

April 28, 2017

CERTIFIED: 7008 2810 0002 1315 1258

Department of Environmental Quality Office of Environmental Compliance Enforcement Division P.O. Box 4312 Baton Rouge, LA 70821-4312

Re:

NSPS Excess Emissions & CEM Performance Report – 1st Quarter 2017

Valero Refining - Meraux LLC, Agency Interest # 1238 2500 East St. Bernard Hwy., St. Bernard Parish, Meraux, LA

Title V Permit Numbers: 2500-00001-V14

Gentlemen,

Valero Refining, Meraux LLC hereby submits this Excess Emissions and Monitoring Systems Reports, per LAC 33:III, Chapter 30, 40 CFR 60.7(c), 40 CFR 60.108a(d) and 40 CFR 63.1575 for the First Quarter 2017.

For this reporting period, no CEMS had excess emissions greater than 1 % of the total operating time and no CEMS had downtime greater than 5 % of the total operating time. There were no changes to the CEMS covered by this report in the 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2017. Enclosed are the Data Assessment Reports for the appropriate CEMs and information required by NSPS Subpart Ja, 40 CFR 60.108a(d). Subpart Ja root cause and corrective action analysis reports are included with this submittal. Updates to previously submitted Subpart Ja root cause and corrective action analysis reports are also included if corrective actions were completed in this reporting period.

Should you have any questions regarding this submission, please contact Mr. Justin Stubbe at (504) 271-4141.

I certify, based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in this document are true, accurate, and complete.

Regards,

Jack E. Merrill

Vice President & General Manager Valero Refining – Meraux LLC

lach E. Merrel

**Enclosures** 

cc: Mr. Brian Tusa, LDEQ SE Regional Office, New Orleans, LA

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant:  $SO_2$ 

Applicable NSPS Subpart: \_\_\_Ja\_\_

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: SO<sub>2</sub> corrected to 0% O<sub>2</sub> shall not exceed 250 ppm on a 12-hour rolling average

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: <u>Brimstone SGX-231(SO<sub>2</sub>)/Rosemount Oxymitter 4000(O<sub>2</sub>)</u>

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 1/23/17 (SO<sub>2</sub>), 1/23/17 (O<sub>2</sub>)

Process Unit(s) Description: #2 SRU Incinerator (EPN 1-93, EQT 0019)

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>	
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0
b. Control equipment problems	0
c. Process problems	12
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	12
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.6%

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>	
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
c. Quality assurance calibration	1
d. Other known causes	2
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total CMS Downtime	3
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.1 %

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant:  $SO_2$ 

Applicable NSPS Subpart: \_\_\_Ja\_\_

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: <u>SO<sub>2</sub> corrected to 0% O<sub>2</sub> shall not exceed 250 ppm on a 12-hour rolling average.</u>

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: <u>Brimstone 991-CEM-X(SO<sub>2</sub>)/ Rosemount Oxymitter 4000(O<sub>2</sub>)</u>

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 1/16/17 (SO<sub>2</sub>), 1/16/17 (O<sub>2</sub>)

Process Unit(s) Description: #3 SRU Incinerator (EPN 5-00, EQT 0079)

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>	
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	12
b. Control equipment problems	0
c. Process problems	0
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	12
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.6 %

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>	
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
c. Quality assurance calibration	23
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total CMS Downtime	23
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	1.1 %

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d))

Pollutant: H<sub>2</sub>S

Applicable NSPS Subpart: \_\_J\_

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek, #4661

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 1/13/17

Process Unit(s) Description: Area 1 Fuel Drum for Boiler TB-01 (EPN 1-06, EQT 0010), Boiler B-7 (EPN 1-07, EQT 0011), MDH

Product and Fractionator Heaters (EPN 2-92, EQT 0033)

Total source operating time in reporting period: <u>EQT 0010- 2,154 hours</u>, <u>EQT 0011- 2,152 hours</u>, <u>EQT 0033-2,159 hours</u>

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>			
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	EQT 0010 (hours)	EQT 0011 (hours)	EQT 0033 (hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0	0	0
b. Control equipment problems	0	0	0
c. Process problems	0	0	0
d. Other known causes	0	0	0
e. Unknown causes	0	0	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	0	0	0
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>			
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	EQT 0010 (hours)	EQT 0011 (hours)	EQT 0033 (hours)
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	0	0
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	0	0
c. Quality assurance calibration	1	1	0
d. Other known causes	0	0	0
e. Unknown causes	0	0	0
2. Total CMS Downtime	1	1	0
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %	0.0 %	0.0 %

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted. (Percentage based on the lowest operating time.)

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d))

Pollutant: H<sub>2</sub>S

Applicable NSPS Subpart: \_\_J\_\_

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Subpart J: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 2/2/17

Process Unit(s) Description: Area 2 Fuel Drum for: Vacuum Heater (EPN 1-76, EQT 0013); No.1 Crude Heater (EPN 12-72A, EQT 0022); NHT Charge Heater (EPN 14-72, EQT 0023); NHT Debut Reboiler (EPN 15-72, EQT 0024); NHT Depent Reboiler (EPN 16-72 EQT 0027); Platformer Charge Heater (EPN 17-72 a,b,c, EQT 0028); Platformer Debut Reboiler (EPN 19-72, EQT 0029); DHT Charge Heater (EPN 5-73, EQT 0058); ROSE Heater (EPN 1-80, EQT 0014)

Total source operating time in reporting period: <u>EQT 0013-2,159 hours; EQT 0022-2,159 hours; EQT 0023-1,386 hours; EQT 0024-1,381 hours; EQT 0027-1,394 hours; EQT 0028-1,399 hours; EQT 0029-1,337 hours; EQT 0058 – 2,127 hours; EQT 0014 - 2,159 hours</u>

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>			
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	EQT's 0013, 0014, 0022	EQT 0027	EQT's 0023, 0024, 0028, 0029, 0058
a. Startup/shutdown	0	0	0
b. Control equipment problems	0	0	0
c. Process problems	10	10	0
d. Other known causes	0	0	0
e. Unknown causes	0	0	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	10	10	0
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.5 %	0.7%	0.0%

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>		
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	EQT's 0013, 0014, 0022, 0058	EQT's 0023, 0024, 0027, 0028, 0029
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	0
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	0
c. Quality assurance calibration	4	0
d. Other known causes	0	0
e. Unknown causes	0	0
2. Total CMS Downtime	4	0
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.5 %	0.0 %

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: H<sub>2</sub>S

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Subpart Ja: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average and 60 ppm on a 365 day

rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 2/2/17

Process Unit(s) Description: Area 2 Fuel Drum for Benzene Recovery Unit Reboiler (EPN 1-09, EQT 0127)

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>	
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0
b. Control equipment problems	0
c. Process problems	0
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	0
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0%

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>		
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
c. Quality assurance calibration	0	
d. Other known causes	0	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total CMS Downtime	0	
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d))

Pollutant: H2S

Applicable NSPS Subpart: \_\_J\_\_

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 1/30/17

Process Unit(s) Description: Area 4 Fuel Drum for Merox Disulfide Separator to Platformer Charge Heater

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>	
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0
b. Control equipment problems	0
c. Process problems	0
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	0
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>		
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
c. Quality assurance calibration	1	
d. Other known causes	0	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total CMS Downtime	1	
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.1 %	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d))

Applicable NSPS Subpart: \_\_J\_

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: <u>Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.</u>

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 2/9/17

Process Unit(s) Description: Area 6 Fuel Drum for Hydrocracker & Hydrotreater Charge Heaters (EPN 1-00, EQT 0009)

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>		
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Startup/shutdown	0	
b. Control equipment problems	0	
c. Process problems	8	
d. Other known causes	0	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total duration of excess emission	8	
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.4 %	

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>		
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
c. Quality assurance calibration	1	
d. Other known causes	25	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total CMS Downtime	26	
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	1.2 %	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d))

Pollutant: H<sub>2</sub>S

Applicable NSPS Subpart: \_\_J\_

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 1/17/17

Process Unit(s) Description: Area 6 Fuel Drum for Boiler B-5 (EPN 2-00, EQT 0030) and Boiler B-6 (EPN 3-00, EQT 0048)

Total source operating time in reporting period: <u>EQT 0030-2,120 hours; EQT 0048-2,135 hours</u>

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>		
Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	EQT 0030 (hours)	EQT 0048 (hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0	0
b. Control equipment problems	0	0
c. Process problems	9	9
d. Other known causes	0	0
e. Unknown causes	0	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	9	9
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.4 %	0.4 %

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>		
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	EQT 0030 (hours)	EQT 0048 (hours)
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	0
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	0
c. Quality assurance calibration	2	2
d. Other known causes	0	0
e. Unknown causes	0	0
2. Total CMS Downtime	2	2
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.1 %	0.1 %

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d))

Pollutant: NO<sub>x</sub>

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Nitrogen Oxide shall not exceed 0.1 pound/MMBtu on a 30-day rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: ABB Limas11(NOx), Magnos27 (O2)

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 1/19/17 (NOx), 1/18/17 (O2)

Process Unit(s) Description: Boiler B-5 (EPN 2-00, EQT 0030)

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>	
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0
b. Control equipment problems	0
c. Process problems	0
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	0
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>		
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
c. Quality assurance calibration	2	
d. Other known causes	0	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total CMS Downtime	2	
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.1%	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d))

Pollutant:  $NO_x$ 

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Nitrogen Oxide shall not exceed 0.1 pound/MMBtu on a 30-day rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: ABB Limas11(NOx), Magnos27 (O2)

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 1/19/17 (NOx), 1/19/17 (O<sub>2</sub>)

Process Unit(s) Description: Boiler B-6 (EPN 3-00, EQT 0048)

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>	
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0
b. Control equipment problems	0
c. Process problems	0
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	0
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>		
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
c. Quality assurance calibration	1	
d. Other known causes	0	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total CMS Downtime	1	
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0%	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d))

Pollutant:  $NO_x$ 

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Nitrogen Oxide shall not exceed 0.1 pound/MMBtu on a 30-day rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Environmental 42i (NOx)/(O2)

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 10/28/16 (NOx), 10/19/16 (O2)

Process Unit(s) Description: Boiler TB-01 (EPN 1-06, EQT 0010)

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>		
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Startup/shutdown	0	
b. Control equipment problems	0	
c. Process problems	0	
d. Other known causes	0	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total duration of excess emission	0	
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %	

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>		
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)	
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0	
c. Quality assurance calibration	2	
d. Other known causes	0	
e. Unknown causes	0	
2. Total CMS Downtime	2	
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.1 %	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: NO<sub>x</sub>

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Nitrogen Oxide corrected to 0% O<sub>2</sub> shall not exceed 40 ppm on a 30-day rolling average

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Environmental 42i (NOx)/(O2)

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 2/4/17 (NOx), 2/4/17 (O2)

Process Unit(s) Description: Benzene Recovery Unit Reboiler (EPN 1-09, EQT 0127)

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>	
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0
b. Control equipment problems	0
c. Process problems	0
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	0
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>	
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
c. Quality assurance calibration	0
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total CMS Downtime	0
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: NO<sub>x</sub>

Applicable NSPS Subpart: N/A (Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 36.a)

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: ABB Limas11( NOx), Magnos27 (O2)

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 2/6/17 (NOx), 2/6/17 (O<sub>2</sub>)

Process Unit(s) Description: No.1 Crude Heater (EPN 12-72A, EQT 0022)

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>	
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0
b. Control equipment problems	0
c. Process problems	0
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	0
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>	
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
c. Quality assurance calibration	1
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total CMS Downtime	1
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant:  $H_2S$ 

Applicable NSPS Subpart: \_\_\_\_Ja\_\_\_

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 5100

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: <u>CGA on 3/20/17</u>

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), North Flare Header

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>	
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0
b. Control equipment problems	0
c. Process problems	0
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	0
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>	
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
c. Quality assurance calibration	2
d. Other known causes	25
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total CMS Downtime	27
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	1.3%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant:  $H_2S$ 

Applicable NSPS Subpart: \_\_\_\_Ja\_\_\_

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 5100

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: <u>CGA on 3/20/17</u>

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), Hydrocracker Flare Header

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>	
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0
b. Control equipment problems	0
c. Process problems	0
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	0
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>	
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
c. Quality assurance calibration	2
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total CMS Downtime	2
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.1 %

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: H<sub>2</sub>S

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 5100

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: <u>CGA on 3/20/17</u>

Process Unit(s) Description: South Flare Stack (EPN 3-77, EQT 0049)

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>	
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0
b. Control equipment problems	0
c. Process problems	0
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	0
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>	
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
c. Quality assurance calibration	2
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total CMS Downtime	2
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.1 %

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Total Sulfur

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Scientific SOLA II

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: <u>CGA on 1/26/17</u>

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), North Flare Header

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>	
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0
b. Control equipment problems	0
c. Process problems	0
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	0
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>	
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0
c. Quality assurance calibration	10
d. Other known causes	12
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total CMS Downtime	22
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	1.0%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Total Sulfur

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Scientific SOLA II

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 1/26/17

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), Hydrocracker Flare Header

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>	
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)
a. Startup/shutdown	0
b. Control equipment problems	0
c. Process problems	0
d. Other known causes	0
e. Unknown causes	0
2. Total duration of excess emission	0
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>						
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)					
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0					
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0					
c. Quality assurance calibration	15					
d. Other known causes	8					
e. Unknown causes	0					
2. Total CMS Downtime	23					
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	1.1 %					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Total Sulfur

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Scientific SOLA II

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 1/26/17

Process Unit(s) Description: South Flare Stack (EPN 3-77, EQT 0049)

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>						
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:						
a. Startup/shutdown	0					
b. Control equipment problems	0					
c. Process problems	0					
d. Other known causes	0					
e. Unknown causes	0					
2. Total duration of excess emission	0					
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %					

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>						
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)					
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0					
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0					
c. Quality assurance calibration	8					
d. Other known causes	28					
e. Unknown causes	0					
2. Total CMS Downtime	36					
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	1.7 %					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Flow

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: GE Panametrics GF 868

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: N/A

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), North Flare Header

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>						
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)					
a. Startup/shutdown	0					
b. Control equipment problems	0					
c. Process problems	0					
d. Other known causes	0					
e. Unknown causes	0					
2. Total duration of excess emission	0					
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %					

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>						
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)					
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0					
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0					
c. Quality assurance calibration	5					
d. Other known causes	0					
e. Unknown causes	0					
2. Total CMS Downtime	5					
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.2 %					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Flow

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: GE Panametrics GF 868

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: N/A

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), Hydrocracker Flare Header

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>						
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)					
a. Startup/shutdown	0					
b. Control equipment problems	0					
c. Process problems	0					
d. Other known causes	0					
e. Unknown causes	0					
2. Total duration of excess emission	0					
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %					

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>						
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)					
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0					
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0					
c. Quality assurance calibration	0					
d. Other known causes	0					
e. Unknown causes	0					
2. Total CMS Downtime	0					
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(d) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Flow

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: GE Panametrics GF 868

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: N/A

Process Unit(s) Description: South Flare Stack (EPN 3-77, EQT 0049)

Emissions Data Summary <sup>1</sup>						
1. Duration of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	(hours)					
a. Startup/shutdown	0					
b. Control equipment problems	0					
c. Process problems	0					
d. Other known causes	0					
e. Unknown causes	0					
2. Total duration of excess emission	0					
3. Total duration of excess emissions x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %					

CMS Performance Summary <sup>1</sup>						
1. CMS downtime in reporting period due to:	(hours)					
a. Monitor equipment malfunctions	0					
b. Non-Monitor equipment malfunctions	0					
c. Quality assurance calibration	0					
d. Other known causes	0					
e. Unknown causes	0					
2. Total CMS Downtime	0					
3. Total duration of CMS Downtime x (100) [Total source operating time] <sup>2</sup>	0.0 %					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For opacity, record all times in minutes. For gases, record all times in hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For the reporting period: If the total duration of excess emissions is 1 percent or greater of the total operating time or the total CMS downtime is 5 percent or greater of the total operating time, both the summary report form and the excess emission report described in §60.7(c) shall be submitted.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: SO<sub>2</sub>

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: SO<sub>2</sub> corrected to 0% O<sub>2</sub> shall not exceed 250 ppm on a 12-hour rolling average

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Brimstone SGX-231(SO<sub>2</sub>)/Rosemount Oxymitter 4000(O<sub>2</sub>)

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 1/23/17 (SO<sub>2</sub>), 1/23/17 (O<sub>2</sub>)

Process Unit(s) Description: #2 SRU Incinerator (EPN 1-93, EQT 0019)

Total source operating time in reporting period: 2,159 hours

Ja EXCESS EMISSIONS							
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Max 12- HRA (ppm)	Cause	Corrective Action	
2/14/17	07:00	19:00	12	>500	SO <sub>2</sub> at 0% O <sub>2</sub> greater than 250 ppm, 12-H 500 lbs/day above the allowable limit due wide steam system upset. For causes and cause and corrective action analysis dated report.	to unit upset during a refinery corrective actions, see the root	
TOTAL			12				

Ja CMS PERFORMANCE <sup>1</sup>							
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action		
1/20/17	10:00	11:00	1	Offline to clean analyzer internals.	N/A		
1/23/17	09:00	10:00	1	SO <sub>2</sub> and O <sub>2</sub> Cylinder Gas Audits.	N/A		
2/4/17	13:00	14:00	1	Replaced SO2 Lamp.	N/A		
TOTAL			3				

<sup>1</sup>In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: SO<sub>2</sub>

Applicable NSPS Subpart: \_\_Ja\_\_

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: SO<sub>2</sub> corrected to 0% O<sub>2</sub> shall not exceed 250 ppm on a 12-hour rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Brimstone 991-CEM-X(SO<sub>2</sub>)/ Rosemount Oxymitter 4000(O<sub>2</sub>)

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 1/16/17 (SO<sub>2</sub>), 1/16/17 (O<sub>2</sub>)

Process Unit(s) Description: #3 SRU Incinerator (EPN 5-00, EQT 0079)

Ja EXCESS EMISSIONS							
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Max 12- HRA (ppm)	Cause	Corrective Action	
2/14/17	08:00	20:00	12	>500			
TOTAL			12				

	Ja CMS PERFORMANCE <sup>1</sup>									
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action					
1/16/17	10:00	11:00	1	SO <sub>2</sub> Cylinder Gas Audit.	N/A					
1/16/17	13:00	14:00	1	O <sub>2</sub> Cylinder Gas Audit.	N/A					
2/22/17	11:00		21	Replaced SO2 lamp on 2/22. Out of	Valero re-calibrated the analyzer on 2/23 at					
2/23/17		08:00	21	Control on 2/23.	08:00.					
TOTAL	_	_	23							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: H2S

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average and 60 ppm on a 365 day rolling

average

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 2/2/17

Process Unit(s) Description: Area 2 Fuel Drum for Benzene Recovery Unit Reboiler (EPN 1-09, EQT 0127)

	Ja EXCESS EMISSIONS										
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Max 3- HRA (ppm)	Cause	Corrective Action					
None											
TOTAL	_	_	0	_							

	Ja CMS PERFORMANCE <sup>1</sup>								
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action				
None									
TOTAL			0						

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: NO<sub>x</sub>

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Nitrogen Oxide corrected to 0% O<sub>2</sub> shall not exceed 40 ppm on a 30-day rolling average

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Environmental 42i (NOx)/(O<sub>2</sub>)

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 2/4/17 (NOx), 2/4/17 (O<sub>2</sub>)

Process Unit(s) Description: Benzene Recovery Unit Reboiler (EPN 1-09, EQT 0127)

	Ja EXCESS EMISSIONS									
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Max 30- DRA (ppm)	Cause	Corrective Action				
None										
TOTAL	_	_	0							

	Ja CMS PERFORMANCE <sup>1</sup>									
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action					
None										
TOTAL			0							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant:  $H_2S$ 

Applicable NSPS Subpart: \_\_\_Ja\_\_

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: <u>Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.</u>

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 5100

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 3/20/17

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), North Flare Header

	Ja EXCESS EMISSIONS									
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Max 3- HRA (ppm)	Cause	Corrective Action				
None										
TOTAL	_	_	0							

	Ja CMS PERFORMANCE <sup>2</sup>									
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action					
1/8/17	12:00		25	Analyzer shutdown due to a blown	Valero replaced the fuse and returned					
1/9/17		13:00	23	fuse.	the analyzer to service.					
3/20/17	10:00	11:00	1	Cylinder Gas Audit	N/A					
3/20/17	14:00	15:00	1	Replaced span gas bottle and calibrated.	N/A					
TOTAL			27							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Due to the physical arrangement of the headers supplying the North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), two analyzers are required to measure H₂S concentration of the gas combusted in the North Flare. Conservatively, excess emission on either of these analyzers will be considered excess emissions at the North Flare. However, the CEMS performance will be tracked separately.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: H<sub>2</sub>S

Applicable NSPS Subpart: \_\_\_Ja\_\_

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 5100

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 3/20/17

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), Hydrocracker Flare Header

	Ja EXCESS EMISSIONS									
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Max 3- HRA (ppm)	Cause	Corrective Action				
None										
TOTAL			0							

	Ja CMS PERFORMANCE <sup>2</sup>									
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action					
3/20/17	14:00	16:00	2	Cylinder Gas Audit.	N/A					
TOTAL			2							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Due to the physical arrangement of the headers supplying the North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), two analyzers are required to measure H<sub>2</sub>S concentration of the gas combusted in the North Flare. Conservatively, excess emission on either of these analyzers will be considered excess emissions at the North Flare. However, the CEMS performance will be tracked separately.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant:  $H_2S$ 

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: <u>Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.</u>

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 5100

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 3/20/17

Process Unit(s) Description: South Flare Stack (EPN 3-77, EQT 0049)

	Ja EXCESS EMISSIONS								
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Max 3- HRA (ppm)	Cause	Corrective Action			
None.									
TOTAL			0						

	Ja CMS PERFORMANCE <sup>1</sup>									
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action					
3/20/17	11:00	11:00	1	Cylinder Gas Audit.	N/A					
3/21/17	09:00	10:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.					
TOTAL			2							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Total Sulfur

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Scientific SOLA II

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 1/26/17

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), North Flare Header

				Ja CMS PERFORMANCE <sup>1</sup>	
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action
1/7/17	13:00	15:00	2	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.
1/12/17	08:00	10:00	2	Shutdown to repair a valve actuator.	Calibrated and returned to service.
1/26/17	08:00	09:00	1	Cylinder Gas Audit.	N/A
3/7/17	09:00	21:00	12	Analyzer shutdown for annual preventative maintenance by a manufacturer technician.	Calibrated and returned to service.
3/8/17	12:00	13:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.
3/9/17	09:00	10:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.
3/10/17	08:00	09:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.
3/13/17	15:00	16:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.
3/22/17	13:00	14:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.
TOTAL			22		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Total Sulfur

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Scientific SOLA II

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 1/26/17

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), Hydrocracker Flare Header

Ja CMS PERFORMANCE <sup>1</sup>						
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action	
1/7/17	02:00	15:00	13	Analyzer offline due low carrier gas flow.	Valero adjusted the pressure regulators that control carrier gas flow, then calibrated the analyzer and returned it to service.	
1/26/17	08:00	09:00	1	Cylinder Gas Audit.	N/A	
3/8/17	08:00	16:00	8	Analyzer shutdown for annual preventative maintenance by a manufacturer technician.	Calibrated and returned to service.	
3/9/17	09:00	10:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.	
TOTAL			23			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Total Sulfur

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Scientific SOLA II

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: CGA on 1/26/17

Process Unit(s) Description: South Flare Stack (EPN 3-77, EQT 0049)

Ja CMS PERFORMANCE <sup>1</sup>					
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action
1/26/17	08:00	09:00	1	Cylinder Gas Audit.	N/A
3/2/17	09:00	10:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.
3/3/17	09:00	10:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.
3/6/17	10:00	11:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.
3/7/17	09:00		28	Analyzer shutdown for annual preventative maintenance by a manufacturer technician. After 1st returning the analyzer to service, a	Valero located the leak and repaired it. The analyzer was calibrated and returned to service.
3/8/17		13:00		leak caused elevated H <sub>2</sub> S in the analyzer building. Valero searched for the leak until late on 3/7, then left for the night and continued the next day.	
3/9/17	09:00	10:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.
3/11/17	11:00	13:00	2	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.
3/22/17	13:00	14:00	1	Adjusted for calibration drift.	Calibrated and returned to service.
TOTAL			36		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Flow

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: GE Panametrics GF 868

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: N/A

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), North Flare Header

Ja CMS PERFORMANCE <sup>1</sup>						
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action	
2/24/17	10:00	15:00	5	Shutdown for annual preventative maintenance and verification by a manufacturer technician.	Returned to service.	
TOTAL			5			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Flow

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: GE Panametrics GF 868

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: N/A

Process Unit(s) Description: North Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035), Hydrocracker Flare Header

	Ja CMS PERFORMANCE <sup>1</sup>						
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action		
None							
TOTAL			0				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

(per 40 CFR 60.7(c) and 60.108a(d))

Pollutant: Flow

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (Also Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: None

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: GE Panametrics GF 868

Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit: N/A

Process Unit(s) Description: South Flare Stack (EPN 3-77, EQT 0049)

Ja CMS PERFORMANCE <sup>1</sup>						
Date	Start	End	Duration (hours)	Cause	Corrective Action	
None						
TOTAL			0			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In accordance with 40 CFR 60.108a(d)(6), changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability which could affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit have been compared with operation of the control system and affected facility before and following the period of data unavailability to ensure that any changes made in operation of the emission control system during the period of data unavailability did not affect the ability of the system to meet the applicable emission limit.

Pollutant: SO <sub>2</sub>				
Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>				
Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/3	31/17			
Date submitted: 4/30/17				
Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC				
Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, M	Ieraux, LA 70075			
Emission Limitation: SO <sub>2</sub> corrected to 0% (	O <sub>2</sub> shall not exceed 2	250 ppm on a 12-ho	our rolling average.	<u> </u>
Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Brim	stone SGX-231(SO	2)/Rosemount Oxyı	mitter 4000(O <sub>2</sub> )	
Source unit: #2 SRU Incinerator (EPN 1-93	3, EQT 0019)			
CEM Sampling Location: #2 SRU Incinera	tor (#1-93)			
CEM Span Value: Sulfur Dioxide 500 ppm;	Oxygen 25%			
I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULT	TS (CGA):			
Date of Audit Audit Gas Cylinder No. Date of Audit Gas Cert. Type of Certification Certified Audit Value CEM Response Value Accuracy Standard	SO <sub>2</sub> #1 (low scale) 1/23/17 SG9150051BAL 5/27/16 EPA Protocol 1 124.9 ppmv 121.0 ppmv 3.1% <15%	SO <sub>2</sub> #2 (high scale) 1/23/17 CC125741 5/27/16 EPA Protocol 1 274.5 ppmv 264.0 ppmv 3.8% <15%	O <sub>2</sub> #1 (low scale) 1/23/17 CC483689 5/23/16 EPA Protocol 1 5.99 vol % 5.90 vol % 1.5% <15%	O <sub>2</sub> #2 (high scale) 1/23/17 SG9152263BAL 5/23/16 EPA Protocol 1 10.05 vol % 9.80 vol % 2.5% <15%
II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSESSMEN	ĪΤ			
A. Out-of Control Periods:				
1. Dates: <u>N/A</u>	_			
2. Number of Days N/A	_			
B. Corrective Actions: N/A				

Pollutant: SO<sub>2</sub>

Applicable NSPS Subpart: \_\_Ja\_

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: SO<sub>2</sub> corrected to 0% O<sub>2</sub> shall not exceed 250 ppm on a 12-hour rolling average. Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Brimstone 991-CEM-X (SO<sub>2</sub>), Rosemount Oxymitter 4000 (O<sub>2</sub>)

Source unit: #3 SRU Incinerator (EPN 5-00, EQT 0079)

CEM Sampling Location: #3 SRU Incinerator (#5-00) CEM Span Value: Sulfur Dioxide 500 ppm; Oxygen 25%

#### I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):

	SO <sub>2</sub> #1	SO <sub>2</sub> #2	O <sub>2</sub> #1	$O_2 \# 2$
	(low scale)	(high scale)	(low scale)	(high scale)
Date of Audit	1/16/17	1/16/17	1/16/17	1/16/17
Audit Gas Cylinder No.	XC022957B	CC94008	CC483694	EB0063979
Date of Audit Gas Cert.	5/27/16	5/27/16	5/23/16	5/23/16
Type of Certification	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1
Certified Audit Value	125.3 ppmv	275.3 ppmv	5.99 vol %	10.00 vol %
CEM Response Value	135.0 ppmv	280.0 ppmv	5.27 vol %	9.38 vol %
Accuracy	7.7%	1.7%	11.9%	6.2%
Standard	<15%	<15%	<15%	<15%

#### II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT

#### A. Out-of Control Periods:

1. Dates: 2/22/17, 11:00 – 2/23/17, 08:00 – 21 hours

2. Number of Days <u>0.9 (21 hours)</u>

B. Corrective Actions: On 2/22/17, Valero replaced the SO<sub>2</sub> lamp. The analyzer drifted downward out of calibration and for the next day's calibration drift check the SO<sub>2</sub> span and zero were both >4x the Appendix B limits from the calibration gas. Valero calibrated the analyzer on 2/23/17 and the downward drift of the new SO2 lamp did not reoccur.

Polluant:  $\mathbf{H}_2\mathbf{S}$ 

Applicable NSPS Subpart:				
Reporting period dates: From <u>1</u>	/1/17 to 3/31/17			
Date submitted: 4/30/17				
Company: Valero Refining - M	eraux LLC			
Address: 2500 East St. Bernard	Highway, Meraux, LA 70075			
Emission Limitation: <u>Hydroge</u>	n Sulfide shall not exceed 162 pp	m on a 3-hour roll	ing average.	
Monitor Manufacturer and Mode	el No.: Ametek 4661			
Source Unit: Area 1 Fuel Drum	n for Boiler TB-01 (EPN 1-06, EQ	OT 0010)		
CEM Sampling Location: Area	1 Fuel Drum			
CEM Span Value: <u>Hydrogen S</u>	ulfide, 300 ppm			
I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):				
	Date of Audit Audit Gas Cylinder No. Date of Audit Gas Cert. Type of Certification Certified Audit Value (ppmv) CEM Response Value (ppmv) Accuracy Standard	H <sub>2</sub> S #1 (low scale) 1/13/17 CC34939B 5/23/16 EPA Protocol 1 76.0 75.0 1.3% <15%	H <sub>2</sub> S #2 (high scale) 1/13/17 CC26703 5/27/16 EPA Protocol 1 175.3 177.3 1.1% <15%	
II. CALIBRATION DRIFT AS	SSESSMENT			
A. Out-of Control Periods:				
<ol> <li>Dates:</li> <li>Number of Da</li> </ol>				
B. Corrective Actions: N/A				

Pollutant: $H_2S$			
Applicable NSPS Subpart:J	and Ja (Benzene Recovery Uni	it Reboiler Subject	to Ja)
Reporting period dates: From _	<u>1/1/17</u> to <u>3/31/17</u>		
Date submitted: 4/30/17			
Company: Valero Refining - M	Ieraux LLC		
Address: 2500 East St. Bernard	l Highway, Meraux, LA 70075		
Emission Limitation: <u>Hydrog</u>	gen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 j	ppm on a 3-hour r	olling average(J and Ja) and 60 ppm on a 365 day
rolling average (Ja only)			
Monitor Manufacturer and Mod	lel No.: Ametek 4661		
(EPN 12-72A, EQT 022); ROS (EPN 17-72 a,b,c , EQT 0028); NHT Debut Reboiler (EPA 15-	E Heater (EPN 1-80, EQT 0014);	Vacuum Heater ( V 19-72, EQT 0029 oiler (EPA 16-72,	2-92, EQT 0033); No.1 Crude Heater EPN 1-76, EQT 0013); Platformer Charge Heater D); NHT Charge Heater (EPN 14-72, EQT 0023); EQT 0027); DHT Charge Heater
CEM Sampling Location: Are	•	1-09, EQT 0127)	
1 6			
CEM Span Value: <u>Hydrogen S</u>	sumae, 500 ppm		
I. ACCURACY ASSESSME	NT RESULTS (CGA):		
	Date of Audit Audit Gas Cylinder No. Date of Audit Gas Cert. Type of Certification Certified Audit Value (ppmv) CEM Response Value (ppmv) Accuracy Standard	H <sub>2</sub> S #1 (low scale) 2/2/17 EB0062585 5/23/16 EPA Protocol 1 76.7 77.3 0.8% <15%	H <sub>2</sub> S #2 (high scale) 2/2/17 CC41503 5/27/16 EPA Protocol 1 176.4 175.0 0.8% <15%
II. CALIBRATION DRIFT A	SSESSMENT		
A. Out-of Control Pe	riods:		
1. Dates:	N/A		
2. Number of Da	ays <u>N/A</u>		
B. Corrective Action	s· N/A		
D. Confective riction			

### **DATA ASSESSMENT REPORT**

(per 40 CFR 60, Appendix F, Section 7)

Pollutant: H	$_{2}S$				
Applicable N	ISPS Subpart:J_				
Reporting pe	riod dates: From 1	<u>/1/17</u> to <u>3/31/17</u>			
Date submitt	ed: 4/30/17				
Company: V	Valero Refining - Me	eraux LLC			
Address: 25	500 East St. Bernard	Highway, Meraux, LA 70075			
Emission Lin	nitation: <u>Hydroger</u>	n Sulfide shall not exceed 162 pp	m on a 3-hour roll	ing average.	
Monitor Mar	nufacturer and Mode	el No.: <u>Ametek 4661</u>			
Process Unit	(s) Description: Ar	ea 4 Fuel Drum for Merox Disult	fide Separator to P	latformer Charge Heater	
CEM Sampli	ing Location: Area	4 Fuel Drum			
CEM Span V	alue: <u>Hydrogen Su</u>	ılfide, 300 ppm			
I. ACCUR	ACY ASSESSMEN	TT RESULTS (CGA):			
		Date of Audit Audit Gas Cylinder No. Date of Audit Gas Cert. Type of Certification Certified Audit Value (ppmv) CEM Response Value (ppmv) Accuracy Standard	H <sub>2</sub> S #1 ( <u>low scale</u> ) 1/30/17 CC467104 5/23/16 EPA Protocol 1 78.0 76.7 1.7% <15%	H <sub>2</sub> S #2 ( <u>high scale</u> ) 1/30/17 CC91595 5/27/16 EPA Protocol 1 169.9 166.7 1.9% <15%	
II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT					
A. Out-of Control Periods:					
1. Dates: <u>N/A</u>					
	2. Number of Days N/A				
В.	Corrective Actions:	N/A			

Applicable NSPS Subpart:J_  Reporting period dates: From1/1/17_ to3/31/17_  Date submitted:4/30/17_  Company:Valero Refining - Meraux LLC  Address:2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075_  Emission Limitation:Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.  Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.:Ametek 4661_  Process Unit(s) Description:Area 6 Fuel Drum for Hydrocracker & Hydrotreater Charge Heaters (EPN 1-00, EQT 0009)  CEM Sampling Location:Area 6 Fuel Drum  CEM Span Value:Hydrogen Sulfide, 300 ppm  I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):  H <sub>2</sub> S #1 H <sub>2</sub> S #2				
Date submitted: 4/30/17  Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC  Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075  Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.  Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661  Process Unit(s) Description: Area 6 Fuel Drum for Hydrocracker & Hydrotreater Charge Heaters (EPN 1-00, EQT 0009)  CEM Sampling Location: Area 6 Fuel Drum  CEM Span Value: Hydrogen Sulfide, 300 ppm  I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):				
Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC  Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075  Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.  Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661  Process Unit(s) Description: Area 6 Fuel Drum for Hydrocracker & Hydrotreater Charge Heaters (EPN 1-00, EQT 0009)  CEM Sampling Location: Area 6 Fuel Drum  CEM Span Value: Hydrogen Sulfide, 300 ppm  I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):				
Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075  Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.  Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661  Process Unit(s) Description: Area 6 Fuel Drum for Hydrocracker & Hydrotreater Charge Heaters (EPN 1-00, EQT 0009)  CEM Sampling Location: Area 6 Fuel Drum  CEM Span Value: Hydrogen Sulfide, 300 ppm  I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):				
Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.  Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661  Process Unit(s) Description: Area 6 Fuel Drum for Hydrocracker & Hydrotreater Charge Heaters (EPN 1-00, EQT 0009)  CEM Sampling Location: Area 6 Fuel Drum  CEM Span Value: Hydrogen Sulfide, 300 ppm  I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):				
Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661  Process Unit(s) Description: Area 6 Fuel Drum for Hydrocracker & Hydrotreater Charge Heaters (EPN 1-00, EQT 0009)  CEM Sampling Location: Area 6 Fuel Drum  CEM Span Value: Hydrogen Sulfide, 300 ppm  I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):				
Process Unit(s) Description: Area 6 Fuel Drum for Hydrocracker & Hydrotreater Charge Heaters (EPN 1-00, EQT 0009)  CEM Sampling Location: Area 6 Fuel Drum  CEM Span Value: Hydrogen Sulfide, 300 ppm  I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):				
CEM Sampling Location: Area 6 Fuel Drum  CEM Span Value: Hydrogen Sulfide, 300 ppm  I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):				
CEM Span Value: <u>Hydrogen Sulfide, 300 ppm</u> I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):				
I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):				
$H_2S$ #1 $H_2S$ #2				
Date of Audit  Audit Gas Cylinder No.  Date of Audit Gas Cylinder No.  CC182529  CC52088  Date of Audit Gas Cert.  Type of Certification  Certified Audit Value (ppmv)  CEM Response Value (ppmv)  Accuracy  Standard  Clow scale)  (high scale)  2/9/17  2/9/17  EVENTOR:  EPA Protocol 1  Certified Audit Value (ppmv)  76.4  160.7  3.6%  Standard  <15%  <15%				
II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT				
A. Out-of Control Periods:				
1. Dates: <u>N/A</u>				
2. Number of Days <u>N/A</u>				
B. Corrective Actions: N/A				

Applicable NSPS Subpart:J  Reporting period dates: FromI/I/17_ to3/31/17_  Date submitted:4/30/17_  Company:Valero Refining - Meraux LLC  Address:2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075_  Emission Limitation:Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.  Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.:Ametek 4661_  Process Unit(s) Description:Area 6 Fuel Drum for Boilers B-5 (EPN 2-00, EQT 0030) and B-6 (EPN 3-00, EQT 0048)  CEM Sampling Location: _Area 6 Fuel Drum  CEM Span Value:Hydrogen Sulfide, 300 ppm  I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):    H2S #1	Pollutant: H <sub>2</sub> S					
Date submitted; 4/30/17  Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC  Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075  Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.  Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661  Process Unit(s) Description: Area 6 Fuel Drum for Boilers B-5 (EPN 2-00, EQT 0030) and B-6 (EPN 3-00, EQT 0048)  CEM Sampling Location: Area 6 Fuel Drum  CEM Span Value: Hydrogen Sulfide, 300 ppm  I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):  H <sub>2</sub> S #1 H <sub>2</sub> S #2 (high scale)  1/17/17 1/17/17  Audit Gas Cylinder No. CC421903 CC111958  Date of Audit Gas Cert. 5/23/16 5/27/16  Type of Certification Certified Audit Value (ppmv) 78.1 171.5  CEM Response Value (ppmv) 70.2 153.0  Accuracy Standard <15% <15%  II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT  A. Out-of Control Periods:	Applicable NSPS Subpart:J					
Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC  Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075  Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.  Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661  Process Unit(s) Description: Area 6 Fuel Drum for Boilers B-5 (EPN 2-00, EQT 0030) and B-6 (EPN 3-00, EQT 0048)  CEM Sampling Location: Area 6 Fuel Drum  CEM Span Value: Hydrogen Sulfide, 300 ppm  I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):    H2S #1	Reporting period dates: From <u>1/1/17</u> to <u>3/31/17</u>					
Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075  Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.  Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661  Process Unit(s) Description: Area 6 Fuel Drum for Boilers B-5 (EPN 2-00, EQT 0030) and B-6 (EPN 3-00, EQT 0048)  CEM Sampling Location: Area 6 Fuel Drum  CEM Span Value: Hydrogen Sulfide, 300 ppm  I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):    H2S #1	Date submitted: 4/30/17					
Emission Limitation: Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppm on a 3-hour rolling average.  Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661  Process Unit(s) Description: Area 6 Fuel Drum for Boilers B-5 (EPN 2-00, EQT 0030) and B-6 (EPN 3-00, EQT 0048)  CEM Sampling Location: Area 6 Fuel Drum  CEM Span Value: Hydrogen Sulfide, 300 ppm  I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):  H <sub>2</sub> S #1 H <sub>2</sub> S #2 (low scale) (high scale)  Date of Audit Gas Cylinder No. CC421903 CC111958  Date of Audit Gas Cert. 5/23/16 5/27/16  Type of Certification EPA Protocol 1 EPA Protocol 1  Certified Audit Value (ppmv) 78.1 171.5  CEM Response Value (ppmv) 70.2 153.0  Accuracy 10.2% 10.8%  Standard <15% <15%  II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT  A. Out-of Control Periods:	Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC					
Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661  Process Unit(s) Description: Area 6 Fuel Drum for Boilers B-5 (EPN 2-00, EQT 0030) and B-6 (EPN 3-00, EQT 0048)  CEM Sampling Location: Area 6 Fuel Drum  CEM Span Value: Hydrogen Sulfide, 300 ppm  I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):  H <sub>2</sub> S #1 H <sub>2</sub> S #2 (low scale) (high scale)  Date of Audit Gas Cylinder No. CC421903 CC111958  Date of Audit Gas Cert. 5/23/16 5/27/16  Type of Certification EPA Protocol 1 EPA Protocol 1  Certified Audit Value (ppmv) 78.1 171.5  CEM Response Value (ppmv) 70.2 153.0  Accuracy Standard <15% <15%  II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT  A. Out-of Control Periods:	Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075	_				
Process Unit(s) Description: Area 6 Fuel Drum for Boilers B-5 (EPN 2-00, EQT 0030) and B-6 (EPN 3-00, EQT 0048)  CEM Sampling Location: Area 6 Fuel Drum  CEM Span Value: Hydrogen Sulfide, 300 ppm  I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):    H2S #1	Emission Limitation: <u>Hydrogen Sulfide shall not exceed 162</u>	ppm on a 3-hour roll	ing average.			
CEM Sampling Location: Area 6 Fuel Drum  CEM Span Value: Hydrogen Sulfide, 300 ppm  I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):	Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Ametek 4661					
CEM Span Value: Hydrogen Sulfide, 300 ppm	Process Unit(s) Description: <u>Area 6 Fuel Drum for Boilers B-</u>	5 (EPN 2-00, EQT 0	030) and B-6 (EPN 3-00, EQT 0048)			
I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):    H <sub>2</sub> S #1   H <sub>2</sub> S #2   (low scale)   (high scale)   (ligh scale)   (lig	CEM Sampling Location: <u>Area 6 Fuel Drum</u>					
H <sub>2</sub> S #1   H <sub>2</sub> S #2   (low scale)   (high scale)	CEM Span Value: <u>Hydrogen Sulfide</u> , 300 ppm					
Date of Audit	I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):					
A. Out-of Control Periods:	Audit Gas Cylinder No. Date of Audit Gas Cert. Type of Certification Certified Audit Value (ppmv) CEM Response Value (ppmv) Accuracy	(low scale) 1/17/17 CC421903 5/23/16 EPA Protocol 1 ) 78.1 ) 70.2 10.2%	(high scale) 1/17/17 CC111958 5/27/16 EPA Protocol 1 171.5 153.0 10.8%			
	II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT					
1. Dates: <u>N/A</u>	A. Out-of Control Periods:					
	1. Dates: <u>N/A</u>					
2. Number of Days <u>N/A</u>	2. Number of Days N/A					
B. Corrective Actions: N/A	B. Corrective Actions: N/A					

Pollutant: N	$O_{\mathbf{x}}$				
Applicable N	NSPS Subpart:Db				
Reporting po	eriod dates: From <u>1/1/17</u> to <u>3/31</u>	/17_			
Date submit	ted: 4/30/17				
Company:	Valero Refining - Meraux LLC				
Address: 25	600 East St. Bernard Highway, Me	raux, LA 70075			
Emission Li	mitation: Nitrogen Oxide shall n	ot exceed 0.1 poun	d/MMBtu on a 30-	day rolling average	<u>.                                    </u>
Monitor Ma	nufacturer and Model No.: ABB	Limas11( NOx), M	Iagnos27 (O <sub>2</sub> )		
Process Unit	t(s) Description: Boiler B-5 (EPI	N 2-00, EQT 0030)			
CEM Sampl	ing Location: Boiler B-5				
CEM Span V	Value: Nitrogen Oxide 100 ppm,	Oxygen 25 %			
I. ACCUF	RACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS	(CGA):			
	Date of Audit Audit Gas Cylinder No. Date of Audit Gas Cert. Type of Certification Certified Audit Value CEM Response Value Accuracy Standard	NOx #1 (low scale) 1/19/17 LL165998 2/4/15 EPA Protocol 1 24.7 ppmv 25.7 ppmv 4.1% <15%	NOx #2 (high scale) 1/19/17 LL64747 5/3/16 EPA Protocol 1 54.5 ppmv 54.4 ppmv 0.1% <15%	O <sub>2</sub> #1 (low scale) 1/18/17 LL53418 1/28/14 EPA Protocol 1 6.01 vol % 6.02 vol % 0.2% <15%	O <sub>2</sub> #2 (high scale) 1/18/17 LL167062 1/28/14 EPA Protocol 1 10.01 vol % 10.04 vol % 0.3% <15%
II. CALIB	RATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT				
A.	Out-of Control Periods:				
	1. Dates: <u>N/A</u>				
	2. Number of Days N/A				
В.	Corrective Actions: N/A				

#### **DATA ASSESSMENT REPORT**

(per 40 CFR 60, Appendix F, Section 7)

Pollutant:  $NO_x$ 

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Nitrogen Oxide shall not exceed 0.1 pound/MMBtu on a 30-day rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: ABB Limas11( NOx), Magnos27 (O2)

Process Unit(s) Description: Boiler B-6 (EPN 3-00, EQT 0048)

CEM Sampling Location: Boiler B-6

CEM Span Value: Nitrogen Oxide 100 ppm, Oxygen 25 %

#### I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):

	NOx #1	NOx #2	O <sub>2</sub> #1	$O_2 \# 2$
	(low scale)	(high scale)	(low scale)	(high scale)
Date of Audit	1/19/17	1/19/17	1/19/17	1/19/17
Audit Gas Cylinder No.	LL165998	LL64747	LL53418	LL167062
Date of Audit Gas Cert.	2/4/15	5/3/16	1/28/14	1/28/14
Type of Certification	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1
Certified Audit Value	24.7 ppmv	54.5 ppmv	6.01 vol %	10.01 vol %
CEM Response Value	25.7 ppmv	54.7 ppmv	5.97 vol %	9.99 vol %
Accuracy	4.1%	0.4%	0.7%	0.2%
Standard	<15%	<15%	<15%	<15%

#### II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT

A. Out-	of Control	Periods:
---------	------------	----------

- 1. Dates: <u>N/A</u>
- 2. Number of Days N/A
- B. Corrective Actions: N/A

#### DATA ASSESSMENT REPORT

(per 40 CFR 60, Appendix F, Section 7)

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Nitrogen Oxide shall not exceed 0.1 pound/MMBtu on a 30-day rolling average.

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Environmental Model 42i (NOx)/(O2)

Process Unit(s) Description: Boiler TB-01 (EPN 1-06, EQT 0010)

CEM Sampling Location: Boiler TB-01

CEM Span Value: Nitrogen Oxide 500 ppm, Oxygen 25 %

#### I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):

	NOx #1	NOx #2	O <sub>2</sub> #1	$O_2 \# 2$
	(low scale)	(high scale)	(low scale)	(high scale)
Date of Audit	1/16/17	1/16/17	1/17/17	1/17/17
Audit Gas Cylinder No.	SG9167966	CC89303	LL269	LL168197
Date of Audit Gas Cert.	5/31/16	2/11/14	4/26/16	4/25/16
Type of Certification	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1
Certified Audit Value	126.9 ppmv	270.5 ppmv	6.00 vol %	10.10 vol %
CEM Response Value	127.5 ppmv	270.3 ppmv	5.83 vol %	9.87 vol %
Accuracy	0.5%	0.1%	2.8%	2.3%
Standard	<15%	<15%	<15%	<15%

#### II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT

	O . C.	<b>a</b> , 1	D ' 1
Α. (	Diit-01 (	Control	Periods:

- 1. Dates: <u>N/A</u>
- 2. Number of Days N/A
- B. Corrective Actions: N/A

Pollutant:  $NO_x$ 

Applicable I	NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>					
Reporting po	eriod dates: From <u>1/1/17</u> to <u>3/33</u>	1/17_				
Date submit	ted: 4/30/17					
Company:	Valero Refining - Meraux LLC					
Address: 25	500 East St. Bernard Highway, Me	eraux, LA 70075				
Emission Li	mitation: Nitrogen Oxide correc	eted to 0% O <sub>2</sub> shall	not exceed 40 ppm	on a 30-day rolling	g average_	
Monitor Ma	nufacturer and Model No.: Theri	mo Environmental	Model 42i (NOx)/(	O <sub>2</sub> )		
Process Uni	t(s) Description: Benzene Recove	ery Unit Reboiler (I	EPN 1-09, EQT 012	27)		
	ling Location: Benzene Recovery		·	<u> </u>		
-	Value: Nitrogen Oxide 100 ppm,					
CLIVI Span	variae. Triarogen Oxide 100 ppin,	Oxygen 25 70				
I ACCIII	RACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS	S(CGA):				
i. Accor	RACT ASSESSMENT RESULTS	(CGA).				
		NOx #1	NOx #2	O <sub>2</sub> #1	O <sub>2</sub> #2	
	CGA Date of Audit	(low scale) 2/4/17	(high scale) 2/4/17	(low scale) 2/4/17	(high scale) 2/4/17	
	Audit Gas Cylinder No.	CC430476	CC307733	CC483658	CC87078	
	Date of Audit Gas Cert.	6/2/16	6/2/16	5/23/16	5/23/16	
	Type of Certification	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1	
	Certified Audit Value	25.0 ppmv	55.8 ppmv	5.96 vol %	9.94 vol %	
	CEM Response Value	24.4 ppmv	54.7 ppmv	5.67 vol %	9.67 vol %	
	Accuracy	2.3%	2.0%	4.9%	2.7%	
	Standard	<15%	<15%	<15%	<15%	
II. CALIB	RATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT					
A.	Out-of Control Periods:					
	1. Dates: N/A	-				
	2. Number of Days N/A	-				
B.	Corrective Actions: N/A					

#### DATA ASSESSMENT REPORT

(per 40 CFR 60, Appendix F, Section 7)

Pollutant: NO<sub>x</sub>

Applicable NSPS Subpart: N/A (Required by Consent Decree: 3:10-cv-00563-bbc, Paragraph 36.a)

Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17

Date submitted: 4/30/17

Company: Valero Refining - Meraux LLC

Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Highway, Meraux, LA 70075

Emission Limitation: Nitrogen Oxide corrected to 0% O<sub>2</sub> shall not exceed 40 ppm on a 30-day rolling average

Monitor Manufacturer and Model No.: Thermo Environmental Model 42i (NOx)/(O2)

Process Unit(s) Description: No.1 Crude Heater (EPN 12-72A, EQT 0022)

CEM Sampling Location: No.1 Crude Heater

CEM Span Value: Nitrogen Oxide 100 ppm, Oxygen 25 %

#### I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT RESULTS (CGA):

	NOx #1	NOx #2	O <sub>2</sub> #1	$O_2 \# 2$
<u>CGA</u>	(low scale)	(high scale)	(low scale)	(high scale)
Date of Audit	2/6/17	2/6/17	2/6/17	2/6/17
Audit Gas Cylinder No.	LL178685	CC319153	CC483658	CC222165
Date of Audit Gas Cert.	5/2/16	6/2/16	5/23/16	5/23/16
Type of Certification	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1	EPA Protocol 1
Certified Audit Value	24.7 ppmv	55.4 ppmv	5.99 vol %	9.96 vol %
CEM Response Value	26.5 ppmv	58.8 ppmv	5.91 vol %	9.97 vol %
Accuracy	7.5%	6.1%	1.3%	0.1%
Standard	<15%	<15%	<15%	<15%

#### II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSESSMENT

$A \cap$	11t of 1	Control	Darie	٠de٠

- 1. Dates: <u>N/A</u>
- 2. Number of Days N/A
- B. Corrective Actions: N/A

Pollutant: H <sub>2</sub> S						
Applicable NSPS Subpart:						
Reporting period dates: From1/2	Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17					
Date submitted: 4/30/17						
Company: Valero Refining - Mer	raux LLC					
Address: 2500 East St. Bernard H	Highway, Meraux, LA 70075					
Emission Limitation: <u>Hydrogen</u>	Sulfide shall not exceed 162 ppr	m on a 3-hour rolli	ing average.			
Monitor Manufacturer and Model	No.: Ametek 5100					
Process Unit(s) Description: North	h Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT	0035), North Flar	e Header			
CEM Sampling Location: North	Flare Stack, North Flare Header	(Y-AT-801)				
CEM Span Value: <u>Hydrogen Sul</u>	lfide, 300 ppm					
	Date of Audit Audit Gas Cylinder No. Date of Audit Gas Cert. Type of Certification Certified Audit Value CEM Response Value Accuracy Standard	H <sub>2</sub> S #1 ( <u>low scale</u> ) 3/20/17 CC441826 10/3/16 EPA Protocol 1 79.1 ppmv 83.3 ppmv 5.3% <15%	H <sub>2</sub> S #2 (high scale) 3/20/17 CC288207 10/4/16 EPA Protocol 1 177.3 ppmv 184.7 ppmv 4.2% <15%			
II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASS	SESSMENT					
A. Out-of Control Perio	ods:					
1. Dates:	N/A					
2. Number of Days	s <u>N/A</u>					
B. Corrective Actions:	N/A					

Pollutant:  $H_2S$ 

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>	<u> </u>				
Reporting period dates: From 1	Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17				
Date submitted: 4/30/17					
Company: Valero Refining - Me	eraux LLC_				
Address: 2500 East St. Bernard	Highway, Meraux, LA 70075				
Emission Limitation: <u>Hydrogen</u>	n Sulfide shall not exceed 162 pp	om on a 3-hour roll	ing average.		
Monitor Manufacturer and Mode	el No.: Ametek 5100				
Process Unit(s) Description: Nor	th Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, EQT	7 0035), Hydrocrac	ker Flare Header		
CEM Sampling Location: North	Flare Stack, Hydrocracker Flare	e Header (Y-AT-8	00)		
CEM Span Value: <u>Hydrogen St</u>	ılfide, 300 ppm				
I. ACCURACY ASSESSMEN	VT RESULTS (CGA):				
	Date of Audit Audit Gas Cylinder No. Date of Audit Gas Cert. Type of Certification Certified Audit Value (ppmv) CEM Response Value (ppmv) Accuracy Standard	H <sub>2</sub> S #1 ( <u>low scale</u> ) 3/20/17 CC441826 10/3/16 EPA Protocol 1 79.1 ppmv 77.3 ppmv 2.3% <15%	H <sub>2</sub> S #2 ( <u>high scale</u> ) 3/20/17 CC288207 10/4/16 EPA Protocol 1 177.3 ppmv 177.7 ppmv 0.2% <15%		
II. CALIBRATION DRIFT AS	SESSMENT				
A. Out-of Control Per	iods:				
1. Dates:	N/A				
2. Number of Da	ys N/A				
B. Corrective Actions	: N/A				

Pollutant:  $H_2S$ 

Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>					
Reporting period dates: From 1/1/17 to 3/31/17					
Date submitted: 4/30/17					
Company: Valero Refining - Merau	ıx LLC_				
Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Hig	ghway, Meraux, LA 70075	_			
Emission Limitation: <u>Hydrogen S</u>	ulfide shall not exceed 162	ppm on a 3-hour i	olling average.		
Monitor Manufacturer and Model N	Io.: Ametek 5100				
Process Unit(s) Description: South	Flare Stack (EPN 3-77, E0	QT 0049)			
CEM Sampling Location: South Fla	are Stack (Y-AT-802)				
CEM Span Value: <u>Hydrogen Sulfi</u>	de, 300 ppm_				
I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT	RESULTS (CGA):				
	Date of Audit Audit Gas Cylinder No. Date of Audit Gas Cert. Type of Certification Certified Audit Value CEM Response Value Accuracy Standard	H <sub>2</sub> S #1 (low scale) 3/20/17 CC441826 10/3/16 EPA Protocol 1 79.1 ppmv 71.0 ppmv 10.2% <15%	H <sub>2</sub> S #2 (high scale) 3/20/17 CC288207 10/4/16 EPA Protocol 1 177.3 ppmv 171.0 ppmv 3.6% <15%		
II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSE	SSMENT				
A. Out-of Control Period:	s:				
1. Dates:	N/A				
2. Number of Days	N/A				
B. Corrective Actions:	N/A				

D. II			
Pollutant: Total Sulfur			
Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u>	•	ee: 3:10-cv-00563	-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)
Reporting period dates: From <u>1/1/1</u>	17 to 3/31/17		
Date submitted: 4/30/17			
Company: Valero Refining - Merau	ıx LLC		
Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Hig	ghway, Meraux, LA 70075	<u> </u>	
Emission Limitation: None			
Monitor Manufacturer and Model N	o.: Thermo Scientific SOL	_A II	
Process Unit(s) Description: North I	Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, E	QT 0035), North F	lare Header
CEM Sampling Location: North Fla	are Stack, North Flare Head	der (Y-AT-303)	
CEM Span Value: Total Sulfur, Du	ial Range: 0-10,000 ppm, 1	0,000-1,000,000 p	opm
I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT	Date of Audit Audit Gas Cylinder No. Date of Audit Gas Cert. Type of Certification Certified Audit Value CEM Response Value Accuracy Standard	H <sub>2</sub> S #1 ( <u>low scale</u> ) 1/26/17 CC305316 5/27/16 EPA Protocol 1 1013.0 ppmv 1030.3 ppmv 1.7% <15%	H <sub>2</sub> S #2 (high scale) 1/26/17 CC74237 9/26/16 Certified Gas <sup>1</sup> 10030.0 ppmv 9709.0 ppmv 3.2% <15%
<sup>1</sup> Valero unable to	obtain EPA Protocol 1 cer	tified gases greater	than 1000 ppm.
II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSE	SSMENT		
A. Out-of Control Periods	3:		
1. Dates:	N/A		
2. Number of Days	N/A		
B. Corrective Actions:	N/A		

Pollutant: Total Sulfur			
Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (	Required by Consent Decre	ee: 3:10-cv-00563-	bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)
Reporting period dates: From <u>1/1/1</u>	7 to 3/31/17		
Date submitted: 4/30/17			
Company: Valero Refining - Merau	x LLC		
Address: 2500 East St. Bernard Hig	ghway, Meraux, LA 70075	_	
Emission Limitation: None			
Monitor Manufacturer and Model N	o.: Thermo Scientific SOL	A II	
Process Unit(s) Description: North F	Flare Stack (EPN 20-72, E	QT 0035), Hydrocı	racker Flare Header
CEM Sampling Location: North Fla	nre Stack, Hydrocracker Fl	are Header (Y-AT	<u>-302)</u>
CEM Span Value: Total Sulfur, Du	ual Range: 0-10,000 ppm, 1	0,000-1,000,000 p	<u>pm</u>
I. ACCURACY ASSESSMENT I	RESULTS (CGA):		
<sup>1</sup> Valero unable to	Date of Audit Audit Gas Cylinder No. Date of Audit Gas Cert. Type of Certification Certified Audit Value CEM Response Value Accuracy Standard obtain EPA Protocol 1 cert	H <sub>2</sub> S #1 (low scale) 1/26/17 CC305316 5/27/16 EPA Protocol 1 1013.0 ppmv 956.7 ppmv 5.6% <15%	H <sub>2</sub> S #2 (high scale) 1/26/17 CC74237 9/26/16 Certified Gas <sup>1</sup> 10030.0 ppmv 9645.7 ppmv 3.8% <15% than 1000 ppm.
		ined gases greater	ими 1000 ррш.
II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSE	SSMENT		
A. Out-of Control Periods	::		
1. Dates:	N/A		
2. Number of Days	N/A		
B. Corrective Actions:	N/A		

D 11			
Pollutant: Total Sulfur			
Applicable NSPS Subpart: <u>Ja</u> (I	•	ee: 3:10-cv-00563-	-bbc, Paragraph 49.a.ii)
Reporting period dates: From $1/1/1$	7 to <u>3/31/17</u>		
Date submitted: 4/30/17			
Company: Valero Refining - Meraux	<u> LLC</u>		
Address: 2500 East St. Bernard High	hway, Meraux, LA 70075	_	
Emission Limitation: None			
Monitor Manufacturer and Model No	:: Thermo Scientific SOL	A II	
Process Unit(s) Description: South F	Flare Stack (EPN 3-77, EQ	OT 0049)	
CEM Sampling Location: South Flat	re Stack (Y-AT-304)		
CEM Span Value: Total Sulfur, Dua	al Range: 0-10,000 ppm, 1	0,000-1,000,000 p	<u>pm_</u>
Walana yanakila ta a	Date of Audit Audit Gas Cylinder No. Date of Audit Gas Cert. Type of Certification Certified Audit Value CEM Response Value Accuracy Standard	H <sub>2</sub> S #1 (low scale) 1/26/17 CC305316 5/27/16 EPA Protocol 1 1013.0 ppmv 1034.0 ppmv 2.1% <15%	H <sub>2</sub> S #2 (high scale) 1/26/17 CC74237 9/26/16 Certified Gas <sup>1</sup> 10030.0 ppmv 10067.0 ppmv 0.4% <15%
<sup>1</sup> Valero unable to o  II. CALIBRATION DRIFT ASSES	obtain EPA Protocol 1 cert SSMENT	ified gases greater	than 1000 ppm.
A. Out-of Control Periods:			
1. Dates:	N/A		
2. Number of Days _	N/A		
B. Corrective Actions:	N/A		

# Appendix A Ja Root Cause and Corrective Action Analysis

Subpart Ja Root Cause / Corrective Action Analysis		I	Impact Incident Number: 172271 / 172270		
The information contained below	satisfies the requirements of the NSPS	Subpart Ja 60.108a(c)(	6).		
Report: Refinery:	Update Valero (Meraux)				
Incident Type:	Flaring (Flow and SO2), #3 SF		Date of Event:	8/27/16	
Emissions Source(s):	North Flare (EPN 20-72, EQT #3 SRU Incinerator (EPN 5-00		ate Analysis Completed:	9/22/16	
(1.) A description of the Discharge:				(60.108a(c)(6)(i))	
of the #3 SRU. Excess emissions oc TGT from the resulting process swii SO2 emissions >500 lbs/24 hours w the #2 Amine Unit. The heaters an Ja heater had SO2 emissions >500 l PSV to the North Flare. This resulte above baseline in a 24 hour period. Valero personnel were working in t	5, the Distributed Control System (DCS) curred at the #2 SRU, the #3 SRU, the Ing, but emissions from the #2 SRU went while restarting the unit which was delayed boilers were combusting fuel gas with 185/24 hours. Additionally, the Rich Amed in SO2 emissions from flaring to except the rear of the DCS power cabinet and the control of the DCS power cabinet and the CCS power	North Flare, and multiple e less than 500 lbs/24 ho yed due to fouled inlet so h elevated levels of H2S nine Flash Drum on the H eed 500 lbs in a 24 hour	heaters and boilers. The ours above the allowable li creen on a plate and fram due to the loss of the #3 Si lydrocracker Unit over-pre period and flow greater th	#2 SRU lost the #2 imit. The #3 SRU had be heat exchanger in RU complex, but no cssured and lifted the ban 500,000 scf	
installation of a new DCS system. (2.)			(60.108a(c)(6)(ii)) a	and (60.108a(c)(6)(ix))	
()		North Flare	#3 SRU	a (00.100a(c)(0)(ix/)	
Date and Time	the discharge was first identified	8/27/16 15:06	8/27/16 18:11		
	te/Time the discharge had ceased	8/28/16 12:10	8/28/16 8:15		
	Ouration of Discharge (Calculated)	21.1	14.1	hrs	
	ions during the discharge: hedding procedure and followed its Fla lero identified the Rich Amine Flash Dru		•		
(4.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(xi))	
	and state whether a RC/CAA is necess of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC		uired if the flare manager	nent plan	
Did the discharge result from a pla	anned startup or shutdown?	<u> </u>	No	(Yes/No)	
Was the flare management plan for				(Yes/No/N/A)	
Is the event exempt from a RC/CC - If yes, skip section 5-7.	A based on the answers above?	_	No	(Yes/No)	
(5.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(ix))	
	detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incider	nt, to the extent determ	inable:		
1) Improper installation of the original	t causes identified in a previous analy inal DCS system. A loose wire terminat uipment involved in this incident will be	ion caused the loss of po	ower when personnel were	(Yes/No) working in the rear	
leak out of the Recycle Gas Scrubbe	the Recycle Gas Scrubber and the Rich a er and overpressure the Rich Amine Fla delayed due to a fouled inlet screen on	sh Drum.			

(6.) (60.108a(c)(6)(ix))

Corrective Action Analysis: Include a description of the recommended corrective action(s) or an explanation of why corrective action is not Is corrective action required?

Yes (Yes/No)

- 1) Verify that the redundant power supply has been properly installed on the remaining original DCS equipment.
- 2) Develop a Hydrocracker Unit Loss of Amine procedure to include manually isolating the Rich Amine Flash Drum from Recycle Gas Scrubber.
- 3) Evaluate installing differential pressure monitoring to indicate fouling of the inlet screen to the plate exchanger.

(7.) (60.108a(c)(6)(x))

Corrective Action Schedule: Include corrective actions already completed within the first 45 days following the discharge. For those not completed, provide a schedule for implementation, including proposed commencement and completion dates.

1) Verify that the redundant power supply has been properly installed on the remaining original DCS equipment.

Commencement Date: 9/22/16

Completed: 10/24/16

2) Develop a Hydrocracker Unit Loss of Amine procedure to include manually isolating the Rich Amine Flash Drum from Recycle Gas Scrubber.

Commencement Date: 9/22/16

Completed: 11/1/16

3) Evaluate installing differential pressure monitoring to indicate fouling of the inlet screen to the plate exchanger.

Commencement Date: 9/22/16
Estimated Completion Date: 3/16/17

Valero determined that installation of differential pressure monitoring to indicate fouling was required. A new action item was created for the

installation of this differential pressure monitoring.

4) Complete installation of differential pressure monitoring of the inlet screens to both plate exchangers.

Commencement Date: 3/16/17
Estimated Completion Date: 9/15/17

#### (8.) North Flare

#### The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(iv))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
First hour of 24-hr Period	Last hour of 24-hr Period	24-hr cumulative volume of flared gas above Baseline	TRS or H2S ppm (24-hr average, flow- weighted)	24-hr cumulative SO2	24-hr cumulative reduced sulfur
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
8/26/2016 15:00	8/27/2016 14:00	0	0	0	0
8/26/2016 16:00	8/27/2016 15:00	828,035	639	2090.4	11.2
8/26/2016 17:00	8/27/2016 16:00	1,758,230	1559	5473.0	29.4
8/26/2016 18:00	8/27/2016 17:00	2,778,600	2389	8820.4	47.4
8/26/2016 19:00	8/27/2016 18:00	3,751,297	3180	11864.7	63.8
8/26/2016 20:00	8/27/2016 19:00	4,680,576	3971	14768.6	79.4
8/26/2016 21:00	8/27/2016 20:00	4,910,444	5043	15742.3	84.6
8/26/2016 22:00	8/27/2016 21:00	4,910,444	5043	15742.3	84.6
8/26/2016 23:00	8/27/2016 22:00	4,946,570	5466	15802.8	84.9
8/27/2016 0:00	8/27/2016 23:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 1:00	8/28/2016 0:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 2:00	8/28/2016 1:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 3:00	8/28/2016 2:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 4:00	8/28/2016 3:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 5:00	8/28/2016 4:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 6:00	8/28/2016 5:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 7:00	8/28/2016 6:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 8:00	8/28/2016 7:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 9:00	8/28/2016 8:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4

#### (8.) North Flare

#### The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

Note: Measured sulfur concentrations are shown as flow-weighted averages if multiple measurement devices were used.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(iv))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
First hour of 24-hr Period	Last hour of 24-hr Period	24-hr cumulative volume of flared gas above Baseline	TRS or H2S ppm (24-hr average, flow- weighted)	24-hr cumulative SO2	24-hr cumulative reduced sulfur
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
8/27/2016 10:00	8/28/2016 9:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 11:00	8/28/2016 10:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 12:00	8/28/2016 11:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 13:00	8/28/2016 12:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 14:00	8/28/2016 13:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 15:00	8/28/2016 14:00	5,001,886	7557	16260.0	87.4
8/27/2016 16:00	8/28/2016 15:00	4,173,851	6918	14169.6	76.1
8/27/2016 17:00	8/28/2016 16:00	3,243,656	5998	10787.0	58.0
8/27/2016 18:00	8/28/2016 17:00	2,223,286	5168	7439.6	40.0
8/27/2016 19:00	8/28/2016 18:00	1,250,589	4377	4395.3	23.6
8/27/2016 20:00	8/28/2016 19:00	321,311	3586	1491.3	8.0
8/27/2016 21:00	8/28/2016 20:00	91,442	2514	517.6	2.8
8/27/2016 22:00	8/28/2016 21:00	91,442	2514	517.6	2.8
8/27/2016 23:00	8/28/2016 22:00	55,316	2091	457.1	2.5
8/28/2016 0:00	8/28/2016 23:00	0	0	0.0	0.0

(9.) #3 SRU

The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vi))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
First hour of 24-hr Period	Last hour of 24-hr Period	24-hr cumulative volume	SO <sub>2</sub> ppm (24-hr average, flow- weighted) <sup>1</sup>	24-hr cumulative SO <sub>2</sub> <sup>2</sup>	24-hr cumulative reduced sulfur
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
8/26/2016 18:00	8/27/2016 17:00	27,255,334	29	113.4	0.6
8/26/2016 19:00	8/27/2016 18:00	27,260,948	58	235.1	1.3
8/26/2016 20:00	8/27/2016 19:00	26,468,252	104	290.9	1.6
8/26/2016 21:00	8/27/2016 20:00	25,576,964	149	320.7	1.7
8/26/2016 22:00	8/27/2016 21:00	24,682,472	195	348.1	1.9
8/26/2016 23:00	8/27/2016 22:00	23,889,098	218	365.6	2.0
8/27/2016 0:00	8/27/2016 23:00	23,146,658	223	368.3	2.0
8/27/2016 1:00	8/28/2016 0:00	22,321,852	227	368.5	2.0
8/27/2016 2:00	8/28/2016 1:00	21,497,238	231	368.1	2.0
8/27/2016 3:00	8/28/2016 2:00	20,635,496	234	366.4	2.0
8/27/2016 4:00	8/28/2016 3:00	19,874,234	238	366.6	2.0
8/27/2016 5:00	8/28/2016 4:00	19,145,942	241	367.6	2.0
8/27/2016 6:00	8/28/2016 5:00	18,874,473	277	461.5	2.5
8/27/2016 7:00	8/28/2016 6:00	18,945,257	315	621.5	3.3
8/27/2016 8:00	8/28/2016 7:00	18,792,136	345	729.1	3.9
8/27/2016 9:00	8/28/2016 8:00	18,463,081	352	747.4	4.0
8/27/2016 10:00	8/28/2016 9:00	18,096,094	355	753.3	4.0
8/27/2016 11:00	8/28/2016 10:00	17,775,016	358	759.7	4.1
8/27/2016 12:00	8/28/2016 11:00	17,518,615	359	760.5	4.1
8/27/2016 13:00	8/28/2016 12:00	17,345,541	360	762.1	4.1
8/27/2016 14:00	8/28/2016 13:00	17,247,716	361	765.1	4.1
8/27/2016 15:00	8/28/2016 14:00	17,210,286	362	769.1	4.1
8/27/2016 16:00	8/28/2016 15:00	17,014,029	364	778.2	4.2
8/27/2016 17:00	8/28/2016 16:00	16,457,597	366	787.1	4.2
8/27/2016 18:00	8/28/2016 17:00	15,702,164	369	795.5	4.3
8/27/2016 19:00	8/28/2016 18:00	15,646,501	340	676.3	3.6

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> SRU SO2 CEMS are spanned to 500 ppm. For emissions calculations, Valero assumes 2 times the span, 1000 ppm, for CEMS readings >= 500 ppm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Tail Gas Treater bypass emissions are calculated using a mass balance method, not using the flow and concentration values listed here.

Subpart Ja Root Cause / Corrective Action Analysis			Impact Incident Number: 174622 / 174623		
The information contained below satisfies	the requirements of the NSPS	Subpart Ja 60.108a(c	)(6).		
Report:	Update				
Refinery:	Valero (Meraux)				
Incident Type:	Flaring (Flow and SO2), #3 Si	RU (SO2)	Date of Event:	10/23/16	
Emissions Source(s):	North Flare (EPN 20-72, EQT		Date Analysis Completed:	11/16/16	
	#3 SRU Incinerator (EPN 5-00	O, EQT 0079)	·		
(1.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(i))	
A description of the Discharge:				(=====(=)(=)(=)	
At approximately 04:20 on 10/23/16, the Fl	are Gas Recovery (FGR) compr	essor tripped on high o	oil injection temperature which	h resulted in flaring	
for approximately 24 minutes. Later that do		• • • •	•		
Scrubber when a shutdown of the Lean Am	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	· · ·	•	
high pressure gases from the HC Recycle Ga					
SCF/24 hours and 500 lbs SO2/24 hours at t					
				-	
at starting up the HC Recycle Gas Scrubber,	, ,	, , , ,	flow, wnich resulted in SO2 en	nissions greater	
than 500 lbs/24 hours above the allowable	emissions from the #3 SRU Inc	cinerator.			
(2.)			(60.108a(c)(6)(ii)) a	nd (60.108a(c)(6)(ix))	
		North Flare	#3 SRU		
Date and Time the dis	charge was first identified	10/23/16 4:22	10/23/16 14:23		
Date/Time	the discharge had ceased	10/23/16 14:44	10/24/16 9:36		
Duration	of Discharge (Calculated)	10.4	19.2	nrs	
Valero initiated it's refinery sulfur shedding of this discharge. Once Valero identified the	·		•		
(4.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(xi))	
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine and state	a whathar a PC/CAA is nacass	arv:			
Note: If the discharge was a result of a plan was followed.		•	quired if the flare manageme	nt plan	
Did the discharge result from a planned st	artup or shutdown?		No (	(Yes/No)	
Was the flare management plan followed:				(Yes/No/N/A)	
Is the event exempt from a RC/CCA based	on the answers above?		No (	(Yes/No)	
- If yes, skip section 5-7.					
(5.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(ix))	
Root Cause Analysis: Describe in detail the	e Root Cause(s) of the Incider	t. to the extent deter	minable:	(00.1000(0)(0)(,)	
Did this discharge result from root causes				(Yes/No)	
1) FGR Compressor Trip - The Alarm Proper	•				
Oil Cooler fan be stopped. This recommend	•	•			
2) <u>Hydrocracker Rich Amine Flash Drum PS</u>					
tandem with a manual block valve because					
enough to prevent emptying the HC Recycle				i nave been usea.	
3) <u>#3 SRU Trip</u> - The DCS console operator i	inputtea an incorrect setpoint	vuiue jor trie #3 SKU IV	iuiri Burrier air Jiow.		
Contributing Factors					
Contributing Factors:	aina Flach Drum failed to be die	ato that the level best for	allan halaw 400/		
1) Level indication on the #2 Amine Rich Am	iine riasii Druiii Jallea to Indico	ite that the level had fo	illeti Delow 40%.		

(6.) (60.108a(c)(6)(ix))

Corrective Action Analysis: Include a description of the recommended corrective action(s) or an explanation of why corrective action is not Is corrective action required?

Yes (Yes/No)

- 1) Correct the recommended action for an FGR Compressor Outlet Temperature LO Alarm.
- 2) Repair the level indication on the #2 Amine Rich Amine Flash Drum.
- 3) Repair HC-LV018A so that it fully closes.
- 4) Incorporate specific guidelines for HC Recycle Gas Scrubber system start-up into existing HC Start-Up procedure. Include positive verification of HC-LV018A or B line up in procedure.
- 5) Implement setpoint limits or rate of changes limits for the #3 SRU Main Bruner Air Flow.

(60.108a(c)(6)(x))

Corrective Action Schedule: Include corrective actions already completed within the first 45 days following the discharge. For those not completed, provide a schedule for implementation, including proposed commencement and completion dates.

1) Correct the recommended action for an FGR Compressor Outlet Temperature LO Alarm.

Commencement Date: 11/16/16

Completed: 12/15/16

2) Repair the level indication on the #2 Amine Rich Amine Flash Drum.

Commencement Date: 11/16/16

Completed: 11/16/16

3) Repair HC-LV018A so that it fully closes.

Commencement Date: 11/16/16

Completed: 12/20/16

4) Incorporate specific guidelines for HC Recycle Gas Scrubber system start-up into existing HC Start-Up procedure. Include positive verification of HC-LV018A or B line up in procedure.

Commencement Date: 11/16/16
Estimated Completion Date: 6/30/17

5) Implement setpoint limits or rate of changes limits for the #3 SRU Main Burner Air Flow.

Commencement Date: 11/16/16

Completed: 3/30/17

#### (8.) North Flare

#### The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(iv))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
First hour of 24-hr Period	Last hour of 24-hr Period	24-hr cumulative volume of flared gas above Baseline	TRS or H2S ppm (24-hr average, flow- weighted)	24-hr cumulative SO2	24-hr cumulative reduced sulfur
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
10/22/2016 4:00	10/23/2016 3:00	198	2	0	0
10/22/2016 5:00	10/23/2016 4:00	63,994	1502	378.3	2.0
10/22/2016 6:00	10/23/2016 5:00	72,058	1503	378.3	2.0
10/22/2016 7:00	10/23/2016 6:00	92,004	1503	378.4	2.0
10/22/2016 8:00	10/23/2016 7:00	128,978	1512	379.6	2.0
10/22/2016 9:00	10/23/2016 8:00	156,193	1518	380.3	2.0
10/22/2016 10:00	10/23/2016 9:00	183,897	1518	380.3	2.0
10/22/2016 11:00	10/23/2016 10:00	213,479	1518	380.3	2.0
10/22/2016 12:00	10/23/2016 11:00	244,978	1518	380.3	2.0
10/22/2016 13:00	10/23/2016 12:00	247,030	1522	380.4	2.0
10/22/2016 14:00	10/23/2016 13:00	366,559	2011	611.4	3.3
10/22/2016 15:00	10/23/2016 14:00	414,066	2238	654.2	3.5
10/22/2016 16:00	10/23/2016 15:00	439,664	2238	654.2	3.5
10/22/2016 17:00	10/23/2016 16:00	461,597	2239	654.2	3.5
10/22/2016 18:00	10/23/2016 17:00	461,608	2239	654.2	3.5
10/22/2016 19:00	10/23/2016 18:00	461,608	2239	654.2	3.5
10/22/2016 20:00	10/23/2016 19:00	461,608	2239	654.2	3.5
10/22/2016 21:00	10/23/2016 20:00	461,608	2239	654.2	3.5
10/22/2016 22:00	10/23/2016 21:00	461,608	2239	654.2	3.5
10/22/2016 23:00	10/23/2016 22:00	461,608	2239	654.2	3.5
10/23/2016 0:00	10/23/2016 23:00	461,608	2239	654.2	3.5
10/23/2016 1:00	10/24/2016 0:00	462,286	2283	654.3	3.5
10/23/2016 2:00	10/24/2016 1:00	462,286	2283	654.3	3.5
10/23/2016 3:00	10/24/2016 2:00	462,286	2283	654.3	3.5
10/23/2016 4:00	10/24/2016 3:00	595,167	2300	664.2	3.6
10/23/2016 5:00	10/24/2016 4:00	561,475	812	287.4	1.5
10/23/2016 6:00	10/24/2016 5:00	553,411	811	287.4	1.5
10/23/2016 7:00	10/24/2016 6:00	533,465	811	287.4	1.5
10/23/2016 8:00	10/24/2016 7:00	496,491	802	286.1	1.5

(9.) #3 SRU

#### The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vi))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
First hour of 24-hr Period	Last hour of 24-hr Period	24-hr cumulative volume	SO <sub>2</sub> ppm (24-hr average, flow- weighted) <sup>1</sup>	24-hr cumulative SO <sub>2</sub> <sup>2</sup>	24-hr cumulative reduced sulfur
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
10/22/2016 14:00	10/23/2016 13:00	13,905,058	74	189.0	1.0
10/22/2016 15:00	10/23/2016 14:00	14,318,017	107	288.4	1.6
10/22/2016 16:00	10/23/2016 15:00	13,866,363	150	299.3	1.6
10/22/2016 17:00	10/23/2016 16:00	13,299,990	196	298.8	1.6
10/22/2016 18:00	10/23/2016 17:00	12,774,555	242	298.4	1.6
10/22/2016 19:00	10/23/2016 18:00	12,374,545	246	306.7	1.6
10/22/2016 20:00	10/23/2016 19:00	12,352,716	251	316.0	1.7
10/22/2016 21:00	10/23/2016 20:00	12,217,019	254	319.3	1.7
10/22/2016 22:00	10/23/2016 21:00	12,052,873	255	320.5	1.7
10/22/2016 23:00	10/23/2016 22:00	11,937,688	252	314.9	1.7
10/23/2016 0:00	10/23/2016 23:00	11,984,126	247	302.3	1.6
10/23/2016 1:00	10/24/2016 0:00	12,286,388	274	404.3	2.2
10/23/2016 2:00	10/24/2016 1:00	12,758,113	279	426.7	2.3
10/23/2016 3:00	10/24/2016 2:00	13,307,302	284	449.0	2.4
10/23/2016 4:00	10/24/2016 3:00	14,009,686	287	467.5	2.5
10/23/2016 5:00	10/24/2016 4:00	14,612,868	289	475.0	2.6
10/23/2016 6:00	10/24/2016 5:00	15,231,764	290	481.4	2.6
10/23/2016 7:00	10/24/2016 6:00	15,777,042	294	499.2	2.7
10/23/2016 8:00	10/24/2016 7:00	16,432,201	339	713.7	3.8
10/23/2016 9:00	10/24/2016 8:00	17,016,939	354	776.0	4.2
10/23/2016 10:00	10/24/2016 9:00	17,693,776	360	806.7	4.3
10/23/2016 11:00	10/24/2016 10:00	18,263,072	361	815.5	4.4
10/23/2016 12:00	10/24/2016 11:00	18,856,758	363	823.2	4.4
10/23/2016 13:00	10/24/2016 12:00	19,458,013	364	830.6	4.5
10/23/2016 14:00	10/24/2016 13:00	20,115,328	365	837.9	4.5
10/23/2016 15:00	10/24/2016 14:00	20,495,780	333	747.3	4.0
10/23/2016 16:00	10/24/2016 15:00	21,571,757	291	746.0	4.0
10/23/2016 17:00	10/24/2016 16:00	22,649,507	246	752.6	4.0
10/23/2016 18:00	10/24/2016 17:00	23,684,057	201	758.9	4.1
10/23/2016 19:00	10/24/2016 18:00	24,606,305	199	756.8	4.1
10/23/2016 20:00	10/24/2016 19:00	25,155,622	195	753.6	4.1
10/23/2016 21:00	10/24/2016 20:00	25,756,251	193	755.4	4.1
10/23/2016 22:00	10/24/2016 21:00	26,396,826	193	760.1	4.1
10/23/2016 23:00	10/24/2016 22:00	26,898,548	193	763.1	4.1
10/24/2016 0:00	10/24/2016 23:00	26,986,866	160	664.9	3.6
10/24/2016 1:00	10/25/2016 0:00	26,953,052	131	561.2	3.0
10/24/2016 2:00	10/25/2016 1:00	26,818,877	126	540.3	2.9

<sup>1</sup> SRU SO2 CEMS are spanned to 500 ppm. For emissions calculations, Valero assumes 2 times the span, 1000 ppm, for CEMS readings >= 500 ppm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Tail Gas Treater bypass emissions are calculated using a mass balance method, not using the flow and concentration values listed here.

	Corrective Action Analysis	Impact Incident Number: 176002		
The information contained be	elow satisfies the requirements of the NSPS Subpar	t Ja 60.108a(c)(6).		
Report:	Update			
Refinery:	Valero (Meraux)			
Incident Type:	Flaring (Flow and SO2)	Date of Event:	11/29/1	
Emissions Source(s):	North Flare (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035)	Date Analysis Completed:	1/5/17	
(1.)			(60.108a	
caused the high pressure of the pressure in the stripper system	v 14:00, a leak developed in the Hydrocracker Unit ( e intermediate separator vapor circuit to dump into n caused all PSVs in this circuit (Stripper, Stripper Ofj 10,000 SCF/24 hours and 500 lbs of SO2/24 hours. \	the lower pressure stripper system. The f-gas Scrubber and Rich Amine Flash Dru	resulting high im) to relieve to	
	<i>00.</i>			
(2.)		(60.108a(c)(6)(ii)) a	and (60.108a(c)	
and Time the discharge was firs Date/Time the discharge Duration of Discharge (	had ceased 12/1/16 17:00			
(3.)			(60.108a(c)(	
The steps taken to limit the e	missions during the discharge: mization Plan and Operations Procedures to minimiz	ee the volume of this discharge.	(00.2004(0)(	
The steps taken to limit the e		re the volume of this discharge.	(60.108a(c)	
The steps taken to limit the envalue of followed its Flare Minim  (4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine			(60.108a(c)	
The steps taken to limit the evalero followed its Flare Minin  (4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a rewas followed.	nization Plan and Operations Procedures to minimization Plan and Operations Procedures to minimization Plan and State whether a RC/CAA is necessary: esult of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA are	nalysis is not required if the flare manage	(60.108a(c) ement plan	
The steps taken to limit the evalero followed its Flare Minin  (4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determ Note: If the discharge was a rewas followed.  Did the discharge result from	nization Plan and Operations Procedures to minimization Plan and Operations Procedures to minimization Plan and State whether a RC/CAA is necessary: esult of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA are a planned startup or shutdown?	nalysis is not required if the flare manage  No	(60.108a(c) ement plan (Yes/No)	
The steps taken to limit the evalero followed its Flare Minin (4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determ Note: If the discharge was a rewas followed.  Did the discharge result from Was the flare management plant.	nization Plan and Operations Procedures to minimization Plan and Operations Procedures to minimization Plan and State whether a RC/CAA is necessary: esult of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA are a planned startup or shutdown?	nalysis is not required if the flare manage  No  Yes	(60.108a(c ement plan (Yes/No) (Yes/No/N/A)	
The steps taken to limit the evalero followed its Flare Minin (4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determ Note: If the discharge was a rewas followed.  Did the discharge result from Was the flare management plant.	nization Plan and Operations Procedures to minimization Plan and Operations Procedures to minimization Plan and State whether a RC/CAA is necessary: esult of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA are a planned startup or shutdown?	nalysis is not required if the flare manage  No  Yes	(60.108a(c) ement plan (Yes/No)	
The steps taken to limit the evalero followed its Flare Minim  (4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determ Note: If the discharge was a rewas followed.  Did the discharge result from Was the flare management plass the event exempt from a RG - If yes, skip section 5-7.	nization Plan and Operations Procedures to minimization Plan and Operations Procedures to minimization Plan and State whether a RC/CAA is necessary: esult of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA are a planned startup or shutdown? lan followed? C/CCA based on the answers above?	nalysis is not required if the flare manage  No  Yes  No	(60.108a(c) ement plan (Yes/No) (Yes/No/N/A)	
The steps taken to limit the evalero followed its Flare Minim  (4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determ Note: If the discharge was a rewas followed.  Did the discharge result from Was the flare management plass the event exempt from a RG - If yes, skip section 5-7.  (5.)  Root Cause Analysis: Describ	nine and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: esult of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA ar a planned startup or shutdown? lan followed? C/CCA based on the answers above? e in detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the	nalysis is not required if the flare manage  No  Yes  No	(60.108a(c) ement plan (Yes/No) (Yes/No/N/A) (Yes/No)	
The steps taken to limit the evalero followed its Flare Minim  (4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determ Note: If the discharge was a rewas followed.  Did the discharge result from Was the flare management plus the event exempt from a RG - If yes, skip section 5-7.  (5.)  Root Cause Analysis: Describ Did this discharge result from	nine and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: esult of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA are a planned startup or shutdown? lan followed? C/CCA based on the answers above? e in detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the a root causes identified in a previous analysis?	No  Yes  No  No  Yes  No  No	(60.108a(c) ement plan (Yes/No) (Yes/No/N/A) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c)	
The steps taken to limit the evalero followed its Flare Minim  (4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determ Note: If the discharge was a rewas followed.  Did the discharge result from Was the flare management plus the event exempt from a RG - If yes, skip section 5-7.  (5.)  Root Cause Analysis: Describ Did this discharge result from Inspection of the heat exchange	nine and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: esult of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA are a planned startup or shutdown? lan followed? C/CCA based on the answers above? e in detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the a root causes identified in a previous analysis? ger revealed rapid Chloride corrosion on the outside	No Yes No No No No No Other extent determinable: No diameter of the tubes, concentrated bet	(60.108a(c) ement plan  (Yes/No) (Yes/No/N/A) (Yes/No)  (60.108a(c) (Yes/No) tween the last i	
The steps taken to limit the evalero followed its Flare Minin  (4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determ Note: If the discharge was a rewas followed.  Did the discharge result from Was the flare management plus the event exempt from a RG - If yes, skip section 5-7.  (5.)  Root Cause Analysis: Describ Did this discharge result from Inspection of the heat exchange baffles. This was the result of	nine and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: esult of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA ar a planned startup or shutdown? lan followed? C/CCA based on the answers above? e in detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the a root causes identified in a previous analysis? ger revealed rapid Chloride corrosion on the outside the presence of Ammonium chloride and water in the	No  Yes  No  No  Yes  No  diameter of the tubes, concentrated betwee shell side (stripper feed) of the exchange	(60.108a(c) ement plan  (Yes/No) (Yes/No)  (60.108a(c) (Yes/No)  tween the last toger. Though so	
The steps taken to limit the evalero followed its Flare Minim  (4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determ Note: If the discharge was a rewas followed.  Did the discharge result from Was the flare management plus the event exempt from a Relative and the step of the st	nine and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: sult of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA ar a planned startup or shutdown? lan followed? C/CCA based on the answers above? e in detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the root causes identified in a previous analysis? ger revealed rapid Chloride corrosion on the outside the presence of Ammonium chloride and water in the stream, water is not. Water carryover into this exch	No  Yes  No  No  Yes  No  diameter of the tubes, concentrated betwee shell side (stripper feed) of the exchange	(60.108a(c) ement plan  (Yes/No) (Yes/No)  (60.108a(c) (Yes/No)  tween the last to	
The steps taken to limit the evalero followed its Flare Minin (4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determ Note: If the discharge was a rewas followed.  Did the discharge result from Was the flare management plus the event exempt from a RG - If yes, skip section 5-7.  (5.)  Root Cause Analysis: Describ Did this discharge result from Inspection of the heat exchange baffles. This was the result of chlorides are expected in this scold Flash Drum due to the following the similar to the similar	nine and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: sult of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA ar a planned startup or shutdown? lan followed? C/CCA based on the answers above? e in detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the root causes identified in a previous analysis? ger revealed rapid Chloride corrosion on the outside the presence of Ammonium chloride and water in the stream, water is not. Water carryover into this exch	No  Yes  No  No  Yes  No  diameter of the tubes, concentrated betwee shell side (stripper feed) of the exchange	(60.108a(c) ement plan  (Yes/No) (Yes/No)  (60.108a(c) (Yes/No)  tween the last to	
The steps taken to limit the evalero followed its Flare Minim  (4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determ Note: If the discharge was a rewas followed.  Did the discharge result from Was the flare management plus the event exempt from a RG - If yes, skip section 5-7.  (5.)  Root Cause Analysis: Describ Did this discharge result from Inspection of the heat exchange baffles. This was the result of chlorides are expected in this second Flash Drum due to the fol 1) An undersized water boot.	nine and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: esult of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA ar a planned startup or shutdown? lan followed? C/CCA based on the answers above?  e in detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the a root causes identified in a previous analysis? ger revealed rapid Chloride corrosion on the outside the presence of Ammonium chloride and water in the stream, water is not. Water carryover into this exchallowing possibilities:	No Yes No  e extent determinable: No diameter of the tubes, concentrated better shell side (stripper feed) of the excharanger is likely the result of poor oil/water	(60.108a(c) ement plan  (Yes/No) (Yes/No)  (60.108a(c) (Yes/No)  tween the last toger. Though so	
The steps taken to limit the evalero followed its Flare Minim  (4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determ Note: If the discharge was a rewas followed.  Did the discharge result from Was the flare management plus the event exempt from a RG - If yes, skip section 5-7.  (5.)  Root Cause Analysis: Describ Did this discharge result from Inspection of the heat exchange baffles. This was the result of chlorides are expected in this second Flash Drum due to the fol 1) An undersized water boot.	nine and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: sult of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA ar a planned startup or shutdown? lan followed? C/CCA based on the answers above? e in detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the root causes identified in a previous analysis? ger revealed rapid Chloride corrosion on the outside the presence of Ammonium chloride and water in the stream, water is not. Water carryover into this exch	No Yes No  e extent determinable: No diameter of the tubes, concentrated better shell side (stripper feed) of the excharanger is likely the result of poor oil/water	(60.108a(dement plan  (Yes/No) (Yes/No/N/A) (Yes/No)  (60.108a(dement plast inger. Though state)	

(6.)			(60.108a(c)(6)(ix))
	tion of the reco	ommended corrective action(s) or an explanation	
Is corrective action required?	Yes	(Yes/No)	
1) Determine a maximum Ammonium Bisulfid likelihood of water carryover in stripper feed s		to set the minimum wash water injection rate upst ermine adjusted corrosion rates.	ream of HC-E-004 to reduce
2) Review design of the Cold Flash Drum and g	generate MOCs o	and EWRs as needed to correct any deficiencies.	
3) Generate MOC or EWR to replace or upgrad	de mesh blanket	t in Cold Flash Drum.	
4) Order new HC-E-003 bundle for 2018. Evalu	uate possible me	etallurgy upgrade.	
5) Find an alternate location for the Flash Gas	Scrubber KO Dro	rum (HC-V-065) liquid and generate an EWR to rero	ute it.
(7.)			(60.108a(c)(6)(x))
Corrective Action Schedule: Include corrective		ly completed within the first 45 days following the groposed commencement and completion dates.	
1) Determine a maximum Ammonium Bisulfid likelihood of water carryover in stripper feed s		to set the minimum wash water injection rate upst ermine adjusted corrosion rates.	ream of HC-E-004 to reduce
Commencement Date: 1/5/17			
Completed Date: 3/24/17			
2) Review design of the Cold Flash Drum and g Commencement Date: 1/5/17	generate MOCs o	and EWRs as needed to correct any deficiencies.	
Estimated Completion Date: 1/1/18			
3) Generate MOC or EWR to replace or upgrad	de mesh blanket	t in Cold Flash Drum.	
Commencement Date: 1/5/17			
Completed Date: 3/28/17			
4) Order new HC-E-003 bundle for 2018. Evalu	uate possible me	etallurgy upgrade.	
Commencement Date: 1/5/17			
Estimated Completion Date: 1/1/18			
5) Find an alternate location for the Flash Gas	Scrubber KO Dri	rum (HC-V-065) liquid and generate an EWR to rero	ute it.
Commencement Date: 1/5/17		. , , ,	
Estimated Completion Date: 5/18/18			

(8.)

The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

Note: Measured sulfur concentrations are shown as flow-weighted averages if multiple measurement devices were used.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(iv))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	
<b></b>		24-hr cumulative	TRS or H2S ppm		24-hr cumulative	
First hour of 24-hr Period	Last hour of 24-hr Period	volume of flared gas above Baseline	(24-hr average, flow- weighted)	24-hr cumulative SO2	reduced sulfur	
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S	
11/28/2016 14:00	11/29/2016 13:00	442,131	37895	53.9	0.3	
11/28/2016 15:00	11/29/2016 14:00	1,747,430	38574	3555.9	19.1	
11/28/2016 16:00	11/29/2016 15:00	3,384,372	39341	8518.0	45.8	
11/28/2016 17:00	11/29/2016 16:00	4,864,786	39651	10331.7	55.5	
11/28/2016 18:00	11/29/2016 17:00	6,137,194	39757	10868.4	58.4	
11/28/2016 19:00	11/29/2016 18:00	6,621,544	39846	11038.1	59.3	
11/28/2016 20:00	11/29/2016 19:00	6,637,905	39920	11042.9	59.3	
11/28/2016 21:00	11/29/2016 20:00	6,665,046	39934	11044.4	59.3	
11/28/2016 22:00	11/29/2016 21:00	6,687,899	39941	11045.1	59.4	
11/28/2016 23:00	11/29/2016 22:00	6,721,724	39952	11046.5	59.4	
11/29/2016 0:00	11/29/2016 23:00	6,866,231	39961	11051.3	59.4	
11/29/2016 1:00	11/30/2016 0:00	6,964,842	39962	11052.0	59.4	
11/29/2016 2:00	11/30/2016 1:00	7,042,602	39973	11055.3	59.4	
11/29/2016 3:00	11/30/2016 2:00	7,137,068	39977	11056.7	59.4	
11/29/2016 4:00	11/30/2016 3:00	7,223,966	39979	11057.3	59.4	
11/29/2016 5:00	11/30/2016 4:00	7,246,471	39795	11030.9	59.3	
11/29/2016 6:00	11/30/2016 5:00	7,219,344	39781	11027.1	59.3	
11/29/2016 7:00	11/30/2016 6:00	7,249,132	39770	11025.9	59.2	
11/29/2016 8:00	11/30/2016 7:00	7,594,364	39758	11025.0	59.2	
11/29/2016 9:00	11/30/2016 8:00	7,906,155	39853	11182.7	60.1	
11/29/2016 10:00	11/30/2016 9:00	8,111,141	40001	11373.4	61.1	
11/29/2016 11:00	11/30/2016 10:00	8,402,137	39654	11477.9	61.7	
11/29/2016 12:00	11/30/2016 11:00	8,767,134	2739	11598.5	62.3	
11/29/2016 13:00	11/30/2016 12:00	9,129,514	2713	11674.3	62.7	
11/29/2016 14:00	11/30/2016 13:00	9,443,967	2641	11711.4	62.9	
11/29/2016 15:00	11/30/2016 14:00	8,453,648	1973	8222.9	44.2	
11/29/2016 16:00	11/30/2016 15:00	7,244,608	1211	3269.3	17.6	
11/29/2016 17:00	11/30/2016 16:00	6,028,272	904	1458.6	7.8	
11/29/2016 18:00	11/30/2016 17:00	4,778,453	802	922.3	5.0	
11/29/2016 19:00	11/30/2016 18:00	4,403,343	743	765.2	4.1	
11/29/2016 20:00	11/30/2016 19:00	4,638,495	728	819.7	4.4	
11/29/2016 21:00	11/30/2016 20:00	4,684,620	760	831.6	4.5	
11/29/2016 22:00	11/30/2016 21:00	4,700,482	800	838.1	4.5	
11/29/2016 23:00	11/30/2016 22:00	4,694,191	819	839.9	4.5	
11/30/2016 0:00	11/30/2016 23:00	4,572,301	835	837.3	4.5	
11/30/2016 1:00	12/1/2016 0:00	4,490,705	840	837.0	4.5	
11/30/2016 2:00	12/1/2016 1:00	4,451,088	839	835.3	4.5	
11/30/2016 3:00	12/1/2016 2:00	4,400,707	847	836.0	4.5	
11/30/2016 4:00	12/1/2016 3:00	4,371,611	856	837.8	4.5	
11/30/2016 5:00	12/1/2016 4:00	4,332,023	873	838.9	4.5	
11/30/2016 6:00	12/1/2016 5:00	4,308,423	884	839.3	4.5	
11/30/2016 7:00	12/1/2016 6:00	4,257,383	900	839.9	4.5	
11/30/2016 8:00	12/1/2016 7:00	3,801,902	903	830.2	4.5	
11/30/2016 9:00	12/1/2016 8:00	3,592,594	785	674.1	3.6	
11/30/2016 10:00	12/1/2016 9:00	3,359,769	631	480.1	2.6	
11/30/2016 11:00	12/1/2016 10:00	3,096,404	551	376.7	2.0	
11/30/2016 12:00	12/1/2016 11:00	2,765,661	483	258.1	1.4	
11/30/2016 13:00	12/1/2016 12:00	2,436,801	442	183.9	1.0	
11/30/2016 14:00	12/1/2016 13:00	2,154,612	421	147.8	0.8	
11/30/2016 15:00	12/1/2016 14:00	1,871,867	428	136.5	0.7	
11/30/2016 16:00	12/1/2016 15:00	1,473,125	429	128.5	0.7	

(8.)
The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(iv))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
First hour of 24-hr Period	Last hour of 24-hr Period	24-hr cumulative volume of flared gas above Baseline	TRS or H2S ppm (24-hr average, flow- weighted)	24-hr cumulative SO2	24-hr cumulative reduced sulfur
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
11/30/2016 17:00	12/1/2016 16:00	1,238,898	474	131.4	0.7
11/30/2016 18:00	12/1/2016 17:00	1,216,310	469	130.9	0.7
11/30/2016 19:00	12/1/2016 18:00	1,107,070	440	118.3	0.6
11/30/2016 20:00	12/1/2016 19:00	855,557	380	58.9	0.3
11/30/2016 21:00	12/1/2016 20:00	782,290	334	45.6	0.2
11/30/2016 22:00	12/1/2016 21:00	743,575	287	38.4	0.2
11/30/2016 23:00	12/1/2016 22:00	716,041	257	35.2	0.2
12/1/2016 0:00	12/1/2016 23:00	693,425	233	33.0	0.2
12/1/2016 1:00	12/2/2016 0:00	676,409	227	32.6	0.2
12/1/2016 2:00	12/2/2016 1:00	638,266	216	31.0	0.2
12/1/2016 3:00	12/2/2016 2:00	594,181	205	29.0	0.2
12/1/2016 4:00	12/2/2016 3:00	536,379	194	26.5	0.1
12/1/2016 5:00	12/2/2016 4:00	517,036	176	25.1	0.1
12/1/2016 6:00	12/2/2016 5:00	502,803	164	24.4	0.1
12/1/2016 7:00	12/2/2016 6:00	478,861	143	22.4	0.1

	impact incluent Number.	Impact Incident Number: 177077		
The information contained bel	ow satisfies the requirements of the NSPS Subpart Jo	a 60.108a(c)(6).		
Report:	Final			
Refinery:	Valero (Meraux)			
Incident Type:	Flaring (Flow)	Date of Event:	12/31/	
Emissions Source(s):	North Flare (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035)	Date Analysis Completed:	2/9/1	
(1.)			(60.108a	
	: v 21:51, the Reformer Net Gas Compressor tripped off 1:46. Flaring at the North Flare exceeded 500,000 SC		second trip od	
(2.)		(60.108a(c)(6)(ii)) a	nd (60.108a(c	
and Time the discharge was first	identified <b>12/31/16 21:51</b>			
Date/Time the discharge h	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Duration of Discharge (C				
The steps taken to limit the en Valero followed its Flare Minim	nissions during the discharge: vization Plan and Operations Procedures to minimize t	the volume of this discharge.		
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determi	ization Plan and Operations Procedures to minimize to		(60.108a(c	
(4.) Necessity of RC/CAA: Determi	ization Plan and Operations Procedures to minimize t			
(4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determit Note: If the discharge was a reswas followed.	ization Plan and Operations Procedures to minimize to	ysis is not required if the flare manage		
(4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determit Note: If the discharge was a reswas followed.	ization Plan and Operations Procedures to minimize to	ysis is not required if the flare manage No	ment plan	
(4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a reswas followed.  Did the discharge result from a Was the flare management plats the event exempt from a RC	ization Plan and Operations Procedures to minimize to	ysis is not required if the flare manage  No  Yes	ment plan (Yes/No)	
(4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a reswas followed.  Did the discharge result from a Was the flare management plats the event exempt from a RC - If yes, skip section 5-7.	ization Plan and Operations Procedures to minimize to	ysis is not required if the flare manage  No  Yes	ment plan (Yes/No) (Yes/No/N/A) (Yes/No)	
Valero followed its Flare Minim  (4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determi Note: If the discharge was a res was followed.  Did the discharge result from a Was the flare management pla Is the event exempt from a RC - If yes, skip section 5-7.	ine and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: sult of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA analy a planned startup or shutdown? an followed? /CCA based on the answers above?	ysis is not required if the flare manage  No  Yes  No	ment plan (Yes/No) (Yes/No/N/A)	
Valero followed its Flare Minim  (4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determi Note: If the discharge was a res was followed.  Did the discharge result from a Was the flare management pla Is the event exempt from a RC - If yes, skip section 5-7.  (5.)  Root Cause Analysis: Describe	ization Plan and Operations Procedures to minimize to	ysis is not required if the flare manage  No Yes No No ktent determinable:	ment plan (Yes/No) (Yes/No/N/A) (Yes/No)	
Valero followed its Flare Minim  (4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determi Note: If the discharge was a res was followed.  Did the discharge result from a Was the flare management pla Is the event exempt from a RC - If yes, skip section 5-7.  (5.)  Root Cause Analysis: Describe Did this discharge result from	ine and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: sult of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA analy a planned startup or shutdown? an followed? /CCA based on the answers above? e in detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the exproot causes identified in a previous analysis?  tem was overdue for service.	ysis is not required if the flare manage  No Yes No No ktent determinable:	(Yes/No) (Yes/No/N/A) (Yes/No) (Yes/No)	
Valero followed its Flare Minim  (4.)  Necessity of RC/CAA: Determi Note: If the discharge was a res was followed.  Did the discharge result from a Was the flare management pla Is the event exempt from a RC - If yes, skip section 5-7.  (5.)  Root Cause Analysis: Describe Did this discharge result from Root Cause(s):  1) The vibration monitoring sys	ine and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: sult of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA analy a planned startup or shutdown? an followed? /CCA based on the answers above? e in detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the exproot causes identified in a previous analysis?  tem was overdue for service.	ysis is not required if the flare manage  No Yes No No ktent determinable:	(Yes/No) (Yes/No/N/A) (Yes/No) (Yes/No)	

(6.) (60.108a(c)(6)(ix))
Corrective Action Analysis: Include a description of the recommended corrective action(s) or an explanation of why corrective action is
Is corrective action required?  Yes (Yes/No)
1) Perform full service on the vibration monitoring system in the Net Gas Compressor.
2) Ensure that the vibration monitoring system is serviced during each Reformer turnaround.
3) Adjust the Reformer Net Gas Compressor vibration alarm and trip points to meet Valero standards.
4) Review and revise the Net Gas Compressor Startup Procedure to address increase of molecular weight.
5) Develop Hot-Startup procedure for the Reformer Net Gas Compressor.
(7.) Corrective Action Schedule: Include corrective actions already completed within the first 45 days following the discharge. For those not completed, provide a schedule for implementation, including proposed commencement and completion dates.  1) Perform full service on the vibration monitoring system in the Net Gas Compressor.  Commencement Date: 2/9/17  Completed: 2/9/17
2) Ensure that the vibration monitoring system is serviced during each Reformer turnaround.  Commencement Date: 2/9/17  Completed: 2/13/17
3) Adjust the Reformer Net Gas Compressor vibration alarm and trip points to meet Valero standards.  Commencement Date: 2/9/17  Completed: 2/13/17
4) Review and revise the Net Gas Compressor Startup Procedure to address increase of molecular weight.  Commencement Date: 2/9/17  Completed: 3/14/17
5) Develop Hot-Startup procedure for the Reformer Net Gas Compressor. Commencement Date: 2/9/17 Completed: 3/14/17

(8.)

The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

Note: Measured sulfur concentrations are shown as flow-weighted averages if multiple measurement devices were used.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(iv))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
First hour of 24-hr Period	Last hour of 24-hr Period	24-hr cumulative volume of flared gas above Baseline	TRS or H2S ppm (24-hr average, flow- weighted)	24-hr cumulative SO2	24-hr cumulative reduced sulfur
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
12/30/2016 21:00	12/31/2016 20:00	0	0	0.0	0.0
12/30/2016 22:00	12/31/2016 21:00	71,288	233	65.7	0.4
12/30/2016 23:00	12/31/2016 22:00	201,750	260	79.4	0.4
12/31/2016 0:00	12/31/2016 23:00	202,560	444	80.0	0.4
12/31/2016 1:00	1/1/2017 0:00	202,560	444	80.0	0.4
12/31/2016 2:00	1/1/2017 1:00	381,637	517	131.7	0.7
12/31/2016 3:00	1/1/2017 2:00	946,431	530	159.1	0.9
12/31/2016 4:00	1/1/2017 3:00	946,431	530	159.1	0.9
12/31/2016 5:00	1/1/2017 4:00	946,431	530	159.1	0.9
12/31/2016 6:00	1/1/2017 5:00	946,431	530	159.1	0.9
12/31/2016 7:00	1/1/2017 6:00	946,431	530	159.1	0.9
12/31/2016 8:00	1/1/2017 7:00	946,431	530	159.1	0.9
12/31/2016 9:00	1/1/2017 8:00	946,431	530	159.1	0.9
12/31/2016 10:00	1/1/2017 9:00	946,431	530	159.1	0.9
12/31/2016 11:00	1/1/2017 10:00	946,431	530	159.1	0.9
12/31/2016 12:00	1/1/2017 11:00	946,431	530	159.1	0.9
12/31/2016 13:00	1/1/2017 12:00	946,431	530	159.1	0.9
12/31/2016 14:00	1/1/2017 13:00	946,431	530	159.1	0.9
12/31/2016 15:00	1/1/2017 14:00	946,431	530	159.1	0.9
12/31/2016 16:00	1/1/2017 15:00	946,431	530	159.1	0.9
12/31/2016 17:00	1/1/2017 16:00	946,431	530	159.1	0.9
12/31/2016 18:00	1/1/2017 17:00	946,431	530	159.1	0.9
12/31/2016 19:00	1/1/2017 18:00	946,431	530	159.1	0.9
12/31/2016 20:00	1/1/2017 19:00	946,431	530	159.1	0.9
12/31/2016 21:00	1/1/2017 20:00	946,431	530	159.1	0.9
12/31/2016 22:00	1/1/2017 21:00	875,143	296	93.4	0.5
12/31/2016 23:00	1/1/2017 22:00	744,680	270	79.8	0.4
1/1/2017 0:00	1/1/2017 23:00	743,871	85	79.2	0.4
1/1/2017 1:00	1/2/2017 0:00	743,871	85	79.2	0.4
1/1/2017 2:00	1/2/2017 1:00	564,794	12	27.4	0.1
1/1/2017 3:00	1/2/2017 2:00	0	0	0.0	0.0

Subpart Ja Root Cause / Corr	rective Action Analysis	Impact Incident Number: 178573		
The information contained below satisfies the requirements of the NSPS Subpart Ja 60.108a(c)(6).				
Report:	Initial			
Refinery:	Valero (Meraux)			
Incident Type:	Flaring (Flow and SO2)	 Date of Event:	2/8/17	
Emissions Source(s):	North Flare (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035)	Date Analysis Completed:	3/16/17	
(1.)			(60.108a(c)(6)(i))	
(MUG) compressor failed and resulte	vent line branching from the 1st stage suction of the distribution	safety shutdown and the unit was dep	·	
(2.)		(60.108a(c)(6)(ii))	and (60.108a(c)(6)(ix))	
ind Time the discharge was first ident	tified <b>2/8/17 7:18</b>			
Date/Time the discharge had ce	ased <b>2/8/17 8:30</b>			
Duration of Discharge (Calcula	ated) hrs			
(3.)			(60.108a(c)(6)(viii))	
The steps taken to limit the emissio Valero followed its Flare Minimizatio	ns during the discharge: n Plan and Operations Procedures to minimize i	the volume of this discharge.		
(4.)			(60.108a(c)(6)(xi))	
	d state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA anal	ysis is not required if the flare manag	ement plan	
Did the discharge result from a plan	ned startup or shutdown?	No	(Yes/No)	
Was the flare management plan foll	lowed?	Yes	(Yes/No/N/A)	
Is the event exempt from a RC/CCA	based on the answers above?	No	(Yes/No)	
- If yes, skip section 5-7.				
(5.)			(60.108a(c)(6)(ix))	
-	tail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the exauses identified in a previous analysis?	xtent determinable: No	(Yes/No)	
Vibration induced fatigue failure occu	urring directly above the gussets of the ¾" bran nined to be a concern. The following design fact	ch connection. Third party analysis w	. '	
a. Branch connection was inadequate	ely reinforced.			
b. Branch connection was too long (e	xtended upward from header approximately 18	3-24 inches).		
c. Presence of un-necessary vent pipi	ng added mass to vibration system and likely ar	nplified stress at failure point.		
d. Heavy components (valves) located	d at top of extended branch connection as oppo	sed to being lower towards the head	er.	

(6.)		(60.108a(c)(6)(ix			
Corrective Action Analysis: Include a des	(60.108a(c)(6)(ix))  description of the recommended corrective action(s) or an explanation of why corrective action is  Yes  (Yes/No)  all bore connections near the MUG compressors to determine if vibration induced fatigue failure is still a  e piping. (Most of the vent line piping was removed shortly after the incident, however some could not f the block valves.)  ted leaking block valve replacement in 2018 turnaround.				
Is corrective action required?	Yes	(Yes/No)			
1) Conduct vibration analysis on all small concern after alterations.	bore connections near	the MUG compressors to determine if vibration induced fatigue failure is still o			
2) Complete the removal of the vent line p	iping. (Most of the ve	ent line piping was removed shortly after the incident, however some could not			
be isolated due to suspected leakage of th	e block valves.)				
3) Provide recommendation for suspected	leaking block valve re	eplacement in 2018 turnaround.			
(7.)		(60.108a(c)(6)(x			
	•	completed within the first 45 days following the discharge. For those not proposed commencement and completion dates.			
1) Conduct vibration analysis on all small	bore connections near	r the MUG compressors to determine if vibration induced fatigue failure is still o			
concern after alterations.					
Commencement Date: 3/16/17					
Estimated Completion Date: 6/1/17					
2) Complete the removal of the vent line p	iping.				
Commencement Date: 3/16/17	, ,				
Estimated Completion Date: 12/31/18					
3) Provide recommendation for suspected	leaking block valve re	eplacement in 2018 turnaround.			
Commencement Date: 3/16/17					
Estimated Completion Date: 9/1/17					

(8.)

The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.

Note: Measured sulfur concentrations are shown as flow-weighted averages if multiple measurement devices were used.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(iv))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
First hour of 24-hr Period	Last hour of 24-hr Period	24-hr cumulative volume of flared gas above Baseline	TRS or H2S ppm (24-hr average, flow- weighted)	24-hr cumulative SO2	24-hr cumulative reduced sulfur
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
2/7/2017 7:00	2/8/2017 6:00	0	0	0.0	0.0
2/7/2017 8:00	2/8/2017 7:00	1,067,647	662	2792.3	15.0
2/7/2017 9:00	2/8/2017 8:00	1,287,083	1427	3456.4	18.6
2/7/2017 10:00	2/8/2017 9:00	1,288,025	2083	3458.8	18.6
2/7/2017 11:00	2/8/2017 10:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 12:00	2/8/2017 11:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 13:00	2/8/2017 12:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 14:00	2/8/2017 13:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 15:00	2/8/2017 14:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 16:00	2/8/2017 15:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 17:00	2/8/2017 16:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 18:00	2/8/2017 17:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 19:00	2/8/2017 18:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 20:00	2/8/2017 19:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 21:00	2/8/2017 20:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 22:00	2/8/2017 21:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/7/2017 23:00	2/8/2017 22:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/8/2017 0:00	2/8/2017 23:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/8/2017 1:00	2/9/2017 0:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/8/2017 2:00	2/9/2017 1:00	1,288,062	2380	3458.9	18.6
2/8/2017 3:00	2/9/2017 2:00	1,288,410	2429	3458.9	18.6
2/8/2017 4:00	2/9/2017 3:00	1,289,898	3473	3465.1	18.6
2/8/2017 5:00	2/9/2017 4:00	1,289,898	3473	3465.1	18.6
2/8/2017 6:00	2/9/2017 5:00	1,289,898	3473	3465.1	18.6
2/8/2017 7:00	2/9/2017 6:00	1,289,898	3473	3465.1	18.6
2/8/2017 8:00	2/9/2017 7:00	222,252	2811	672.8	3.6
2/8/2017 9:00	2/9/2017 8:00	2,815	2045	8.7	0.0

	•		Impact Incident Number: 178790		
The information contained below	w satisfies the requirements of the NSPS Subpart .	la 60.108a(c)(6).			
Report:	Initial				
Refinery:	Valero (Meraux)				
Incident Type:	Flaring (Flow and SO2)	 Date of Event:	2/13/17		
Emissions Source(s):	North Flare (EPN 20-72, EQT 0035)	Date Analysis Completed:	3/16/17		
(1.)			(60.108a		
A description of the Discharge:					
	:58, all four refinery boilers and the #3 Sulfur Recov		-		
	the process of inventorying three steam generators				
	e shutdown of the Hydrocracker/Hydrotreater, RO				
Hydrotreater, and reduced the Cr	rude Unit to minimum rates. Flaring exceeded 500,	,000 SCF/24 hours and 500 lbs of SO $_{ extstyle 2}$	/24 hours. Exce		
SO 2 emissions occurred an multip	ple heaters and boilers and both the #2 and #3 SRU	<i>J,</i> but were less than 500 lbs of SO $_2/2$	4 hours		
(2.)		(60.108a(c)(6)(ii))	and (60.108a(c)		
and Time the discharge was first ic	dentified 2/13/17 23:07				
Date/Time the discharge had	d ceased <b>2/14/17 3:44</b>				
Duration of Discharge (Cal	lculated) 4.6 hrs				
	·				
(3.) The steps taken to limit the emis			(60.108a(c)(		
·	ation Fran and Operations Procedures to minimize	the volume of this discharge.			
(4.)	ation Han and operations Hoccaures to minimize	the volume of this discharge.	(60.108a(c		
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA and				
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a resul was followed.	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA and	lysis is not required if the flare manag	ement plan		
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a resulwas followed.  Did the discharge result from a page of the discharge resu	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA and planned startup or shutdown?		ement plan _(Yes/No)		
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a resul was followed.  Did the discharge result from a pwas the flare management plan	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA and planned startup or shutdown? It followed?	lysis is not required if the flare manag <b>No</b>	ement plan _(Yes/No) _(Yes/No/N/A)		
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a resul was followed.  Did the discharge result from a pwas the flare management plan	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA and planned startup or shutdown?	lysis is not required if the flare manag  No  Yes	ement plan _(Yes/No)		
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a resul was followed.  Did the discharge result from a pwas the flare management plan is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7.	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA and planned startup or shutdown? It followed?	lysis is not required if the flare manag  No  Yes	ement plan _(Yes/No) _(Yes/No/N/A) _(Yes/No)		
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a resul was followed.  Did the discharge result from a pwas the flare management plan is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7.	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA and planned startup or shutdown? If followed? CCA based on the answers above?	lysis is not required if the flare manag  No  Yes  No	ement plan _(Yes/No) _(Yes/No/N/A)		
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a resul was followed.  Did the discharge result from a pwas the flare management plan is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7.  (5.)  Root Cause Analysis: Describe in	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA and planned startup or shutdown? If followed? CCA based on the answers above? In detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the e	lysis is not required if the flare manag  No  Yes  No  No	ement plan  (Yes/No)  (Yes/No/N/A)  (Yes/No)  (60.108a(c)		
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed.  Did the discharge result from a pwas the flare management plan Is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7.  (5.)  Root Cause Analysis: Describe in Did this discharge result from ro	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA and planned startup or shutdown? If followed? CCA based on the answers above?	lysis is not required if the flare manag  No  Yes  No  No	ement plan _(Yes/No) _(Yes/No/N/A) _(Yes/No)		
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed.  Did the discharge result from a pwas the flare management plan Is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7.  (5.)  Root Cause Analysis: Describe in Did this discharge result from ro Root Cause(s):	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA and planned startup or shutdown? It followed? CCA based on the answers above? In detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the coot causes identified in a previous analysis?	lysis is not required if the flare manag  No  Yes  No  extent determinable:	(Yes/No) (Yes/No) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c)		
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed.  Did the discharge result from a pwas the flare management plan Is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7.  (5.)  Root Cause Analysis: Describe in Did this discharge result from ro Root Cause(s):  1) Turbine driven Boiler Feed Water Note: It was a result from the Note of Cause Analysis.	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA and planned startup or shutdown? It followed? CCA based on the answers above? In detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the opticauses identified in a previous analysis? Iter (PFW) Pump in Area 4 North (B-P-022A) tripped	lysis is not required if the flare manag  No Yes No  extent determinable: No	(Yes/No) (Yes/No) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c)		
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed.  Did the discharge result from a pwas the flare management plan Is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7.  (5.)  Root Cause Analysis: Describe in Did this discharge result from ro Root Cause(s):  1) Turbine driven Boiler Feed Wat causing low BFW system pressure	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA and planned startup or shutdown? I followed? CCA based on the answers above? In detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the electron of the Causes identified in a previous analysis?  Iter (PFW) Pump in Area 4 North (B-P-022A) trippede alarms. No corrective actions were taken to address.	lysis is not required if the flare manag  No Yes No  extent determinable: No  d off line and the spillback control valvess the low BFW system pressure.	(Yes/No) (Yes/No) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c		
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed.  Did the discharge result from a pwas the flare management plan Is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7.  (5.)  Root Cause Analysis: Describe in Did this discharge result from ro Root Cause(s):  1) Turbine driven Boiler Feed Wat causing low BFW system pressure	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA and planned startup or shutdown? It followed? CCA based on the answers above? In detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the opticauses identified in a previous analysis? Iter (PFW) Pump in Area 4 North (B-P-022A) tripped	lysis is not required if the flare manag  No Yes No  extent determinable: No  d off line and the spillback control valvess the low BFW system pressure.	(Yes/No) (Yes/No) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c) (Yes/No)		
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed.  Did the discharge result from a pwas the flare management plan Is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7.  (5.)  Root Cause Analysis: Describe in Did this discharge result from ro Root Cause(s):  1) Turbine driven Boiler Feed Wat causing low BFW system pressure 2) The Area 4 South Electric BFW	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA and planned startup or shutdown? I followed? CCA based on the answers above? In detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the electron of the Causes identified in a previous analysis?  Iter (PFW) Pump in Area 4 North (B-P-022A) trippede alarms. No corrective actions were taken to address.	lysis is not required if the flare manag  No Yes No  extent determinable: No  d off line and the spillback control valvess the low BFW system pressure.	(Yes/No) (Yes/No) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c) (Yes/No)		
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed.  Did the discharge result from a pwas the flare management plan Is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7.  (5.)  Root Cause Analysis: Describe in Did this discharge result from ro Root Cause(s):  1) Turbine driven Boiler Feed Wat causing low BFW system pressure 2) The Area 4 South Electric BFW  Contributing Factor(s):	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA and planned startup or shutdown? It followed? CCA based on the answers above? In detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the electric causes identified in a previous analysis? Iter (PFW) Pump in Area 4 North (B-P-022A) tripped a calarms. No corrective actions were taken to address the pump was unavailable to "auto" start upon low present the position of the pump was unavailable to "auto" start upon low present the pump was unavailable to "a	lysis is not required if the flare manag  No Yes No  extent determinable: No  d off line and the spillback control valvess the low BFW system pressure.	(Yes/No) (Yes/No) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c) (Yes/No)		
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed.  Did the discharge result from a pwas the flare management plan Is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7.  (5.)  Root Cause Analysis: Describe in Did this discharge result from ro Root Cause(s):  1) Turbine driven Boiler Feed Wate causing low BFW system pressure 2) The Area 4 South Electric BFW  Contributing Factor(s):  1) The significance of the lower B	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA and planned startup or shutdown? It followed? CCA based on the answers above? In detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the electric causes identified in a previous analysis? Iter (PFW) Pump in Area 4 North (B-P-022A) tripped a planta. No corrective actions were taken to address the pump was unavailable to "auto" start upon low processors.	No Yes No  Extent determinable: No  d off line and the spillback control valvess the low BFW system pressure. Seessure event due to the starter placed	(Yes/No) (Yes/No) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c) (Yes/No)		
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed.  Did the discharge result from a pwas the flare management plan Is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7.  (5.)  Root Cause Analysis: Describe in Did this discharge result from ro Root Cause(s):  1) Turbine driven Boiler Feed Was causing low BFW system pressure 2) The Area 4 South Electric BFW  Contributing Factor(s):  1) The significance of the lower B 2) Inadequate communication - Ide	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA and planned startup or shutdown? If followed? CCA based on the answers above?  In detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the electron causes identified in a previous analysis?  Iter (PFW) Pump in Area 4 North (B-P-022A) tripped electrons were taken to addrove alarms. No corrective actions were taken to addrove Pump was unavailable to "auto" start upon low processors.  If W pressure was not recognized.  If W pressure was not recognized.  If we pressure was not recognized.	No  No  Yes  No  No  Pextent determinable:  No  d off line and the spillback control valvess the low BFW system pressure.  Perssure event due to the starter placed transferred between shift teams.	(Yes/No) (Yes/No) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c) (Yes/No)		
Necessity of RC/CAA: Determine Note: If the discharge was a result was followed.  Did the discharge result from a pwas the flare management plan Is the event exempt from a RC/C - If yes, skip section 5-7.  (5.)  Root Cause Analysis: Describe in Did this discharge result from ro Root Cause(s):  1) Turbine driven Boiler Feed Wate causing low BFW system pressure 2) The Area 4 South Electric BFW  Contributing Factor(s):  1) The significance of the lower B 2) Inadequate communication - Ice 3) Inaccurate information entered	e and state whether a RC/CAA is necessary: It of a planned startup or shutdown, a RC/CAA and planned startup or shutdown? It followed? CCA based on the answers above? In detail the Root Cause(s) of the Incident, to the electric causes identified in a previous analysis? Iter (PFW) Pump in Area 4 North (B-P-022A) tripped a planta. No corrective actions were taken to address the pump was unavailable to "auto" start upon low processors.	No  No  Yes  No  No  Off line and the spillback control valvess the low BFW system pressure.  Pressure event due to the starter placed transferred between shift teams.  Transferred between shift teams.	(Yes/No) (Yes/No) (Yes/No) (60.108a(c) (Yes/No)		

(6.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(ix))
Corrective Action Analysis: Include a descrip	tion of the reco	mmended corrective ac	ction(s) or an explanation of why	
Is corrective action required?	Yes	(Yes/No)		
1) Modify the BFW Pumps (B-P-022A/B) spillb	ack control valve	control scheme to auto	matically close the spillback valve	es upon pump
shutdown.				
2) Review Intelatrac rounds for the Area 4 BFV	V system and rev	vise as needed.		
3) Conduct refresher training for all Area 4 Op	erators on BFW	system and Intelatrac ro	ound changes.	
4) Evaluate spillback protection for BFW Pump	os and determine	e if any additional modifi	ications are necessary.	
5) Emphasize importance of electronic shift lo	g and shift-to-sh	ift communication.		
(7.)				(60.108a(c)(6)(x))
Corrective Action Schedule: Include correctiv	e actions already	completed within the f	first 45 days following the dischar	ge. For those not
completed, provide a schedule for implement	tation, including	proposed commenceme	ent and completion dates.	
1) Modify the BFW Pumps (B-P-022A/B) spillb	ack control valve	control scheme to auto	matically close the spillback valve	es upon pump
shutdown.				
Commencement Date: 3/16/17				
Completed Date: 3/16/17				
2) Review Intelatrac rounds for the Area 4 BFV	N system and rev	vise as needed.		
Commencement Date: 3/16/17				
Estimated Completion Date: 4/30/17				
3) Conduct refresher training for all Area 4 Op	erators on BFW	system and Intelatrac ro	ound changes.	
Commencement Date: 3/16/17				
Estimated Completion Date: 5/31/17				
4) Evaluate spillback protection for BFW Pump	os and determine	e if any additional modifi	ications are necessary.	
Commencement Date: 3/16/17				
Estimated Completion Date: 6/30/17				
5) Emphasize importance of electronic shift lo	g and shift-to-sh	ift communication.		
Commencement Date: 3/16/17				
Estimated Completion Date: 6/1/17				

(8.)
The measured or calculated cumulative quantity of gas discharged over the discharge duration.
Note: Measured sulfur concentrations are shown as flow-weighted averages if multiple measurement devices were used.

		(60.108a(c)(6)(iii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(iv))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))	(60.108a(c)(6)(vii))
First hour of 24-hr Period	Last hour of 24-hr Period	24-hr cumulative volume of flared gas above Baseline	TRS or H2S ppm (24-hr average, flow- weighted)	24-hr cumulative SO2	24-hr cumulative reduced sulfur
		SCF	ppmv	lbs	lbs as H2S
2/12/2017 23:00	2/13/2017 22:00	148	170	0.1	0.0
2/13/2017 0:00	2/13/2017 23:00	2,114,167	361	1589.1	8.5
2/13/2017 1:00	2/14/2017 0:00	5,124,953	484	3062.3	16.5
2/13/2017 2:00	2/14/2017 1:00	7,872,740	585	4152.2	22.3
2/13/2017 3:00	2/14/2017 2:00	8,526,510	615	4231.4	22.7
2/13/2017 4:00	2/14/2017 3:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 5:00	2/14/2017 4:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 6:00	2/14/2017 5:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 7:00	2/14/2017 6:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 8:00	2/14/2017 7:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 9:00	2/14/2017 8:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 10:00	2/14/2017 9:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 11:00	2/14/2017 10:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 12:00	2/14/2017 11:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 13:00	2/14/2017 12:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 14:00	2/14/2017 13:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 15:00	2/14/2017 14:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 16:00	2/14/2017 15:00	8,555,025	685	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 17:00	2/14/2017 16:00	8,554,878	514	4239.2	22.8
2/13/2017 18:00	2/14/2017 17:00	8,555,259	579	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 19:00	2/14/2017 18:00	8,555,259	579	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 20:00	2/14/2017 19:00	8,555,259	579	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 21:00	2/14/2017 20:00	8,555,259	579	4239.3	22.8
2/13/2017 22:00	2/14/2017 21:00	8,570,760	664	4244.4	22.8
2/13/2017 23:00	2/14/2017 22:00	8,574,927	700	4245.0	22.8
2/14/2017 0:00	2/14/2017 23:00	6,460,908	510	2656.0	14.3
2/14/2017 1:00	2/15/2017 0:00	3,450,121	387	1182.8	6.4
2/14/2017 2:00	2/15/2017 1:00	702,334	286	92.9	0.5
2/14/2017 3:00	2/15/2017 2:00	48,565	256	13.7	0.1