, to the following result of the cost. The cost is the following result of the prediction of the following result of the prediction that is stream, the following result of the following result of the following result of the post HC in this stream, the following result of the following result of the following result of the following result of the post HC in this stream, the following result of the following result	d state whether a RC/a planned startup or shadowed? based on the answers tail the Root Cause(s) auses identified in a proposed of the control	CAA is neces that down, a Factor of the Incide revious anal prosion on the Incide and varryover into a control of the Incide and varryover into the Incide a	ent, to the exten ysis? e outside diamen vater in the shell this exchanger i	t determinates of the turn of turn	No Yes No Able: No bes, concentrated is er feed) of the exch	(Yes (Yes between	60.108a(c) nt plan /No) /No/N/A) /No) 60.108a(c) /No) n the last to

(6.) (60.108a(c)(6)(ix)
Corrective Action Analysis: Include a description of the recommended corrective action(s) or an explanation of why corrective action is
Is corrective action required? Yes (Yes/No)
1) Determine a maximum Ammonium Bisulfide concentration to set the minimum wash water injection rate upstream of HC-E-004 to reduce likelihood of water carryover in stripper feed stream, and determine adjusted corrosion rates.
2) Review design of the Cold Flash Drum and generate MOCs and EWRs as needed to correct any deficiencies.
3) Generate MOC or EWR to replace or upgrade mesh blanket in Cold Flash Drum.
4) Order new HC-E-003 bundle for 2018. Evaluate possible metallurgy upgrade.
5) Find an alternate location for the Flash Gas Scrubber KO Drum (HC-V-065) liquid and generate an EWR to reroute it.
(7.) (60.108a(c)(6)(x)
Corrective Action Schedule: Include corrective actions already completed within the first 45 days following the discharge. For those not completed, provide a schedule for implementation, including proposed commencement and completion dates.
1) Determine a maximum Ammonium Bisulfide concentration to set the minimum wash water injection rate upstream of HC-E-004 to reduce likelihood of water carryover in stripper feed stream, and determine adjusted corrosion rates.
Commencement Date: 1/5/17 Completed: 3/24/17
2) Review design of the Cold Flash Drum and generate MOCs and EWRs as needed to correct any deficiencies. Commencement Date: 1/5/17
Completed: 6/26/17
The Cold Flash Drum does not need to be redesigned. The only design change needed is to replace the mesh blanket/demister pad with a plated frame type. New Action Item created 8/1/17.
3) Generate MOC or EWR to replace or upgrade mesh blanket in Cold Flash Drum. Commencement Date: 1/5/17
Completed: 3/28/17
4) Order new HC-E-003 bundle for 2018. Evaluate possible metallurgy upgrade.
Commencement Date: 1/5/17 Estimated Completion Date: 1/1/18
5) Find an alternate location for the Flash Gas Scrubber KO Drum (HC-V-065) liquid and generate an EWR to reroute it. Commencement Date: 1/5/17
Estimated Completion Date: 5/18/18
6) Replace the Cold Flash Drum mesh blanket/demister pad with a plated frame type. Commencement Date: 8/1/17 Estimated Completion Date: 12/18/18
Estimated Completion Date: 12/18/18

(8.)

The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

Note: Measured sulfur concentrations are shown as flow-weighted averages if multiple measurement devices were used.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(iv))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
		24-hr cumulative	TRS or H2S ppm		24-hr cumulative
First hour of 24-hr Period	Last hour of 24-hr Period	volume of flared gas above Baseline	(24-hr average, flow- weighted)	24-hr cumulative SO2	reduced sulfur
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
11/28/2016 14:00	11/29/2016 13:00	442,131	37895	53.9	0.3
11/28/2016 15:00	11/29/2016 14:00	1,747,430	38574	3555.9	19.1
11/28/2016 16:00	11/29/2016 15:00	3,384,372	39341	8518.0	45.8
11/28/2016 17:00	11/29/2016 16:00	4,864,786	39651	10331.7	55.5
11/28/2016 18:00	11/29/2016 17:00	6,137,194	39757	10868.4	58.4
11/28/2016 19:00	11/29/2016 18:00	6,621,544	39846	11038.1	59.3
11/28/2016 20:00	11/29/2016 19:00	6,637,905	39920	11042.9	59.3
11/28/2016 21:00	11/29/2016 20:00	6,665,046	39934	11044.4	59.3
11/28/2016 22:00	11/29/2016 21:00	6,687,899	39941	11045.1	59.4
11/28/2016 23:00	11/29/2016 22:00	6,721,724	39952	11046.5	59.4
11/29/2016 0:00	11/29/2016 23:00	6,866,231	39961	11051.3	59.4
11/29/2016 1:00	11/30/2016 0:00	6,964,842	39962	11052.0	59.4
11/29/2016 2:00	11/30/2016 1:00	7,042,602	39973	11055.3	59.4
11/29/2016 3:00	11/30/2016 2:00	7,137,068	39977	11056.7	59.4
11/29/2016 4:00	11/30/2016 3:00	7,223,966	39979	11057.3	59.4
11/29/2016 5:00	11/30/2016 4:00	7,246,471	39795	11030.9	59.3
11/29/2016 6:00	11/30/2016 5:00	7,219,344	39781	11027.1	59.3
11/29/2016 7:00	11/30/2016 6:00	7,249,132	39770	11025.9	59.2
11/29/2016 8:00	11/30/2016 7:00	7,594,364	39758	11025.0	59.2
11/29/2016 9:00	11/30/2016 8:00	7,906,155	39853	11182.7	60.1
11/29/2016 10:00	11/30/2016 9:00	8,111,141	40001	11373.4	61.1
11/29/2016 11:00	11/30/2016 10:00	8,402,137	39654	11477.9	61.7
11/29/2016 12:00	11/30/2016 11:00	8,767,134	2739	11598.5	62.3
11/29/2016 13:00	11/30/2016 12:00	9,129,514	2713	11674.3	62.7
11/29/2016 14:00	11/30/2016 13:00	9,443,967	2641	11711.4	62.9
11/29/2016 15:00	11/30/2016 14:00	8,453,648	1973	8222.9	44.2
11/29/2016 16:00	11/30/2016 15:00	7,244,608	1211	3269.3	17.6
11/29/2016 17:00	11/30/2016 16:00	6,028,272	904	1458.6	7.8
11/29/2016 18:00	11/30/2016 17:00	4,778,453	802	922.3	5.0
11/29/2016 19:00	11/30/2016 18:00	4,403,343	743	765.2	4.1
11/29/2016 20:00	11/30/2016 19:00	4,638,495	728	819.7	4.4
11/29/2016 21:00	11/30/2016 20:00	4,684,620	760	831.6	4.5
11/29/2016 22:00	11/30/2016 21:00	4,700,482	800	838.1	4.5
11/29/2016 23:00	11/30/2016 22:00	4,694,191	819	839.9	4.5
11/30/2016 0:00	11/30/2016 23:00	4,572,301	835	837.3	4.5
11/30/2016 1:00	12/1/2016 0:00	4,490,705	840	837.0	4.5
11/30/2016 2:00	12/1/2016 1:00	4,451,088	839	835.3	4.5
11/30/2016 3:00	12/1/2016 2:00	4,400,707	847	836.0	4.5
11/30/2016 4:00	12/1/2016 3:00	4,371,611	856	837.8	4.5
11/30/2016 5:00	12/1/2016 4:00	4,332,023	873	838.9	4.5
11/30/2016 6:00	12/1/2016 5:00	4,308,423	884	839.3	4.5
11/30/2016 7:00	12/1/2016 6:00	4,257,383	900	839.9	4.5
11/30/2016 8:00	12/1/2016 7:00	3,801,902	903	830.2	4.5
11/30/2016 9:00	12/1/2016 8:00	3,592,594	785	674.1	3.6
11/30/2016 10:00	12/1/2016 9:00	3,359,769	631	480.1	2.6
11/30/2016 11:00	12/1/2016 10:00	3,096,404	551	376.7	2.0
11/30/2016 12:00	12/1/2016 11:00	2,765,661	483	258.1	1.4
11/30/2016 13:00	12/1/2016 12:00	2,436,801	442	183.9	1.0
11/30/2016 14:00	12/1/2016 13:00	2,154,612	421	147.8	0.8
11/30/2016 15:00	12/1/2016 14:00	1,871,867	428	136.5	0.7
11/30/2016 16:00	12/1/2016 15:00	1,473,125	429	128.5	0.7

(8.)
The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(iv))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
First hour of 24-hr Period	Last hour of 24-hr Period	24-hr cumulative volume of flared gas above Baseline	TRS or H2S ppm (24-hr average, flow- weighted)	24-hr cumulative SO2	24-hr cumulative reduced sulfur
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
11/30/2016 17:00	12/1/2016 16:00	1,238,898	474	131.4	0.7
11/30/2016 18:00	12/1/2016 17:00	1,216,310	469	130.9	0.7
11/30/2016 19:00	12/1/2016 18:00	1,107,070	440	118.3	0.6
11/30/2016 20:00	12/1/2016 19:00	855,557	380	58.9	0.3
11/30/2016 21:00	12/1/2016 20:00	782,290	334	45.6	0.2
11/30/2016 22:00	12/1/2016 21:00	743,575	287	38.4	0.2
11/30/2016 23:00	12/1/2016 22:00	716,041	257	35.2	0.2
12/1/2016 0:00	12/1/2016 23:00	693,425	233	33.0	0.2
12/1/2016 1:00	12/2/2016 0:00	676,409	227	32.6	0.2
12/1/2016 2:00	12/2/2016 1:00	638,266	216	31.0	0.2
12/1/2016 3:00	12/2/2016 2:00	594,181	205	29.0	0.2
12/1/2016 4:00	12/2/2016 3:00	536,379	194	26.5	0.1
12/1/2016 5:00	12/2/2016 4:00	517,036	176	25.1	0.1
12/1/2016 6:00	12/2/2016 5:00	502,803	164	24.4	0.1
12/1/2016 7:00	12/2/2016 6:00	478,861	143	22.4	0.1

Subpart Ja Root Cause / Correc	tive Action Analysis		Impact Incident Number:	178573
The information contained below satisf	ies the requirements of the NSPS	Subpart Ja 60.108a	a(c)(6).	
Report:	Update			
Refinery:	Valero (Meraux)			
Incident Type:	Flaring (Flow and SO2)		Date of Event:	2/8/17
Emissions Source(s):	North Flare (EPN 20-72, EQT 0	035)	Date Analysis Completed:	3/16/17
			-	
(1.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(i))
A description of the Discharge:			n = n	
On 2/8/17 at approximately 07:15, a ver	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		, , ,	•
(MUG) compressor failed and resulted in			itdown and the unit was dep	ressurized to the
North Flare This flaring exceeded 500,00	00 SCF/24 hours and 500 lbs of SC	02/24 hours.		
(2.)			(50.400-/-)/(5)/::)	
(2.)	scharge was first identified	2/8/17 7:18		and (60.108a(c)(6)(ix))
	e the discharge had ceased	2/8/17 8:30		
	n of Discharge (Calculated)	• •	hrs	
Duration		1.2	1113	
(3.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(viii))
The steps taken to limit the emissions of	luring the discharge:			(00.1008(c)(0)(4111))
Valero followed its Flare Minimization Pl		minimize the volum	ne of this discharge	
valero jonowea its riare winimization ri	an and operations r roccaures to	minimize the volum	ic of this discharge.	
(4.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(xi))
				(======(=)(=)(==)
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine and st			troquired if the flare manag	omant nlan
Note: If the discharge was a result of a p was followed.	idililed startup of silutdown, a NC	CAA unuiysis is not	. required if the flare manage	етет рип
was jonowea.				
Did the discharge result from a planned	I startup or shutdown?		No	(Yes/No)
Was the flare management plan follow			Yes	(Yes/No/N/A)
Is the event exempt from a RC/CCA bas			No	(Yes/No)
- If yes, skip section 5-7.				_(, -,
(5.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(ix))
Root Cause Analysis: Describe in detail	the Root Cause(s) of the Inciden	t, to the extent det	erminable:	
Did this discharge result from root caus	es identified in a previous analys	sis?	No	(Yes/No)
Vibration induced fatigue failure occurri	ng directly above the gussets of th	ne ¾" branch connec	ction. Third party analysis w	as conducted on this
connection and vibration was determine	d to be a concern. The following a	design factors likely	contributed to this failure:	
a. Branch connection was inadequately r				
b. Branch connection was too long (exter	nded upward from header approx	rimately 18-24 inche	es).	
c. Presence of un-necessary vent piping o	•			
d. Heavy components (valves) located at	top of extended branch connection	on as opposed to be	ing lower towards the heade	er.
(6.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(ix))
Corrective Action Analysis: Include a de			or an explanation of why co	orrective action is
Is corrective action required?	Yes (Yes/I	•		
1) Conduct vibration analysis on all smal	I bore connections near the MUG	compressors to det	ermine if vibration induced f	atigue failure is still a
concern after alterations.				
a) Commission the control of the con	minima (Adam) (City of the City		and after the test of	
2) Complete the removal of the vent line		ung was removed sh	nortly after the incident, how	vever some could not
be isolated due to suspected leakage of t	rne block valves.)			
2) Provide recommendation for the	d looking block walks l	t in 2010 town	d	
3) Provide recommendation for suspecte	и івикіпд віоск vaive repiacemen	ι III 2018 turnaroun	u.	

(7.) (60.108a(c)(6)(x))

Corrective Action Schedule: Include corrective actions already completed within the first 45 days following the discharge. For those not completed, provide a schedule for implementation, including proposed commencement and completion dates.

1) Conduct vibration analysis on all small bore connections near the MUG compressors to determine if vibration induced fatigue failure is still a concern after alterations.

Commencement Date: 3/16/17

Completed: 5/19/17

2) Complete the removal of the vent line piping.

Commencement Date: 3/16/17
Estimated Completion Date: 12/31/18

3) Provide recommendation for suspected leaking block valve replacement in 2018 turnaround.

Commencement Date: 3/16/17

Completed: 8/1/17

New Action Item created for completion in next unit turnaround.

4) Perform the recommended refurbishment and implement a regular preventative maintenance practice to grease and stroke these valves at

every catalyst change. Commencement Date: 8/1/17 Estimated Completion Date: 12/31/18

(8.)

The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(iv))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
		24-hr cumulative	TRS or H2S ppm		24-hr cumulative
First hour of 24-hr	Last hour of 24-hr	volume of flared gas	(24-hr average, flow-	24-hr cumulative SO2	reduced sulfur
Period	Period	above Baseline	weighted)		. caacca sana.
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
2/7/2017 7:00	2/8/2017 6:00	0	0	0.0	0.0
2/7/2017 8:00	2/8/2017 7:00	1,067,647	662	2792.3	15.0
2/7/2017 9:00	2/8/2017 8:00	1,287,083	1427	3456.4	18.6
2/7/2017 10:00	2/8/2017 9:00	1,288,025	2083	3458.8	18.6
2/7/2017 11:00	2/8/2017 10:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 12:00	2/8/2017 11:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 13:00	2/8/2017 12:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 14:00	2/8/2017 13:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 15:00	2/8/2017 14:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 16:00	2/8/2017 15:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 17:00	2/8/2017 16:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 18:00	2/8/2017 17:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 19:00	2/8/2017 18:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 20:00	2/8/2017 19:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 21:00	2/8/2017 20:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 22:00	2/8/2017 21:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 23:00	2/8/2017 22:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/8/2017 0:00	2/8/2017 23:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/8/2017 1:00	2/9/2017 0:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/8/2017 2:00	2/9/2017 1:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/8/2017 3:00	2/9/2017 2:00	1,288,410	2429	3458.9	18.6
2/8/2017 4:00	2/9/2017 3:00	1,289,898	3473	3465.1	18.6
2/8/2017 5:00	2/9/2017 4:00	1,289,898	3473	3465.1	18.6
2/8/2017 6:00	2/9/2017 5:00	1,289,898	3473	3465.1	18.6
2/8/2017 7:00	2/9/2017 6:00	1,289,898	3473	3465.1	18.6
2/8/2017 8:00	2/9/2017 7:00	222,252	2811	672.8	3.6
2/8/2017 9:00	2/9/2017 8:00	2,815	2045	8.7	0.0

The information contained below	w satisfies the requirements of the NSPS Subp	art Ja 60.108a(c)	(6).	
Report:	Final			
Refinery:	Valero (Meraux)			
Incident Type:	Flaring (Flow and SO2)		Date of Event:	2/13/17
Emissions Source(s):	North Flare (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035)	Da	te Analysis Completed:	3/16/17
(1.)				(60.108a(
A description of the Discharge:				
On 2/13/17 at approximately 22	:58, all four refinery boilers and the #3 Sulfur R	ecovery Unit (SRL	I) shutdown due to low b	oiler feed water
pressure. Valero had just began t	the process of inventorying three steam genera	itors in the Reforn	ner Unit. The resulting re	eduction in refin
	e shutdown of the Hydrocracker/Hydrotreater			
	rude Unit to minimum rates. Flaring exceeded			
	ole heaters and boilers and both the #2 and #3			
30 2 cmissions occurred at many	sie neuters and boners and both the "2 and "5	ono, but were res	3 than 300 has of 30 2/2-	riiours.
(2.)			(60.108a(c)(6)(ii)) a	and (60.108a(c)
Date and Time	e the discharge was first identified 2	2/13/17 23:07		
		2/14/17 3:44		
	Duration of Discharge (Calculated)	4.6 hrs	3	
	<u> </u>			
(3.)				(60.108a(c)(6
The steps taken to limit the emi	ssions during the discharge.			(00.1000(c)(0
Valero followed its Flare Minimiz	ation Plan and Operations Procedures to minir	mize the volume o	f this discharge.	
Valero followed its Flare Minimiz (4.)		mize the volume o	f this discharge.	(60.108a(c)
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determin				
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed.	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA			
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determin Note: If the discharge was a resul was followed. Did the discharge result from a p	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA planned startup or shutdown?		quired if the flare manag	ement plan _(Yes/No)
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed. Did the discharge result from a pass the flare management plant	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA planned startup or shutdown?		quired if the flare manage No Yes	ement plan (Yes/No) (Yes/No/N/A)
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed. Did the discharge result from a pass the flare management plant	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA planned startup or shutdown?		quired if the flare manag	ement plan _(Yes/No)
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed. Did the discharge result from a pure Was the flare management plant is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7.	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA planned startup or shutdown?		quired if the flare manage No Yes	ement plan (Yes/No) (Yes/No/N/A) (Yes/No)
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed. Did the discharge result from a Was the flare management plant is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7.	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA planned startup or shutdown? If followed? CCA based on the answers above?	analysis is not red	quired if the flare manage No Yes No	ement plan (Yes/No) (Yes/No/N/A)
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed. Did the discharge result from a plant the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7. (5.) Root Cause Analysis: Describe in	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA planned startup or shutdown? It followed? CCA based on the answers above?	analysis is not red	No Yes No No	ement plan (Yes/No) (Yes/No/N/A) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c)
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed. Did the discharge result from a plant the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7. (5.) Root Cause Analysis: Describe in Did this discharge result from root of the section of	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA planned startup or shutdown? If followed? CCA based on the answers above?	analysis is not red	quired if the flare manage No Yes No	ement plan (Yes/No) (Yes/No/N/A) (Yes/No)
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed. Did the discharge result from a plant was the flare management plant is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7. (5.) Root Cause Analysis: Describe in Did this discharge result from row Root Cause(s):	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA planned startup or shutdown? It followed? CCA based on the answers above? In detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the out causes identified in a previous analysis?	analysis is not red	No Yes No No No No	(Yes/No) (Yes/No/N/A) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c)
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed. Did the discharge result from a plant was the flare management plant is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7. (5.) Root Cause Analysis: Describe in Did this discharge result from row Root Cause(s):	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA planned startup or shutdown? It followed? CCA based on the answers above?	analysis is not red	No Yes No No No No	(Yes/No) (Yes/No/N/A) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c)
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed. Did the discharge result from a plant the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7. (5.) Root Cause Analysis: Describe in Did this discharge result from row Root Cause(s): 1) Turbine driven Boiler Feed Wa	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA planned startup or shutdown? It followed? CCA based on the answers above? In detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the out causes identified in a previous analysis?	analysis is not red	No Yes No ninable: No	(Yes/No) (Yes/No/N/A) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c)
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed. Did the discharge result from a plant the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7. (5.) Root Cause Analysis: Describe in Did this discharge result from row Root Cause(s): 1) Turbine driven Boiler Feed Wa causing low BFW system pressure.	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA planned startup or shutdown? It followed? CCA based on the answers above? In detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the ot causes identified in a previous analysis? Iter (BFW) Pump in Area 4 North (B-P-022A) trip	analysis is not red the extent detern oped off line and a	No Yes No	(Yes/No) (Yes/No) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c) (Yes/No)
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed. Did the discharge result from a plant was the flare management plant is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7. (5.) Root Cause Analysis: Describe in Did this discharge result from row Root Cause(s): 1) Turbine driven Boiler Feed Wa causing low BFW system pressure 2) The Area 4 South Electric BFW	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA planned startup or shutdown? It followed? CCA based on the answers above? In detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the out causes identified in a previous analysis? Iter (BFW) Pump in Area 4 North (B-P-022A) tripe alarms. No corrective actions were taken to come	analysis is not red the extent detern oped off line and a	No Yes No	(Yes/No) (Yes/No) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c) (Yes/No)
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed. Did the discharge result from a plant the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7. (5.) Root Cause Analysis: Describe in Did this discharge result from row Root Cause(s): 1) Turbine driven Boiler Feed Wa causing low BFW system pressure.	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA planned startup or shutdown? It followed? CCA based on the answers above? In detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the out causes identified in a previous analysis? Iter (BFW) Pump in Area 4 North (B-P-022A) tripe alarms. No corrective actions were taken to come	analysis is not red the extent detern oped off line and a	No Yes No	(Yes/No) (Yes/No) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c) (Yes/No)
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed. Did the discharge result from a plant was the flare management plant is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7. (5.) Root Cause Analysis: Describe in Did this discharge result from row Root Cause(s): 1) Turbine driven Boiler Feed Was causing low BFW system pressure 2) The Area 4 South Electric BFW Contributing Factor(s):	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA planned startup or shutdown? It followed? CCA based on the answers above? In detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the out causes identified in a previous analysis? Iter (BFW) Pump in Area 4 North (B-P-022A) tripe alarms. No corrective actions were taken to come	analysis is not red the extent detern oped off line and a	No Yes No	(Yes/No) (Yes/No) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c) (Yes/No)
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed. Did the discharge result from a Was the flare management plant Is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7. (5.) Root Cause Analysis: Describe in Did this discharge result from row Root Cause(s): 1) Turbine driven Boiler Feed Was causing low BFW system pressure 2) The Area 4 South Electric BFW Contributing Factor(s): 1) The significance of the lower B	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA planned startup or shutdown? It followed? CCA based on the answers above? In detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the ot causes identified in a previous analysis? Iter (BFW) Pump in Area 4 North (B-P-022A) triple alarms. No corrective actions were taken to a Pump was unavailable to "auto" start upon lo	the extent detern oped off line and address the low Bi	No Yes No ninable: No the spillback control valve W system pressure. due to the starter placed	(Yes/No) (Yes/No) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c) (Yes/No)
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed. Did the discharge result from a gwas the flare management plant Is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7. (5.) Root Cause Analysis: Describe in Did this discharge result from row Root Cause(s): 1) Turbine driven Boiler Feed Wa causing low BFW system pressure 2) The Area 4 South Electric BFW Contributing Factor(s): 1) The significance of the lower B 2) Inadequate communication - In	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA planned startup or shutdown? It followed? CCA based on the answers above? In detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the cot causes identified in a previous analysis? Iter (BFW) Pump in Area 4 North (B-P-022A) triple alarms. No corrective actions were taken to be a pump was unavailable to "auto" start upon looks.	the extent detern oped off line and address the low Bl w pressure event	No Yes No ninable: No the spillback control valve W system pressure. due to the starter placed	(Yes/No) (Yes/No) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c) (Yes/No)

(6.)).108a(c)(6)(ix))
Corrective Action Analysis: Include a description of the recommended corrective action(s) or an explanation of why corrective	
Is corrective action required? Yes (Yes/No)	
1) Modify the BFW Pumps (B-P-022A/B) spillback control valve control scheme to automatically close the spillback valves upon p shutdown.	ump
2) Review Intelatrac rounds for the Area 4 BFW system and revise as needed.	
3) Conduct refresher training for all Area 4 Operators on BFW system and Intelatrac round changes.	
4) Evaluate spillback protection for BFW Pumps and determine if any additional modifications are necessary.	
5) Emphasize importance of electronic shift log and shift-to-shift communication.	
(7.)	0.108a(c)(6)(x))
Corrective Action Schedule: Include corrective actions already completed within the first 45 days following the discharge. For	
completed, provide a schedule for implementation, including proposed commencement and completion dates.	
1) Modify the BFW Pumps (B-P-022A/B) spillback control valve control scheme to automatically close the spillback valves upon p shutdown.	ump
Commencement Date: 3/16/17	
Completed: 3/16/17	
2) Review Intelatrac rounds for the Area 4 BFW system and revise as needed.	
Commencement Date: 3/16/17	
Completed: 6/5/17	
3) Conduct refresher training for all Area 4 Operators on BFW system and Intelatrac round changes.	
Commencement Date: 3/16/17	
Completed: 7/17/17	
4) Evaluate spillback protection for BFW Pumps and determine if any additional modifications are necessary.	
Commencement Date: 3/16/17	
Completed: 8/24/17	
5) Emphasize importance of electronic shift log and shift-to-shift communication.	
Commencement Date: 3/16/17	
Completed: 5/31/17	
Note: Due to a clerical error in putting these action items into Valero's action item tracking system, the estimated completion da	tes were
extended.	

(8.)

The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

Note: Measured sulfur concentrations are shown as flow-weighted averages if multiple measurement devices were used.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(iv))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
First hour of 24-hr Period	Last hour of 24-hr Period	24-hr cumulative volume of flared gas above Baseline	TRS or H2S ppm (24-hr average, flow- weighted)	24-hr cumulative SO2	24-hr cumulative reduced sulfur
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
2/12/2017 23:00	2/13/2017 22:00	148	170	0.1	0.0
2/13/2017 0:00	2/13/2017 23:00	2,114,167	361	1589.1	8.5
2/13/2017 1:00	2/14/2017 0:00	5,124,953	484	3062.3	16.5
2/13/2017 2:00	2/14/2017 1:00	7,872,740	585	4152.2	22.3
2/13/2017 3:00	2/14/2017 2:00	8,526,510	615	4231.4	22.7
2/13/2017 4:00	2/14/2017 3:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 5:00	2/14/2017 4:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 6:00	2/14/2017 5:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 7:00	2/14/2017 6:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 8:00	2/14/2017 7:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 9:00	2/14/2017 8:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 10:00	2/14/2017 9:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 11:00	2/14/2017 10:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 12:00	2/14/2017 11:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 13:00	2/14/2017 12:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 14:00	2/14/2017 13:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 15:00	2/14/2017 14:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 16:00	2/14/2017 15:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 17:00	2/14/2017 16:00	8,554,878	514	4239.2	22.8
2/13/2017 18:00	2/14/2017 17:00	8,555,259	579	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 19:00	2/14/2017 18:00	8,555,259	579	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 20:00	2/14/2017 19:00	8,555,259	579	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 21:00	2/14/2017 20:00	8,555,259	579	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 22:00	2/14/2017 21:00	8,570,760	664	4244.4	22.8
2/13/2017 23:00	2/14/2017 22:00	8,574,927	700	4245.0	22.8
2/14/2017 0:00	2/14/2017 23:00	6,460,908	510	2656.0	14.3
2/14/2017 1:00	2/15/2017 0:00	3,450,121	387	1182.8	6.4
2/14/2017 2:00	2/15/2017 1:00	702,334	286	92.9	0.5
2/14/2017 3:00	2/15/2017 2:00	48,565	256	13.7	0.1

Subpart Ja Root Cause / Corrective Action Analysis		Impact Incident Number: 182740/182775		
The information contained below	w satisfies the requirements of the NSPS	Subpart Ja 60.108a	(c)(6).	
Report:	Update			
Refinery:	Valero (Meraux)		Data of Events	6/0/17
Incident Type: Emissions Source(s):	Flaring (Flow) North Flare (EPN 20-72, EQT 00	035)	Date of Event: Date Analysis Completed:	6/9/17 7/13/17
Emissions source(s).	South Flare (EPN 3-77, EQT 004		Date Analysis Completed.	
(1.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(i))
A description of the Discharge:				
tripped. After multiple, unsucces. This included shutting down the P the Pressure Swing Adsorption (P over pressured and relieved to th	uphtha Hydrotreater (NHT) compressor tri sful attempts to restart either NHT Compi Reformer Net Gas Compressor. With the I SA) unit through the Net Gas Compressor e North Flare. The high pressure in the fu ditional flaring to the South Flare.	ressor, Valero decide Net Gas Compressor r discharge section to	ed to shutdown the units do shutdown, a reverse flow p o the fuel gas system. The fu	wnstream of the NHT. ath was created from uel gas system was
Later, but within the same 24 hou pressured and relieved to the Nor	ur period, Valero attempted to restart the orth Flare. While refilling the Flare Gas Rec on the FGR Compressor instead of the mak	covery (FGR) Liquid S	Seal, the operator inadverte	ntly shut the valve
(2.)			(60 108a/c)(6)(ii))	and (60.108a(c)(6)(ix))
	e the discharge was first identified	6/9/17 19:56	(60.1084(C)(6)(11))	and (60.108a(c)(6)(ix))
	ate/Time the discharge had ceased	6/10/17 19:36		
	Duration of Discharge (Calculated)	23.7	hrc	
	Duration of Discharge (calculated)	25.7	1113	
(3.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(viii))
The steps taken to limit the emis	ssions during the discharge:			(00.1000(0)(0)(1))
•	ation Plan and Operations Procedures to	minimize the volume	e of this discharge.	
(4.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(xi))
	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessa It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC,		required if the flare manage	ement plan
Did the discharge result from a p	planned startup or shutdown?		No	(Yes/No)
Was the flare management plan		•	Yes	(Yes/No/N/A)
	CCA based on the answers above?		No	(Yes/No)
- If yes, skip section 5-7.	on bused on the unsuers above.			_(,
(5.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(ix))
Root Cause Analysis: Describe in	n detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident	t, to the extent dete	rminable:	
_	ot causes identified in a previous analysi	is?	No	(Yes/No)
Root Cause(s):				
2) The failed startup attempts on	oping offline was caused by a failed limit the North NHT compressor was due to th		•	set timeframe (30
secs). 3) Operator inadvertently shut th	e valve that isolates the flare header fron	n the FGR Compress	or instead of the make up w	ater valve.
Contributing Factor(s):				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	the Net Gas Compressor discharge sectio	on are desianed to co	ntrol pressure by allowina f	orward flow from the
	the PSA unit and the fuel gas system. Th			
PSA unit to the fuel gas system.				, . ,.

(6.)		(60.108a(c)(6)(ix)
Corrective Action Analysis: Include a descri	iption of the recomm	ended corrective action(s) or an explanation of why corrective action is not
Is corrective action required?	Yes	(Yes/No)
1) Remove the limit switches that caused the	e unnecessary trip.	
2) Consider installing check valve to prevent	reverse flow from the	PSA unit to the fuel gas system.
3) Consider installing a differential pressure	override to prevent re	everse flow from the PSA unit to the fuel gas system.
4) Create a control scheme narrative for the	Net Gas Compressor	discharge section pressure control system and review with operators.
5) Revise relevant procedures related to the	operation of the Net (Gas Compressor and PSA unit.
6) Consider extending the delay on low lube	oil permissive when s	tarting the NHT Compressors, or consider a time delay on trip.
7) Consider adding an auxiliary lube oil pum	p for the NHT Compre	essors.
8) Add a DCS alarm that alarms and re-alarr	ms every 30 minutes v	when flare header to FGR isolation valves are closed.
9) Highlight when FGR suction isolation valv	es are closed on the d	laily shift report.

(7.) (60.108a(c)(6)(x))

Corrective Action Schedule: Include corrective actions already completed within the first 45 days following the discharge. For those not completed, provide a schedule for implementation, including proposed commencement and completion dates.

1) Remove the limit switches that caused the unnecessary trip.

Commencement Date: 7/13/17
Estimated Completion Date: 11/24/17
Estimated Completion date extended.

2) Consider installing check valve to prevent reverse flow from the PSA unit to the fuel gas system.

Commencement Date: 7/13/17

Completed: 7/25/17

New Action Item created for installation.

3) Consider installing a differential pressure override to prevent reverse flow from the PSA unit to the fuel gas system.

Commencement Date: 7/13/17

Completed: 9/25/17
Override implemented.

4) Create a control scheme narrative for the Net Gas Compressor discharge section pressure control system and review with operators.

Commencement Date: 7/13/17

Completed: 9/26/17

5) Revise relevant procedures related to the operation of the Net Gas Compressor and PSA unit.

Commencement Date: 7/13/17

Completed: 8/29/17

6) Consider extending the delay on low lube oil permissive when starting the NHT Compressors, or consider a time delay on trip.

Commencement Date: 7/13/17

Completed: 8/22/17

New Action Item created for implementation.

7) Consider adding an auxiliary lube oil pump for the NHT Compressors.

Commencement Date: 7/13/17

Completed: 8/21/17

8) Add a DCS alarm that alarms and re-alarms every 30 minutes when flare header to FGR isolation valves are closed.

Commencement Date: 7/18/17

Completed: 8/16/17

9) Highlight when FGR suction isolation valves are closed on the daily shift report.

Commencement Date: 7/18/17

Completed: 7/26/17

10) Install check valve to prevent reverse flow from the PSA unit to the fuel gas system.

Commencement Date: 7/25/17
Estimated Completion Date: 12/18/18

11) Extend the delay on low lube oil permissive when starting the NHT Compressors, and add a time delay on the pressure trip.

Commencement Date: 8/22/17
Estimated Completion Date: 11/28/17

(8.)

The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

Note: Measured sulfur concentrations are shown as flow-weighted averages if multiple measurement devices were used.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(iv))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii)
		24-hr cumulative	TRS or H2S ppm		24-hr cumulative
First hour of 24-hr	Last hour of 24-hr	volume of flared gas	(24-hr average, flow-	24-hr cumulative SO2	
Period	Period	above Baseline	weighted)		reduced sulfur
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
6/8/2017 19:00	6/9/2017 18:00	0	0	0.0	0.0
6/8/2017 20:00	6/9/2017 19:00	20,093	970	77.1	0.4
6/8/2017 21:00	6/9/2017 20:00	253,208	1092	189.5	1.0
6/8/2017 22:00	6/9/2017 21:00	282,223	1267	209.6	1.1
6/8/2017 23:00	6/9/2017 22:00	282,223	1267	209.6	1.1
6/9/2017 0:00	6/9/2017 23:00	282,223	1267	209.6	1.1
6/9/2017 1:00	6/10/2017 0:00	286,787	1270	209.6	1.1
6/9/2017 2:00	6/10/2017 1:00	505,196	1271	210.5	1.1
6/9/2017 3:00	6/10/2017 2:00	791,094	1272	211.6	1.1
6/9/2017 4:00	6/10/2017 3:00	935,716	1274	212.5	1.1
6/9/2017 5:00	6/10/2017 4:00	935,716	1274	212.5	1.1
6/9/2017 6:00	6/10/2017 5:00	951,526	1291	213.6	1.1
6/9/2017 7:00	6/10/2017 6:00	951,526	1291	213.6	1.1
6/9/2017 8:00	6/10/2017 7:00	951,526	1291	213.6	1.1
6/9/2017 9:00	6/10/2017 8:00	951,526	1291	213.6	1.1
6/9/2017 10:00	6/10/2017 9:00	952,890	1310	213.7	1.1
6/9/2017 11:00	6/10/2017 10:00	952,891	2317	213.7	1.1
6/9/2017 12:00	6/10/2017 11:00	952,891	2317	213.7	1.1
6/9/2017 13:00	6/10/2017 12:00	952,891	2317	213.7	1.1
6/9/2017 14:00	6/10/2017 13:00	952,891	2317	213.7	1.1
6/9/2017 15:00	6/10/2017 14:00	1,074,297	2345	227.3	1.2
6/9/2017 16:00	6/10/2017 15:00	1,074,298	2438	227.3	1.2
6/9/2017 17:00	6/10/2017 16:00	1,076,782	2724	230.1	1.2
6/9/2017 18:00	6/10/2017 17:00	1,080,402	3139	236.0	1.3
6/9/2017 19:00	6/10/2017 18:00	1,085,103	3682	246.1	1.3
6/9/2017 20:00	6/10/2017 19:00	1,066,297	4377	177.5	1.0
6/9/2017 21:00	6/10/2017 20:00	833,182	4255	65.1	0.3
6/9/2017 22:00	6/10/2017 21:00	804,334	4146	45.1	0.2
6/9/2017 23:00	6/10/2017 22:00	805,422	4591	47.0	0.3
6/10/2017 0:00	6/10/2017 23:00	805,422	4591	47.0	0.3
6/10/2017 1:00	6/11/2017 0:00	800,859	4588	46.9	0.3
6/10/2017 2:00	6/11/2017 1:00	582,450	4587	46.0	0.2
6/10/2017 3:00	6/11/2017 2:00	296,558	4745	44.9	0.2

Subpart Ja Root Cause / Corrective Action Analysis		Impact Incident Number: 182915		
The information contained belo	ow satisfies the requirements of the NSPS Subpa	rt Ja 60.108a(c)(6).		
Report:	Update			
Refinery:	Valero (Meraux)			
Incident Type:	Flaring (Flow)	 Date of Event	t: 6/15/17	
Emissions Source(s):	North Flare (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035)	Date Analysis Completed:		
(1.)			(60.108a(
A description of the Discharge:	:			
normally be run in a reduced ca capacity mode, and the unit wa	ure Swing Adsorption (PSA) unit tripped offline. Ti pacity mode while repairs are performed. Valero is offline for approximately 4 hours while Valero p centrations of Hydrogen and light hydrocarbon go	could not immediately restart the unit, performed troubleshooting and repairs.	even in the reduc	
(2.)		(60.108a(c)(6)(ii))	and (60.108a(c)	
	ne the discharge was first identified 6/2	15/17 17:17		
		15/17 21:33		
	Duration of Discharge (Calculated)	4.3 hrs		
(3.)			(60.108a(c)(6	
(4.)	ization Plan and Operations Procedures to minimi	ize the volume of this discharge.	(60.108a(c)(
	ne and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: ult of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA a	nalysis is not required if the flare mana	gement plan	
Did the discharge result from a	planned startup or shutdown?	No	(Yes/No)	
Was the flare management pla		Yes	(Yes/No/N/A)	
	/CCA based on the answers above?	No No	(Yes/No)	
- If yes, skip section 5-7.			_(,,	
(5.)			(60.108a(c)	
Did this discharge result from r	in detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to th root causes identified in a previous analysis?	e extent determinable: No	(Yes/No)	
Root Cause(s): 1) A valve was found to have a c	damaged disk due to being misaligned from an inc	correct installation. (2016) This valve p	revented the unit	
running in the reduced capacity	mode.			
2) Another valve was found to h	nave holes in the diaphragm of it's operator, preve	enting the valve from operating properly	y. This valve caus	
the initial unit trip.				
Contributing Factor(s):				
	ontrol (QA/QC) procedures were not followed afte			
	cycled continuously during the normal operation			
	nce strategy for the periodic replacement of a por ction for an incident that occurred on 10/15/2015			
	d valve with the holes in its diaphragm was schedu		,	

(6.)		(60.108a(c)(6)(ix)
Corrective Action Analysis: Include a descr	iption of the recomn	mmended corrective action(s) or an explanation of why corrective action is
Is corrective action required?	Yes	(Yes/No)
1) Ensure that the preventative maintenance	to replace the PSA v	A wear and tear items is included in the scope of the next unit turnaround.
2) Validate the instrument QA/QC procedure	?. Audit instrument C	t QA/QC packages for adherence to the instrument QA/QC procedure.
(7.)		(60.108a(c)(6)(x)
	•	ly completed within the first 45 days following the discharge. For those not groposed commencement and completion dates.
1) Ensure that the preventative maintenance Commencement Date: 7/13/17	to replace the PSA v	A wear and tear items is included in the scope of the next unit turnaround.
Completed: 7/18/17		
New Action Item created for completion of p	reventative mainten	enance at the next unit turnaround.
2) Validate the instrument QA/QC procedure Commencement Date: 7/13/17	?. Audit instrument C	t QA/QC packages for adherence to the instrument QA/QC procedure.
Estimated Completion Date: 10/31/17		
1 ' '	nce to replace the PS	PSA wear and tear items in the next unit turnaround.
Commencement Date: 7/18/17		
Estimated Completion Date: 12/31/18		

(8.)

The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

Note: Measured sulfur concentrations are shown as flow-weighted averages if multiple measurement devices were used.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(iv))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
First hour of 24-hr Period	Last hour of 24-hr Period	24-hr cumulative volume of flared gas above Baseline	TRS or H2S ppm (24-hr average, flow- weighted)	24-hr cumulative SO2	24-hr cumulative reduced sulfur
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
6/14/2017 17:00	6/15/2017 16:00	129,365	2000	219.8	1.2
6/14/2017 18:00	6/15/2017 17:00	768,623	2002	225.0	1.2
6/14/2017 19:00	6/15/2017 18:00	1,910,283	2002	225.2	1.2
6/14/2017 20:00	6/15/2017 19:00	2,858,067	2004	232.6	1.2
6/14/2017 21:00	6/15/2017 20:00	3,675,376	2007	241.5	1.3
6/14/2017 22:00	6/15/2017 21:00	3,734,194	2031	247.1	1.3
6/14/2017 23:00	6/15/2017 22:00	3,671,798	1608	142.7	0.8
6/15/2017 0:00	6/15/2017 23:00	3,662,181	1179	126.4	0.7
6/15/2017 1:00	6/16/2017 0:00	3,660,856	1098	126.0	0.7
6/15/2017 2:00	6/16/2017 1:00	3,660,856	1098	126.0	0.7
6/15/2017 3:00	6/16/2017 2:00	3,637,341	422	63.2	0.3
6/15/2017 4:00	6/16/2017 3:00	3,637,102	380	63.2	0.3
6/15/2017 5:00	6/16/2017 4:00	3,604,924	98	27.3	0.1
6/15/2017 6:00	6/16/2017 5:00	3,604,924	98	27.3	0.1
6/15/2017 7:00	6/16/2017 6:00	3,604,924	98	27.3	0.1
6/15/2017 8:00	6/16/2017 7:00	3,604,924	98	27.3	0.1
6/15/2017 9:00	6/16/2017 8:00	3,604,924	98	27.3	0.1
6/15/2017 10:00	6/16/2017 9:00	3,604,829	31	27.3	0.1
6/15/2017 11:00	6/16/2017 10:00	3,609,714	166	29.9	0.2
6/15/2017 12:00	6/16/2017 11:00	3,610,367	219	30.1	0.2
6/15/2017 13:00	6/16/2017 12:00	3,610,367	1040	30.1	0.2
6/15/2017 14:00	6/16/2017 13:00	3,610,367	1040	30.1	0.2
6/15/2017 15:00	6/16/2017 14:00	3,610,367	1040	30.1	0.2
6/15/2017 16:00	6/16/2017 15:00	3,610,367	1040	30.1	0.2
6/15/2017 17:00	6/16/2017 16:00	3,610,367	1040	30.1	0.2
6/15/2017 18:00	6/16/2017 17:00	2,971,109	1038	24.9	0.1
6/15/2017 19:00	6/16/2017 18:00	1,829,449	1038	24.7	0.1
6/15/2017 20:00	6/16/2017 19:00	881,664	1036	17.3	0.1
6/15/2017 21:00	6/16/2017 20:00	64,355	1033	8.4	0.0

The information contained below	Corrective Action Analysis	I	mpact Incident Number:	184369
1	w satisfies the requirements of the NSPS	S Subpart Ja 60.108a(c)(6).	
Report:	Initial			
Refinery:	Valero (Meraux)			
Incident Type:	Flaring (Flow and SO2), SRU	(SO2)	Date of Event:	8/9/17
Emissions Source(s):	North Flare (EPN 20-72, EQT	_	ate Analysis Completed:	9/7/17
2	South Flare (EPN 3-77, EQT 0		ate / maryons compreted.	
	#3 SRU Incinerator (EPN 5-00			
	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
(1.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(i)
A description of the Discharge:	7, the Meraux Refinery lost electrical pov			
After assessing the condition of ti	emissions from the #3 SRU > 500 lbs abo he electrical power supply, Valero began vere additional periods of flaring on 8/10 ificant quantities of H2S	the process of restarting	g the affected units late of	
(2.)			(60 108a(c)(6)(ii))	and (60.108a(c)(6)(ix)
(2.)		North Flare	#3 SRU	una (00.100a(c)(0)(ix)
Date and Tin	ne the discharge was first identified	8/9/17 4:50	8/9/17 4:46	
	rate/Time the discharge had ceased	8/11/17 8:00	8/9/17 19:09	-
	Duration of Discharge (Calculated)	51.2	14.4	_
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			-
(3.) The steps taken to limit the emis Valero initiated it's refinery sulful	r shedding procedure and followed its Flo	are Minimization Plan an	nd Operations Procedures	(60.108a(c)(6)(viii) to minimize the
volume and SO2 emissions of this				
volume and SO2 emissions of this (4.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(xi)
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necess It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC		uired if the flare manager	
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed. Did the discharge result from a part of the discha	lt of a planned startup or shutdown, a Ro		No	ment plan _(Yes/No)
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed. Did the discharge result from a process of the was the flare management plant.	It of a planned startup or shutdown, a Ro planned startup or shutdown? I followed?		No Yes	ment plan _(Yes/No) _(Yes/No/N/A)
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed. Did the discharge result from a process of the state of the was the flare management plant.	lt of a planned startup or shutdown, a Ro		No	ment plan _(Yes/No)
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed. Did the discharge result from a property was the flare management plant is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7.	It of a planned startup or shutdown, a Ro planned startup or shutdown? I followed? CCA based on the answers above?	C/CAA analysis is not req	No Yes No	ment plan _(Yes/No) _(Yes/No/N/A)
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed. Did the discharge result from a pwas the flare management plan Is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7. (5.) Root Cause Analysis: Describe in	It of a planned startup or shutdown, a Replanned startup or shutdown? If followed? CCA based on the answers above? In detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incider	C/CAA analysis is not req	No Yes No inable:	ment plan (Yes/No) (Yes/No/N/A) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c)(6)(ix)
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed. Did the discharge result from a pwas the flare management plan is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7. (5.) Root Cause Analysis: Describe in Did this discharge result from ro	It of a planned startup or shutdown, a Ro planned startup or shutdown? I followed? CCA based on the answers above?	C/CAA analysis is not req	No Yes No inable:	(Yes/No) (Yes/No/N/A) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c)(6)(ix)

(6.)		(60.108a(c)(6)(ix))
Corrective Action Analysis: Include a descri	ption of the recomm	ended corrective action(s) or an explanation of why corrective action is not
Is corrective action required?	Yes	(Yes/No)
1) Valero is pursuing an agreement with the	owner and operator	of the electrical switchyard to fund and install precise-fit dielectric protection
covers to protect the equipment from bird an	d animal contact. Th	nese types of covers are not commonly found on high voltage electrical
distribution equipment and are above and be	yond what is conside	red industry standard practice.
Valero has elected to install these protective of be construed as a deficiency.	covers to reduce the I	likelihood of this reoccurring, but their absence prior to the incident should not
(7.)		(60.108a(c)(6)(x))
	•	mpleted within the first 45 days following the discharge. For those not posed commencement and completion dates.
1) Install precise-fit dielectric protection cove	rs to protect the equ	ipment from bird and animal contact
Commencement Date: 9/7/17		
Estimated Completion Date: 12/31/17		

(8.) North and South Flares

The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(iv))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
First hour of 24-hr	Last hour of 24-hr	24-hr cumulative volume of flared gas	TRS or H2S ppm (24-hr average, flow-	24-hr cumulative SO2	24-hr cumulative reduced sulfur
Period	Period	above Baseline	weighted)		
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
8/8/2017 4:00	8/9/2017 3:00	46,258	4,652	35	0
8/8/2017 5:00	8/9/2017 4:00	191,060	4681	187.3	1.0
8/8/2017 6:00	8/9/2017 5:00	930,086	4418	793.8	4.3
8/8/2017 7:00	8/9/2017 6:00	1,448,653	4298	1074.8	5.8
8/8/2017 8:00	8/9/2017 7:00	1,867,050	4250	1102.8	5.9
8/8/2017 9:00	8/9/2017 8:00	2,263,803	4222	1123.4	6.0
8/8/2017 10:00	8/9/2017 9:00	2,632,872	4203	1148.2	6.2
8/8/2017 11:00	8/9/2017 10:00	2,835,156	4177	1155.8	6.2
8/8/2017 12:00	8/9/2017 11:00	2,953,501	4649	1397.8	7.5
8/8/2017 13:00	8/9/2017 12:00	3,013,720	4811	1446.8	7.8
8/8/2017 14:00	8/9/2017 13:00	3,014,346	4927	1447.3	7.8
8/8/2017 15:00	8/9/2017 14:00	3,039,860	4907	1449.1	7.8
8/8/2017 16:00	8/9/2017 15:00	3,064,735	4878	1450.3	7.8
8/8/2017 17:00	8/9/2017 16:00	3,062,479	4854	1449.8	7.8
8/8/2017 18:00	8/9/2017 17:00	3,070,778	4839	1450.3	7.8
8/8/2017 19:00	8/9/2017 18:00	3,102,181	4799	1451.3	7.8
8/8/2017 20:00	8/9/2017 19:00	3,105,976	4763	1451.4	7.8
8/8/2017 21:00	8/9/2017 20:00	3,109,299	4366	1450.5	7.8
8/8/2017 22:00	8/9/2017 21:00	3,110,006	4070	1448.3	7.8
8/8/2017 23:00	8/9/2017 22:00	3,115,546	4080	1448.5	7.8
8/9/2017 0:00	8/9/2017 23:00	3,124,582	3533	1445.4	7.8
8/9/2017 1:00	8/10/2017 0:00	3,125,379	2949	1443.9	7.8
8/9/2017 2:00	8/10/2017 1:00	3,126,526	2379	1442.9	7.8
8/9/2017 3:00	8/10/2017 2:00	3,132,815	2079	1441.2	7.7
8/9/2017 4:00	8/10/2017 3:00	3,133,237	1725	1435.2	7.7
8/9/2017 5:00	8/10/2017 4:00	2,992,585	1490	1280.7	6.9
8/9/2017 6:00	8/10/2017 5:00	2,255,898	1325	672.2	3.6
8/9/2017 7:00	8/10/2017 6:00	1,737,950	1239	386.1	2.1
8/9/2017 8:00	8/10/2017 7:00	1,349,796	1249	360.6	1.9
8/9/2017 9:00	8/10/2017 8:00	1,098,492	1245	344.4	1.9
8/9/2017 10:00	8/10/2017 9:00	964,837	1234	325.3	1.7
8/9/2017 10:00	8/10/2017 10:00	811,207	1234	320.3	1.7
8/9/2017 11:00	8/10/2017 10:00	763,167	737	80.6	0.4
8/9/2017 12:00	8/10/2017 11:00	783,257	543	33.8	0.4
8/9/2017 13:00	8/10/2017 12:00	854,917	400	34.5	0.2
8/9/2017 15:00	8/10/2017 13:00	934,062	387	34.7	0.2
8/9/2017 15:00	8/10/2017 15:00	1,033,502	377	35.7	0.2
8/9/2017 10:00	8/10/2017 15:00		360	38.2	0.2
8/9/2017 17:00	8/10/2017 16:00	1,160,882	347	38.9	0.2
		1,276,727			
8/9/2017 19:00	8/10/2017 18:00	1,379,550	341	40.0	0.2
8/9/2017 20:00	8/10/2017 19:00	1,526,393	334	41.5	0.2
8/9/2017 21:00	8/10/2017 20:00	1,611,854	320	43.1	0.2
8/9/2017 22:00	8/10/2017 21:00	1,696,156	307	44.1	0.2
8/9/2017 23:00	8/10/2017 22:00	1,781,204	298	44.4	0.2
8/10/2017 0:00	8/10/2017 23:00	1,871,864	292	46.0	0.2
8/10/2017 1:00	8/11/2017 0:00	1,968,646	288	47.1	0.3
8/10/2017 2:00	8/11/2017 1:00	2,072,407	283	48.4	0.3
8/10/2017 3:00	8/11/2017 2:00	2,175,050	274	49.5	0.3
8/10/2017 4:00	8/11/2017 3:00	2,283,257	257	50.3	0.3
8/10/2017 5:00	8/11/2017 4:00	2,388,835	227	50.0	0.3
8/10/2017 6:00	8/11/2017 5:00	2,502,169	188	50.8	0.3
8/10/2017 7:00	8/11/2017 6:00	2,651,863	136	50.5	0.3

(8.) North and South Flares cont.

The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(iv))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
First hour of 24-hr Period	Last hour of 24-hr Period	24-hr cumulative volume of flared gas above Baseline	TRS or H2S ppm (24-hr average, flow- weighted)	24-hr cumulative SO2	24-hr cumulative reduced sulfur
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
8/10/2017 8:00	8/11/2017 7:00	2,631,351	127	47.7	0.3
8/10/2017 9:00	8/11/2017 8:00	2,484,250	175	43.3	0.2
8/10/2017 10:00	8/11/2017 9:00	2,249,985	169	37.5	0.2
8/10/2017 11:00	8/11/2017 10:00	2,202,230	155	34.9	0.2
8/10/2017 12:00	8/11/2017 11:00	2,130,949	146	32.3	0.2
8/10/2017 13:00	8/11/2017 12:00	2,055,917	138	29.8	0.2
8/10/2017 14:00	8/11/2017 13:00	1,986,072	134	28.6	0.2
8/10/2017 15:00	8/11/2017 14:00	1,888,886	129	26.5	0.1
8/10/2017 16:00	8/11/2017 15:00	1,763,680	124	24.0	0.1
8/10/2017 17:00	8/11/2017 16:00	1,642,981	119	21.3	0.1
8/10/2017 18:00	8/11/2017 17:00	1,523,284	116	20.1	0.1
8/10/2017 19:00	8/11/2017 18:00	1,390,153	112	17.7	0.1
8/10/2017 20:00	8/11/2017 19:00	1,242,869	109	16.0	0.1
8/10/2017 21:00	8/11/2017 20:00	1,155,975	104	14.1	0.1
8/10/2017 22:00	8/11/2017 21:00	1,071,372	100	13.0	0.1
8/10/2017 23:00	8/11/2017 22:00	984,055	99	12.5	0.1
8/11/2017 0:00	8/11/2017 23:00	887,833	94	10.4	0.1
8/11/2017 1:00	8/12/2017 0:00	791,221	91	9.2	0.0
8/11/2017 2:00	8/12/2017 1:00	687,531	87	7.8	0.0
8/11/2017 3:00	8/12/2017 2:00	582,817	84	6.5	0.0
8/11/2017 4:00	8/12/2017 3:00	471,430	81	5.2	0.0

(9.) #3 SRU

The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vi))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
First hour of 24-hr Period	Last hour of 24-hr Period	24-hr cumulative volume	SO ₂ ppm (24-hr average, flow- weighted) ¹	24-hr cumulative SO ₂ ²	24-hr cumulative reduced sulfur
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
8/8/2017 4:00	8/9/2017 3:00	24,106,577	58	233.7	1.3
8/8/2017 5:00	8/9/2017 4:00	24,182,988	75	307.0	1.7
8/8/2017 6:00	8/9/2017 5:00	24,575,070	145	694.0	3.7
8/8/2017 7:00	8/9/2017 6:00	24,092,525	174	749.5	4.0
8/8/2017 8:00	8/9/2017 7:00	23,414,879	185	758.0	4.1
8/8/2017 9:00	8/9/2017 8:00	22,699,494	210	779.9	4.2
8/8/2017 10:00	8/9/2017 9:00	22,012,119	213	777.1	4.2
8/8/2017 11:00	8/9/2017 10:00	21,413,516	218	777.7	4.2
8/8/2017 12:00	8/9/2017 11:00	20,723,027	220	773.6	4.2
8/8/2017 13:00	8/9/2017 12:00	20,004,789	223	769.7	4.1
8/8/2017 14:00	8/9/2017 13:00	19,276,104	225	764.6	4.1
8/8/2017 15:00	8/9/2017 14:00	18,529,534	226	758.5	4.1
8/8/2017 16:00	8/9/2017 15:00	17,763,638	248	771.5	4.1
8/8/2017 17:00	8/9/2017 16:00	17,077,073	287	814.7	4.4
8/8/2017 18:00	8/9/2017 17:00	16,534,826	326	881.4	4.7
8/8/2017 19:00	8/9/2017 18:00	16,129,075	343	916.7	4.9
8/8/2017 20:00	8/9/2017 19:00	15,804,178	347	925.0	5.0
8/8/2017 21:00	8/9/2017 20:00	15,475,097	349	926.7	5.0
8/8/2017 22:00	8/9/2017 21:00	15,184,342	351	931.1	5.0
8/8/2017 23:00	8/9/2017 22:00	14,943,355	353	935.4	5.0
8/9/2017 0:00	8/9/2017 23:00	14,748,475	355	939.8	5.1
8/9/2017 1:00	8/10/2017 0:00	14,541,282	357	942.6	5.1
8/9/2017 2:00	8/10/2017 1:00	14,331,243	358	944.8	5.1
8/9/2017 3:00	8/10/2017 2:00	14,122,892	359	946.8	5.1
8/9/2017 4:00	8/10/2017 3:00	13,928,254	361	949.9	5.1
8/9/2017 5:00	8/10/2017 4:00	13,686,503	346	881.9	4.7
8/9/2017 6:00	8/10/2017 5:00	13,182,366	279	503.1	2.7
8/9/2017 7:00	8/10/2017 6:00	13,581,044	253	456.5	2.5
8/9/2017 8:00	8/10/2017 7:00	14,183,170	244	457.2	2.5
8/9/2017 9:00	8/10/2017 8:00	14,848,092	222	444.0	2.4
8/9/2017 10:00	8/10/2017 9:00	15,530,951	220	453.2	2.4
8/9/2017 11:00	8/10/2017 10:00	16,150,895	218	461.9	2.5
8/9/2017 12:00	8/10/2017 11:00	16,813,426	218	474.2	2.5
8/9/2017 13:00	8/10/2017 12:00	17,413,200	216	481.5	2.6
8/9/2017 14:00	8/10/2017 13:00	18,018,067	215	490.2	2.6
8/9/2017 15:00	8/10/2017 14:00	18,704,403	249	626.3	3.4
8/9/2017 16:00	8/10/2017 15:00	19,325,815	242	663.3	3.6
8/9/2017 17:00	8/10/2017 16:00	19,834,360	206	630.3	3.4
8/9/2017 18:00	8/10/2017 17:00	20,199,841	169	567.7	3.1
8/9/2017 19:00	8/10/2017 18:00	20,430,898	155	538.2	2.9
8/9/2017 20:00	8/10/2017 19:00	20,557,220	153	535.0	2.9
8/9/2017 21:00	8/10/2017 20:00	20,695,751	154	539.6	2.9
8/9/2017 22:00	8/10/2017 21:00	20,796,098	154	542.0	2.9
8/9/2017 23:00	8/10/2017 22:00	20,862,729	154	545.5	2.9
8/10/2017 0:00	8/10/2017 23:00	20,881,043	155	548.5	2.9
8/10/2017 1:00	8/11/2017 0:00	20,898,139	156	551.6	3.0
8/10/2017 2:00	8/11/2017 1:00	20,906,120	157	554.7	3.0
8/10/2017 3:00	8/11/2017 2:00	20,907,571	158	558.0	3.0
8/10/2017 4:00	8/11/2017 3:00	20,909,187	159	561.0	3.0
8/10/2017 5:00	8/11/2017 4:00	20,880,800	160	562.5	3.0
8/10/2017 6:00	8/11/2017 5:00	20,806,214	160	561.6	3.0
8/10/2017 7:00	8/11/2017 6:00	20,706,577	160	559.8	3.0

(9.) #3 SRU cont.

The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vi))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
First hour of 24-hr Period	Last hour of 24-hr Period	24-hr cumulative volume	SO ₂ ppm (24-hr average, flow- weighted) ¹	24-hr cumulative SO ₂ ²	24-hr cumulative reduced sulfur
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
8/10/2017 8:00	8/11/2017 7:00	20,594,183	160	557.5	3.0
8/10/2017 9:00	8/11/2017 8:00	20,449,393	160	555.0	3.0
8/10/2017 10:00	8/11/2017 9:00	20,261,388	160	552.3	3.0
8/10/2017 11:00	8/11/2017 10:00	20,060,683	160	547.6	2.9
8/10/2017 12:00	8/11/2017 11:00	19,910,738	160	544.6	2.9
8/10/2017 13:00	8/11/2017 12:00	19,818,679	160	545.5	2.9
8/10/2017 14:00	8/11/2017 13:00	19,751,410	161	545.8	2.9
8/10/2017 15:00	8/11/2017 14:00	19,631,190	128	420.9	2.3

¹ SRU SO2 CEMS are spanned to 500 ppm. For emissions calculations, Valero assumes 2 times the span, 1000 ppm, for CEMS readings >= 500 ppm.

² Tail Gas Treater bypass emissions are calculated using a mass balance method, not using the flow and concentration values listed here.